| 1 | OWENSBORO METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION |
|----------|---|
| 2 | MAY 3, 2018 |
| 3 | The Owensboro Metropolitan Planning Commission |
| 4 | met in regular session at 5:30 p.m. on Thursday, May |
| 5 | 3, 2018, at City Hall, Commission Chambers, Owensboro, |
| 6 | Kentucky, and the proceedings were as follows: |
| 7 | MEMBERS PRESENT: Larry Boswell, Chairman |
| 8 | Larry Moore, Vice Chairman Lewis Jean, Secretary Brian Howard, Director |
| 9 | Terra Knight, Attorney |
| 10 | Irvin Rogers Manuel Ball |
| 11 | Fred Reeves Angela Hardaway |
| 12 | Mike Edge |
| 13 14 | CHAIRMAN: I'd like to welcome everyone to the |
| 15 | May 3, 2018 Metropolitan Planning Commission meeting. |
| 16 | We start our meeting off with a prayer and the |
| 17 | pledge. Terra Knight will lead both of those tonight. |
| 18 | (INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.) |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN: I would like to thank everyone for |
| 20 | attending tonight. We always start our meetings with |
| 21 | some housekeeping rules since we're a quasi legal |
| 22 | meeting. |
| 23 | If you wish to speak, please approach the |
| 24 | podium and state your name and be sworn in. It's very |
| 25 | important that we hear all the information for making |
| | Ohio Valley Reporting |
| | |

raise your right hand.

| 1 | good decisions. Direct all your questions to the |
|----|--|
| 2 | chair, especially if there are multiple speakers, and |
| 3 | all your answers as well. Be respectful of all others |
| 4 | who may be speaking, and please stay on topic with all |
| 5 | discussions, comments and questions, and keeping them |
| 6 | specific to the agenda item being discussed. We |
| 7 | appreciate your cooperation in all of those areas. |
| 8 | The commission members I think have received |
| 9 | the minutes of the last meeting. Hopefully everyone |
| 10 | has had a chance to go through those and familiarize |
| 11 | yourself with them. |
| 12 | Are there any questions or comment or changes |
| 13 | concerning those minutes? |
| 14 | (NO RESPONSE) |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN: There being none the Chair is ready |
| 16 | for a motion. |
| 17 | MR. JEAN: Motion to approve. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN: Motion to approve by Mr. Jean. Is |
| 19 | there a second? |
| 20 | MR. BALL: Second. |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Ball. Any discussion |
| 22 | or comment about the motion or the second? |
| 23 | (NO RESPONSE) |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN: There being none all those in favor |

Ohio Valley Reporting (270) 683-7383

| 1 | (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.) |
|----------------|---|
| 2 | CHAIRMAN: Motion carries. |
| 3 | MR. HOWARD: I will note that the rezonings |
| 4 | heard tonight will become final 21 days after the |
| 5 | meeting unless an appeal is filed. If an appeal is |
| 6 | filed, we will forward the record of this meeting |
| 7 | along with all applicable materials to the appropriate |
| 8 | legislative body for them to take final action. |
| 9 | |
| 10 11 12 | GENERAL BUSINESS ZONING CHANGES ITEM 3 |
| 13 | Portion of 537 West Byers Avenue, 0.323 acres Consider zoning change: From R-3MF Multi-Family |
| 14 | Residential to B-4 General Business Applicant: April Schartung & Bradley Schartung |
| 15 | MS. KNIGHT: Please state your name for the |
| 16 | record. |
| 17 | MS. EVANS: Melissa Evans. |
| 18 | (MELISSA EVANS SWORN BY ATTORNEY.) |
| 19 | PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATION |
| 20 | The Planning Staff recommends approval subject |
| 21 | to the conditions and findings of fact that follow: |
| 22 | CONDITIONS |
| 23 | 1. Access shall be limited to the shared |
| 24 | access point with 533 West Byers Avenue only. No |
| 25 | additional access to West Byers Avenue shall be |
| | Ohio Valley Reporting |
| | (270) 683-7383 |

| - | | | - |
|---|--------|-----|-----------|
| 1 | permi | ++4 | $^{\sim}$ |
| _ | PCTILL | ししい | -u . |

