1	OWENSBORO METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION
2	APRIL 12, 2018
3	The Owensboro Metropolitan Planning Commission
4	met in regular session at 5:30 p.m. on Thursday, April
5	12, 2018, at City Hall, Commission Chambers,
6	Owensboro, Kentucky, and the proceedings were as
7	follows:
8	MEMBERS PRESENT: Larry Boswell, Chairman Larry Moore, Vice Chairman
9	Lewis Jean, Secretary Brian Howard, Director
10	Terra Knight, Attorney Irvin Rogers
11	Beverly McEnroe
12	Manuel Ball Fred Reeves
13	John Kazlauskas Mike Edge
13	Angela Hardaway
14	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
15 16	CHAIRMAN: I would like to welcome everyone to
17	the Owensboro Metropolitan Planning Commission meeting
18	of April 12, 2018. We start all of our meetings with
19	a prayer and a pledge, and Commissioner Reeves will
20	lead us in that tonight. Please join us.
21	(INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.)
22	CHAIRMAN: Before we get started tonight, just
23	a couple of housekeeping rules. Since we are a quasi
24	legal meeting, we have a few rules that we'd ask your
25	cooperation on.
	Ohio Valley Reporting

Ohio Valley Reporting
(270) 683-7383

_	ii you wish to speak, please approach the
2	podiums and clearly state your name and be sworn in by
3	counsel it's important that we hear all of the
4	information for informed decision making.
5	Direct all questions and answers to the Chair,
6	especially if we do have multiple speakers. Be
7	respectful of others who may be speaking. Please stay
8	on topic with all discussions and comments. And
9	questions, keeping them specific to the business at
10	hand that's on the agenda. Thank you for your
11	cooperation.
12	We have the minutes that all of the
13	commissioner hopefully received and have had a chance
14	to look through those minutes. Are there any
15	questions or changes or discussion about those
16	minutes?
17	(NO RESPONSE)
18	CHAIRMAN: There being none the Chair is ready
19	for a motion.
20	Ms. McEnroe.
21	MS. McENROE: Move that we accept the minutes
22	as printed.
23	CHAIRMAN: Ms. McEnroe has moved to approve
24	the minutes. Do we have a second?
25	MR. REEVES: Second.
	Ohio Valley Reporting
	(270) 683-7383

1	CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Reeves. Any
2	discussions about the motion or the second?
3	(NO RESPONSE)
4	CHAIRMAN: There being none all those in favor
5	raise your right hand.
6	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
7	CHAIRMAN: The minutes are approved.
8	
9	GENERAL BUSINESS
10	CELLULAR TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITIES PER KRS 100.987
11	ITEM 3
12	10945 Indian Hill Road
	Consider approval of a wireless telecommunications
13	tower.
	Applicant: New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC d/b/a AT&T
14	Moblity; Brian R. & Anita M. Johnson
15	MS. KNIGHT: Please state your name for the
16	record.
17	MS. EVANS: Melissa Evans.
18	(MELISSA EVANS SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
19	MS. EVANS: This application is for a 305-foot
20	lattice tower with a 15-foot lightning rod on top of
21	it. All the materials for the application have been
22	completed in accordance with the Zoning Ordinance.
23	The applicant is asking for a couple of
24	waivers in this application.
25	The first waiver is in a requirement of the
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	setback. Typically the setback is required to be half
2	the distance of the tower from the property line. So
3	the required setback of the tower is 160 feet from the
4	property line. The proposed tower will be less than
5	that, from all of the property let me back up.
6	So the leased area is only 100 by 100. So the
7	setback is half the height of the tower. So with 100
8	by 100 leased area the tower could not meet that
9	setback because there's not enough room on the
10	proposed leased area. However, the tower is further
11	than that from the actual property lines of the parent
12	tract. So it does meet the overall requirement from
13	the parent track property line; just not the leased
14	area. That is fairly typical with those 100 by 100
15	foot lots for the leased areas of the cell towers.
16	The second waiver is on the height. The
17	maximum height allowed is 200-foot tower; however, the
18	applicant hasn't received approval from FAA and KAZC
19	for the approval of the tower being taller than the
20	200 foot, and they do have the lighted beckon on the
21	top of the tower.
22	FINDINGS
23	1. The application is complete with all
24	materials in accordance with the Owensboro
25	Metropolitan Zoning Ordinance;
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	2. The site is in compliance with all design
2	criteria of the Owensboro Metropolitan Zoning
3	Ordinance;
4	3. The permanent tower will improve service
5	for the users within the community; and,
6	4. By providing the opportunity for multiple
7	service providers on this tower, we are promoting the
8	goal of the Comprehensive Plan to encourage
9	collocation in order to minimize the number of
10	telecommunication towers.
11	We would like to enter the Staff Report into
12	the record as Exhibit A.
13	CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Melissa.
14	Is there anyone here representing the
15	applicant?
16	MS. KNIGHT: Please state your name for the
17	record.
18	MR. GRANT: Good evening. Robert Grant.
19	MS. KNIGHT: Sir, you're an attorney, correct?
20	MR. GRANT: Yes, I am.
21	MS. KNIGHT: You are so sworn. Thank you.
22	MR. GRANT: Thank you so much. I'm going to
23	be very brief this evening.
24	This application is part of AT&T Mobility's
25	response to an initiative by the governor to penetrate
	Ohio Valley Reporting