- 2 2. A minor subdivision plat subdividing the
- 3 subject property along the new zoning lines shall be
- 4 approved.
- 5 FINDINGS OF FACT
- 6 1. Staff recommends approval because the
- 7 proposal is in compliance with the community's adopted
- 8 Comprehensive Plan;
- 9 2. The subject property is located in an
- 10 Urban Residential Plan Area where general business
- 11 uses are appropriate in very limited locations;
- 12 3. The proposed use as commercial conforms to
- the criteria for nonresidential development;
- 14 4. The proposal is a logical expansion of
- existing B-4 General Business zoning to the south and
- 16 west;
- 5. At 0.323 acres, the proposal does not
- 18 significantly increase the extent of general business
- 19 zoning in the vicinity; and,
- 20 6. With access limited to the shared access
- 21 point only, the proposal should not overburden the
- 22 capacity of roadways and other necessary urban
- 23 services that are available in the affected area.
- MS. EVANS: We would like to enter the Staff
- 25 Report into the record as Exhibit A.

Ohio Valley Reporting

| 1 | CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Melissa. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Is there anyone here representing the |
| 3 | applicant. |
| 4 | MR. KAMUF: Charles Kamuf. We're here to |
| 5 | answer any questions. I represent the applicants, |
| 6 | April and Brad Schartung. |
| 7 | We also have the Related Item 3-A, which is |
| 8 | the Variance along with the rezoning proposal along |
| 9 | 537. |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Counsel. |
| 11 | Do any of the commissioners have any questions |
| 12 | concerning this application? |
| 13 | (NO RESPONSE) |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN: Anyone in the audience have any |
| 15 | questions concerning this application? |
| 16 | (NO RESPONSE) |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN: There being none the Chair is ready |
| 18 | for a motion. |
| 19 | Mr. Ball. |
| 20 | MR. BALL: Make a motion to approve based on |
| 21 | Planning Staff Recommendations, Conditions 1 and 2 and |
| 22 | Findings of Fact 1 through 6. |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made for approval |
| 24 | based on Conditions 1 and 2 and Findings of Fact 1 |
| 25 | through 6. Is there a second? |
| | Ohio Valley Reporting |

| 1 | MR. MOORE: Second. |
|----|--|
| 2 | CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Moore. Any |
| 3 | discussion or question about the motion or the second? |
| 4 | (NO RESPONSE) |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN: There being none all those in favor |
| 6 | raise your right hand. |
| 7 | (ALL BOARD MEMBER PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.) |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN: Motion carries. |
| 9 | RELATED ITEM |
| 10 | ITEM 3A |
| 11 | 537 West Byers Avenue, zoned R-3MF Multi-Family |
| | Residential to B-4 General Business |
| 12 | Consider a request for a Variance in order to eliminate the perimeter screening requirement of a |
| 13 | 6-foot tall continuous element and one tree every 40 linear feet where the subject property adjoins R-3MF |
| 14 | Multi-Family Residential zoning classifications; to eliminate the vehicular use area screening requirement |
| 15 | of a 3-foot-tall continuous element and one tree every 40 linear feet where the vehicular use area adjoins |
| 16 | R-3MGF Multi-Family Residential zoning classifications; and to allow the minimum width of a |
| 17 | two-way driveway to be reduced from 24 feet to 20 feet |
| 18 | at the subject property. Reference: Zoning Ordinance, Article 13 and 17, |
| | Sections 17.3114; 17.3121(a); and 13.21 |
| 19 | Applicant: April Schartung & Bradley Schartung |
| 20 | MS. KNIGHT: Please state your name for the |
| 21 | record. |
| 22 | MR. PEDLEY: Trey Pedley. |
| 23 | (TREY PEDLEY SWORN BY ATTORNEY.) |
| 24 | MR. PEDLEY: The subject property has most |
| 25 | recently been utilized as a multi-family residence; |
| | Ohio Valley Reporting |

| 1 | however, in conjunction with the proposed rezoning, |
|--|--|
| 2 | the applicant has expressed the intent to utilize the |
| 3 | existing building for commercial offices. |
| 4 | The property to the immediate east is an |
| 5 | existing multi-family rental residence that's owned by |
| 6 | the applicant of the subject property. Historically, |
| 7 | each property has been allowed access to the lots by |
| 8 | use of individual driveways and shared drives located |
| 9 | along the common property line. In order to progress |
| 10 | toward compliance of the 500 foot spacing standard |
| 11 | along West Byers Avenue, the single access driveway at |
| 12 | the subject property shall be closed limiting access |
| 13 | to the shared driveway along the common property line. |
| | |
| 14 | The zoning ordinance requires buffers between |
| 14 | The zoning ordinance requires buffers between unlike zones; which in this case includes perimeter |
| | |
| 15 | unlike zones; which in this case includes perimeter |
| 15 16 | unlike zones; which in this case includes perimeter screening consisting of a 6-foot tall continuous |
| 15 16 17 | unlike zones; which in this case includes perimeter screening consisting of a 6-foot tall continuous element and one tree every 40 linear feet where the |
| 15 16 17 18 | unlike zones; which in this case includes perimeter screening consisting of a 6-foot tall continuous element and one tree every 40 linear feet where the subject property adjoins residential zone and |
| 15 16 17 18 | unlike zones; which in this case includes perimeter screening consisting of a 6-foot tall continuous element and one tree every 40 linear feet where the subject property adjoins residential zone and vehicular use area screening consisting of a 3-foot |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 | unlike zones; which in this case includes perimeter screening consisting of a 6-foot tall continuous element and one tree every 40 linear feet where the subject property adjoins residential zone and vehicular use area screening consisting of a 3-foot tall continuous element and one tree every 40 linear |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | unlike zones; which in this case includes perimeter screening consisting of a 6-foot tall continuous element and one tree every 40 linear feet where the subject property adjoins residential zone and vehicular use area screening consisting of a 3-foot tall continuous element and one tree every 40 linear feet where the subject property adjoins residential |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | unlike zones; which in this case includes perimeter screening consisting of a 6-foot tall continuous element and one tree every 40 linear feet where the subject property adjoins residential zone and vehicular use area screening consisting of a 3-foot tall continuous element and one tree every 40 linear feet where the subject property adjoins residential zones. Due to the location of the shared driveway, |
| 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | unlike zones; which in this case includes perimeter screening consisting of a 6-foot tall continuous element and one tree every 40 linear feet where the subject property adjoins residential zone and vehicular use area screening consisting of a 3-foot tall continuous element and one tree every 40 linear feet where the subject property adjoins residential zones. Due to the location of the shared driveway, the applicant has requested a variance to eliminate |

| 1 | nuisance to the public because although the commercial |
|----|--|
| 2 | activity will not have the necessary screening from |
| 3 | the adjoining residential property, placing the |
| 4 | screening to the east of the shared driveway would |
| 5 | place the continuous element on the residential |
| 6 | property, which may cause a larger nuisance; and it |
| 7 | will not alter the essential character of the general |
| 8 | vicinity or allow an unreasonable circumvention of |
| 9 | requirements of the zoning regulations because the |
| 10 | common property line will remain as they currently |
| 11 | exist; and because requiring the vehicular use area |
| 12 | screening to the rear of the property will be |
| 13 | requiring the screening of a rear yard where |
| 14 | residences are not directly located. |
| 15 | As the shared driveway continues to allow |
| 16 | access the employee parking at the rear of the |
| 17 | property, the driveway becomes narrower between the |
| 18 | two structures. With only 20 feet between the two, |
| 19 | the commercial two-way driveway cannot meet the |
| 20 | 24-foot minimum driveway width as required for two-way |
| 21 | traffic. Consequently, the applicant has requested a |
| 22 | variance to allow the driveway width to be reduced to |
| 23 | 20 feet. |
| 24 | Granting this variance will not adversely |
| 25 | affect the public safety nor will it cause a nuisance |
| | Ohio Valley Reporting |
| | |