- into rural areas that are not served or poorly served.
- 2 AT&T has over nearly 300 sites across the State of
- 3 Kentucky.
- 4 What we're attempting to do is to bring not
- 5 only wireless service, but wireless local group which
- 6 is an internet base service. What we want to do is we
- 7 want to bring the new 4G and 5G technologies into the
- 8 state.
- 9 If you look at a tower map of the county,
- 10 there's a high concentration of wireless facilities in
- 11 the City of Owensboro. The rest of the county is not
- 12 as well served. So that's what this application is
- 13 for. That's what we intend to do. We've been here
- with a couple of preceding this, and I believe there's
- 15 several more in line for the county.
- 16 So with that I'm going to shut up. There's
- much more I could say, but I'm just here to answer
- 18 your questions, if you have any questions, about this
- 19 application.
- 20 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 21 Do any of the commissioners have any questions
- of the applicant?
- MR. EDGE: I do.
- 24 CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr. Edge.
- MR. EDGE: Just a quick question. What's the

- 1 radius that this will cover and how far will it reach
- 2 into Hancock County because obviously it sits on the
- 3 line?
- 4 MR. GRANT: I believe it's about two miles
- from Hancock County. I don't have an R.F. engineer
- 6 here with me tonight so I can't tell you for certain
- 7 what the penetration will be.
- 8 Typically with a tower of this tall in rural
- 9 areas the coverage is several miles. It will
- 10 penetrate a little bit into Hancock County, but it's
- 11 designed primarily to serve this county.
- MR. EDGE: Thank you.
- 13 MR. GRANT: If you look at the tower map
- exhibit, you'll see that there is a huge, huge hole.
- There are no towers, there are no facilities in this
- area of the county. It's significantly underserved.
- 17 CHAIRMAN: Does that, Commissioner Edge,
- 18 answer your question?
- 19 MR. EDGE: It does. I was hoping to get a
- 20 little bit more specific, but that's okay.
- 21 MR. GRANT: There's a radio frequency need
- 22 report that will answer some of your questions in the
- 23 application materials.
- 24 CHAIRMAN: This may be a sidebar issue with
- 25 Commissioner Edge's question. There's a statement

1	made that no other suitable locations were in the
2	vicinity.
3	Would it be a fair statement to say that part
4	of that is because if you locate it somewhere else
5	that it may have some interference with any other
6	towers that might be close by or anywhere in the area?
7	MR. GRANT: That's correct. First of all,
8	there are no towers is this area that we can put our
9	equipment on colocation. To serve the area we have to
10	construct a new tower first of all.
11	Second of all, as some of you probably already
12	know from prior applications, the term "cellular
13	technology" comes from the idea that the network looks
14	like a honeycomb. Each tower is a cell that serves an
15	area. It overlaps nearby towers so that when you
16	drive down the highway you won't get a loss of
17	service. It passes from tower to tower to tower.
18	It's pretty heavy fascinating technology. Did I

19 answer your question?

20 CHAIRMAN: Yes. Yes, you did. It's a

21 seamless transition.

MR. GRANT: It's a seamless transition, yes,

23 sir.

24 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

MR. GRANT: Any other questions?

Ohio Valley Reporting

1	CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr. Reeves.
2	MR. REEVES: Kind of piggyback on a comment
3	you made because we don't want to end up with a world
4	of cell towers. Would you be able to let other
5	carriers co-locate on your tower if you build this
6	tower?
7	MR. GRANT: Absolutely. This tower is
8	constructed for a minimum, a minimum of four carriers
9	equipment. We have agreements with all the other
10	carriers to allow them to co-locate on our towers and
11	we co-locate on theirs.
12	MR. REEVES: Thank you. Appreciate that.
13	CHAIRMAN: Yes, Commission Kazlauskas.
14	MR. KAZLAUSKAS: On the very back, of course,
15	this is looking way in the future. I won't be here
16	and probably several of us here in this room probably
17	won't be here. It says, "When the facility is no
18	longer required, the owner should remove it and
19	restore the land to its natural state." That's sort
20	of weak, isn't? Shouldn't that be "shall?"
21	CHAIRMAN: We're no able to hear you,
22	Commissioner.
23	MR. KAZLAUSKAS: I'm sorry. Do you want me to
24	start from the beginning.
25	MR. GRANT: I heard you. I think I understand
	Ohio Valley Reporting
	(270) 683-7383