| 1 | the public because the parking to the rear is |
|----|--|
| 2 | designated for employee parking, and so traffic will |
| 3 | be limited; and it will not allow an unreasonable |
| 4 | circumvention of the requirements of the zoning |
| 5 | regulations because at 20 feet wide the driveway is in |
| 6 | compliance with residential requirement which is the |
| 7 | manner that the property to the east utilizes the |
| 8 | shared drive. |
| 9 | Staff recommends approval with the conditions |
| 10 | that the existing driveway at 537 West Byers Avenue |
| 11 | shall be closed and access to the subject property |
| 12 | shall be limited to the shared access driveway. |
| 13 | Additionally, all necessary building, electrical and |
| 14 | HVAC permits, inspections and certificates of |
| 15 | occupancy and compliance shall be obtained. |
| 16 | We would like to enter the Staff Report into |
| 17 | the record as Exhibit B. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Trey. |
| 19 | MR. KAMUF: Mr. Chairman, we agree to all of |
| 20 | the conditions. We have the engineers here to answer |
| 21 | any question that you might have. |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Counsel. |
| 23 | Do any of the commissioners have a question? |
| 24 | Mr. Reeves. |
| 25 | MR. REEVES: My question, I guess, is to Mr. |
| | Ohio Valley Reporting |
| | (270) 683-7383 |

| Τ | Howard. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Can we act on both of these variances with one |
| 3 | vote or two motions? |
| 4 | MR. HOWARD: We should make two. |
| 5 | MR. REEVES: That's what I thought. |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Reeves. |
| 7 | Any other commissioners have any questions |
| 8 | concerning this? |
| 9 | (NO RESPONSE) |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN: I've got one question. It's around |
| 11 | the reasons for variance request, there's an issue or |
| 12 | statement in there about the applicant is agreeable to |
| 13 | a condition on the variance approval if it should be |
| 14 | approved whereby the event 533 West Byers Avenue were |
| 15 | to be sold the owner of 537 West Byers Avenue shall |
| 16 | install the required 6-foot continuing element to |
| 17 | comply with the zoning requirement. |
| 18 | MR. KAMUF: That's true. We agree to that. |
| 19 | That's not a problem. |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN: The other question that I had is |
| 21 | more informational. With the statement being said |
| 22 | about, where it says east of the shared driveway would |
| 23 | place a continuous element on a residential property. |
| 24 | This is on Page 1 of 2 of the 164. |
| 25 | MR. KAMUF: Which one are you talking about? |
| | Ohio Valley Reporting |
| | |

| 1 | CHAIRMAN: Staff review under the |
|----|--|
| 2 | "Considerations - Refer to applicant's reasons, |
| 3 | attached." I was just wanting to try to find out, |
| 4 | maybe this is a question for Mr. Howard. A little |
| 5 | more detail about why it may cause a larger nuisance |
| 6 | if it were installed. This would be the issue around |
| 7 | the screening, continuous element screening. Is there |
| 8 | a reason why that may be stated that it may be a |
| 9 | larger nuisance? |
| 10 | MR. HOWARD: You're talking about |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN: The very first Page 164 1/2, down |
| 12 | toward the bottom where it talks about granting this |
| 13 | variance may adversely affect. But then it goes on to |
| 14 | say that "may not cause a nuisance to the public |
| 15 | because although the commercial activity will not have |
| 16 | the necessary screening from the adjoining residential |
| 17 | property placing the screening to the east of the |
| 18 | shared driveway would place the continuous element on |
| 19 | the residential property, which may cause a larger |
| 20 | nuisance." |
| 21 | I guess I was just trying to understand what |
| 22 | that meant that it would cause potentially a larger |
| 23 | nuisance? |
| 24 | MR. HOWARD: The way that this property is |
| 25 | proposed for development the same people own both |
| | Ohio Valley Reporting |
| | |

| 1 | properties with a shared driveway in the middle. If |
|----|--|
| 2 | you put a screening element on the east side of that |
| 3 | driveway, and basically what we've done in our Staff |
| 4 | Report is say that as this property redevelops there |
| 5 | will be one central access point that will serve both |
| 6 | properties due to access management requirements. If |
| 7 | you put a 6-foot tall continuous element along the |
| 8 | east side of that shared driveway, then it certainly |
| 9 | would limit where access could be to the property that |
| 10 | they own on the east that's still zoned multi-family |
| 11 | residential. |
| 12 | You would have to put in, I guess, a define |
| 13 | curb cut, take out a section of fence and put in a |
| 14 | define curb cut. I believe it also wold cause some |
| 15 | sight distance issues with trying to pull out if you |
| 16 | had a fence and trees on either side as you're trying |
| 17 | out into that central aisle. |
| 18 | From our perspective it just didn't make sense |
| 19 | to put that element in through there. |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN: Yes. That makes sense. I just |
| 21 | wanted a little more clarification of what that was |
| 22 | stating. Thank you, Mr. Howard. |
| 23 | Any commissioners have any questions |
| 24 | concerning this? |
| 25 | (NO RESPONSE) |

Ohio Valley Reporting (270) 683-7383

| 1 | CHAIRMAN: Anyone in the audience have a |
|----|---|
| 2 | question concerning this? |
| 3 | (NO RESPONSE) |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN: There being none the Chair is ready |
| 5 | for a motion. |
| 6 | Mr. Reeves. |
| 7 | MR. REEVES: Mr. Chairman, I move to approve |
| 8 | the Variance relating to the perimeter screening and |
| 9 | vehicular use area based on the Staff Recommendations |
| 10 | and Findings of Fact 1 through 4. |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made for approval |
| 12 | for the Variance based on the Findings of Fact 1 |
| 13 | through 4. |
| 14 | And what was the other portion of that, |
| 15 | Mr. Reeves? |
| 16 | MR. REEVES: The variance relating to the |
| 17 | perimeter screening and vehicular use area, that |
| 18 | particular Variance. |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN: Variance related to the vehicular |
| 20 | use and the screening. |
| 21 | MR. REEVES: Right. And I don't think any |
| 22 | conditions apply to that, do they, Mr. Howard? |
| 23 | MR. HOWARD: Not necessarily, but the driveway |
| 24 | condition won't I would include on both. |
| 25 | MR. REEVES: Then I would include Conditions 1 |
| | Ohio Valley Reporting |

1 and 2 as appropriate. 2 CHAIRMAN: So that motion would include 3 Conditions 1 and 2. MR. REEVES: Yes. 4 5 CHAIRMAN: Any discussion about that motion? 6 (NO RESPONSE) 7 CHAIRMAN: Is there a second? 8 MR. ROGERS: Second. 9 CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Rogers. Any discussion about the motion or the second? 10 (NO RESPONSE) 11 CHAIRMAN: There being none all those in favor 12 raise your right hand. 13 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.) 14 15 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries. MR. KAMUF: Thank you. 16 MR. HOWARD: We need a motion on the second 17 portion of the Variance on driveway reduction. We 18 19 have to do them separate. We need a second motion for 20 the driveway reduction. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Ball. 21 22 MR. BALL: I'd like to make a motion to 23 approve the Variance on the driveway width based on 24 Planning Staff Recommendations 5 through 8 in the

Ohio Valley Reporting (270) 683-7383

Staff Report and Conditions 1 and 2.

25

| 1 | CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made for approval |
|----------------------|---|
| 2 | of the Variance, hopefully I heard all of that, 5 |
| 3 | through 8 with Conditions 1 and 2. |
| 4 | MR. BALL: That's correct. |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN: Is there a second to that? |
| 6 | MR. ROGERS: Second. |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Rogers. Any |
| 8 | discussion or comment about the motion or the second? |
| 9 | (NO RESPONSE) |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN: There being none all those in favor |
| 11 | raise your right hand. |
| 12 | (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.) |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN: Motion carries. |
| 14 15 16 17 | ITEM 4 906 West Fourth Street, 0.029 acres Consider zoning change: From R-4DT Inner City Residential to I-1 Light Industrial Applicant: David M. & Colleen Q. Taylor & Thomas S. Hayden, Jr. & Katherine Hayden |
| 18 | PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATION |
| 19 | The Planning Staff recommends approval subject |
| 20 | to the condition and findings of fact that follow: |
| 21 | CONDITION |
| 22 | No access shall be permitted to West 4th |
| 23 | Street. |
| 24 | FINDINGS OF FACT |
| 25 | 1. Staff recommends approval because the |
| | Ohio Valley Reporting |

| 1 | proposal is in compliance with the community's adopted $% \left(x\right) =\left(x\right) ^{2}$ |
|----|--|
| 2 | Comprehensive Plan; |
| 3 | 2. The subject property is located in a |
| 4 | Business/Industrial Plan Area where Light Industrial |
| 5 | uses are appropriate in general locations; |
| 6 | 3. The proposed use conforms to the criteria |
| 7 | for nonresidential development; and, |
| 8 | 4. The proposal is a logical expansion of I-1 |
| 9 | Light Industrial zoning to the west; and |
| 10 | 5. At 0.029 acres the proposal is not a |
| 11 | significant increase in I-1 zoning in the vicinity. |
| 12 | MS. EVANS: We would like to enter the Staff |
| 13 | Report into the record as Exhibit C. |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Melissa. |
| 15 | Anyone representing the applicant? |
| 16 | MR. FORMAN: Mr. Chairman, Jeff Forman for the |
| 17 | applicant. We also have engineer here if anyone has |
| 18 | any questions. |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN: Thank you. |
| 20 | Any other commissioners have any questions |
| 21 | concerning this application? |
| 22 | (NO RESPONSE) |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN: Anyone in the audience that would |
| 24 | have any question concerning this application? |

Ohio Valley Reporting (270) 683-7383

(NO RESPONSE)

| 1 | CHAIRMAN: There being none the Chair is ready |
|----------|--|
| 2 | for a motion. |
| 3 | Mr. Moore. |
| 4 | MR. MOORE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Make a |
| 5 | motion for approval based on Staff Recommendations |
| 6 | with the one condition and Findings of Fact 1 through |
| 7 | 5. |
| 8 | CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made for approval |
| 9 | based on the Staff Recommendation with the condition |
| 10 | and Findings of Fact 1 through 5. Is there a second? |
| 11 | MR. JEAN: Second. |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Jean. Any discussion |
| 13 | or comments about the motion and the second? |
| 14 | (NO RESPONSE) |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN: There being none all those in favor |
| 16 | raise your right hand. |
| 17 | (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.) |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN: Motion carries. |
| 19 20 | ITEM 5 10619 Highway 764, 0.260 acres |
| 21 | Consider zoning change: From R-1B Single-Family Residential to I-1 Light Industrial & B-4 General Business |
| 22 23 | Applicant: Paul Lehecka; Wisconsin Auto Supply, Inc. PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATION |
| 24 | The Planning Staff recommends approval subject |
| 25 | to the condition and findings of fact that follow: |
| | Ohio Valley Reporting |

| 0010 | | |
|------|----|--|
| | 1 | CONDITION |
| | 2 | Approval of a minor subdivision plat dividing |
| | 3 | the subject property. |
| | 4 | FINDINGS OF FACT |
| | 5 | 1. Staff recommends approval because the |
| | 6 | proposal is in compliance with the community's adopted |
| | 7 | Comprehensive Plan; |
| | 8 | 2. The subject property is located in an |
| | 9 | Urban Residential Plan Area where light industrial |
| | 10 | uses and general business uses are appropriate in very |
| | 11 | limited locations; |
| | 12 | 3. The proposed uses as light industrial and |
| | 13 | general business conform to the criteria for |
| | 14 | nonresidential development; |
| | 15 | 4. The proposal is a logical expansion of |
| | 16 | existing I-1 Light Industrial zoning to the north and |
| | 17 | B-2 zoning across Highway 764 to the west; |
| | 18 | 5. The proposal would not significantly |
| | 19 | increase the extent of industrial uses or business |
| | 20 | uses in the vicinity; and, |
| | 21 | 6. This proposal will not overburden the |
| | 22 | capacity of roadways and other necessary urban |
| | 23 | services that are available in the affected area. |
| | 24 | MS. EVANS: We would like to enter the Staff |

Ohio Valley Reporting (270) 683-7383

Report into the record as Exhibit D.

| 1 | CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Melissa. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Is anyone here representing the applicant? |
| 3 | APPLICANT REP: Yes. |
| 4 | MR. ROGERS: Mr. Chairman, I need to recuse |
| 5 | myself on this item. |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN: Okay. |
| 7 | Any discussion or question from the |
| 8 | commissioners concerning this application? |
| 9 | (NO RESPONSE) |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN: Anyone in the audience have any |
| 11 | questions concerning this application? |
| 12 | (NO RESPONSE) |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN: The Chair is ready for a motion. |
| 14 | Mr. Ball. |
| 15 | MR. BALL: Motion to approve based on Planning |
| 16 | Staff Recommendations, Findings of Fact 1 through 6 |
| 17 | and the one condition. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made by Mr. Ball |
| 19 | for approval based on the condition and Findings of |
| 20 | Fact 1 through 6. Is there a second to this motion? |
| 21 | MR. MOORE: Second. |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Moore. Any |
| 23 | discussion about the motion or the second? |
| 24 | (NO RESPONSE) |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN: There being none all those in favor |
| | Ohio Valley Reporting |
| | (270) 683-7383 |

| 1 | raise your right hand. |
|-------------|---|
| 2 | (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT - WITH IRVIN ROGERS |
| 3 | RECUSING HIMSELF - RESPONDED AYE.) |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN: Motion carries. |
| 5 | |
| 6 7 8 | NEW BUSINESS ITEM 6 Consider approval of March 2018 financial statement |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN: All the commissioners hopefully |
| 10 | have received the financial statements for March and |
| 11 | have had a chance to look through those. Is there any |
| 12 | questions or comment or changes concerning the |
| 13 | financial statement? |
| 14 | (NO RESPONSE) |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN: There being none the chair is ready |
| 16 | for a motion. |
| 17 | MR. REEVES: Motion to approve. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN: Motion to approve by Mr. Reeves. |
| 19 | Is there a second? |
| 20 | MR. BALL: Second. |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Ball. Any discussion |
| 22 | or comment about the motion or the second? |
| 23 | (NO RESPONSE) |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN: There being none all those in favor |
| 25 | raise your right hand. |
| | Ohio Valley Reporting |

| 1 | (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.) |
|--------|---|
| 2 | CHAIRMAN: Motion carries. |
| 3 4 | ITEM 7 Comments by the Chairman |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN: Just a few comments. |
| 6 | We had a number of folks from the Board of |
| 7 | Adjustment and the Commissioners who attended the |
| 8 | conference in New Orleans. You know, in sitting in |
| 9 | those meetings, they're quite informative, but one of |
| 10 | the things that I personally noted with what I saw in |
| 11 | the sessions and presentations, that our Planning and |
| 12 | Zoning Department is well and far ahead of a lot of |
| 13 | Planning and Zoning Departments in this country. They |
| 14 | have done a great job of putting together a process |
| 15 | that people can understand, the information is there. |
| 16 | There's plenty of opportunities for the public to |
| 17 | comment. I just saw that they put together a great |
| 18 | process that many larger cities probably would |
| 19 | certainly admire. So I congratulate them on putting |
| 20 | all of that together. Just wanted to make a couple of |
| 21 | comments that we've got a great Planning and Zoning |
| 22 | Department and great Staff. |
| 23 | That's all the comments I had. |
| 24 | ITEM 8 |
| 25 | Comments by the Planning Commission |
| | Ohio Valley Reporting |

| Τ | (NO RESPONSE) |
|-----|--|
| 2 3 | ITEM 9 Comments by the Director |
| 4 | MR. HOWARD: I just make a quick comment. |
| 5 | We didn't ask them to come tonight. I would |
| 6 | like to recognize John Pickrell and Sheila Moore from |
| 7 | our office who will both be retiring at the end of |
| 8 | this month. They've both been with our office for a |
| 9 | long, long time. They will certainly be missed. We |
| 10 | wish them well in retirement. We'll have a little |
| 11 | something for them as a Staff here later on in the |
| 12 | month as a thank you sending off party. Just wanted |
| 13 | to also publically thank them for their job and |
| 14 | services they provided to our organization and what |
| 15 | they've done for our community. |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Brian. |
| 17 | Also mirror those from the commission as well. |
| 18 | You did talk to them in terms of maybe coming back and |
| 19 | working for free at some point? |
| 20 | MR. HOWARD: Oh, sure. We'll take free labor |
| 21 | at any point. Volunteer work is great. |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN: There being no other comments we're |
| 23 | ready for the next motion for adjournment. |
| 24 | MS. HARDAWAY: Motion to adjourn. |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN: Motion to adjourn. Do we have a |
| | Ohio Valley Reporting |
| | |

| 1 | second? |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. JEAN: Second. |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Jean. All those in |
| 4 | in favor raise your right hand. |
| 5 | (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.) |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN: We are adjourned. |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

Ohio Valley Reporting (270) 683-7383

| 1 | STATE OF KENTUCKY) |
|----------|--|
| |)SS: REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE |
| 2 | COUNTY OF DAVIESS) |
| 3 | I, LYNNETTE KOLLER FUCHS, Notary Public in and |
| 4 | for the State of Kentucky at Large, do hereby certify |
| 5 | that the foregoing Owensboro Metropolitan Planning |
| 6 | Commission meeting was held at the time and place as |
| 7 | stated in the caption to the foregoing proceedings; |
| 8 | that each person commenting on issues under discussion |
| 9 | were duly sworn before testifying; that the Board |
| 10 | members present were as stated in the caption; that |
| 11 | said proceedings were taken by me in stenotype and |
| 12 | electronically recorded and was thereafter, by me, |
| 13 | accurately and correctly transcribed into the |
| 14 | foregoing 23 typewritten pages; and that no signature |
| 15 | was requested to the foregoing transcript. |
| 16 | WITNESS my hand and notary seal on this the |
| 17 | 2nd day of June, 2018. |
| 18 19 | |
| 20 | LYNNETTE KOLLER FUCHS NOTARY ID 524564 |
| 21 | OHIO VALLEY REPORTING SERVICES 2200 E. PARRISH AVE, SUITE 106E OWENSBORO, KENTUCKY 42303 |
| 22 23 | COMMISSION EXPIRES: DECEMBER 16, 2018 |
| 24 | COUNTY OF RESIDENCE: DAVIESS COUNTY, KENTUCKY |
| 25 | |
| | Ohio Valley Reporting |