1	what your comment, if you would like for me to
2	respond.
3	MR. KAZLAUSKAS: Can you do that, please, sir?
4	MR. GRANT: Absolutely. Our lease agreement
5	with the property owner for this facility has a
6	provision in it that we will, we will remove the tower
7	in the event that it's no longer used. We have that
8	language in all of our lease agreements; not only in
9	this county or in this state, but all across the
10	country.
11	MR. KAZLAUSKAS: The reason I'm asking that
12	question I know over around Sacramento there's an old
13	tower that's been there for years and years and years
14	and I don't believe it's been used forever.
15	MR. GRANT: There's been a lot of changes from
16	the early days. I don't know whether that was a cell
17	tower or a tower constructed for some other purpose.
18	I can tell you from the early days of cellular there's
19	been many changes. We've learned as we've gone along.
20	One of the changes, one of the things we've learned is
21	this removal language. Nobody wants to leave these
22	things standing.
23	I will also tell you that I've been doing this
24	nearly 15 years. In the 15 years that I've been doing
25	this, I can't remember a single tower being

Ohio Valley Reporting

1	decommissioned. With the new 4G and 5G technology,
2	with our Smartphones, it's not just about voice
3	anymore. It's about data. As you know, data not just
4	text messaging, but access to the internet. That's
5	all data transfer, and that takes up a lot of our band
6	width. That takes up a lot of our capacity. So what
7	that means for us and for you guys is for your
8	Smartphones to work the way they're intended, rather
9	than decommissioning sites, it's necessary to put more
10	in to carry that load. Little bit like a traffic jam
11	during the holidays leading to the shopping mall or
12	something.
13	MR. KAZLAUSKAS: Thank you so much. You've
14	answered my question. If it's not used, it's going to
15	be removed?
16	MR. GRANT: It will be removed.
17	CHAIRMAN: Any other commissioners have any
18	questions for the applicant?
19	(NO RESPONSE)
20	CHAIRMAN: Anyone in the audience have a
21	question of the applicant?
22	(NO RESPONSE)

24 motion.

Mr. Kazlauskas.

23

25

Ohio Valley Reporting
(270) 683-7383

CHAIRMAN: If not the chair is ready for a

1	MR. KAZLAUSKAS: Make a motion for approval
2	included with Waiver 1 and 2 and Findings 1 through 4.
3	CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made for approval
4	based on Waivers 1 and 2 and Findings of Fact 1
5	through 4. Is there a second?
6	MR. EDGE: Second.
7	CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Edge. Any discussion
8	or comment about the motion or the second?
9	(NO RESPONSE)
10	CHAIRMAN: There being none all those in favor
11	raise your right hand.
12	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
13	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.
14	RELATED ITEM
15	ITEM 3A
16	10945 Indian Hill Road, 0.23 acres
	Consider approval of a minor subdivision plat
17	Applicant: New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC d/b/a AT&T
	Mobility; Brian R. & Anita M. Johnson
18	
19	MR. HOWARD: This plat comes before you to
20	create a parcel for this cell tower to be located on.
21	It does not have road frontage and we cannot sign it
22	at the Staff level so it has to come before you all
23	for an exception. These are very common with cell
24	tower applications. It's limited to use for cell
25	tower and related equipment only; otherwise, it's a
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	non-buildable lot. So we recommend that you consider
2	it for approval.
3	CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Howard.
4	Would the applicant like to say anything
5	concerning this particular application?
6	MR. GRANT: No, sir.
7	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
8	Any commissioners have any questions
9	concerning this part of the application?
10	(NO RESPONSE)
11	CHAIRMAN: Anyone in the audience have a
12	question concerning this part?
13	(NO RESPONSE)
14	CHAIRMAN: The Chair is ready for a motion.
15	Mr. Jean.
16	MR. JEAN: Make a motion to approve the minor
17	subdivision plat.
18	CHAIRMAN: A motion has made to approve a
19	minor subdivision plat. Do we have a second?
20	MR. BALL: Second.
21	CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Ball. Any discussion
22	or comment about the motion or second?
23	(NO RESPONSE)
24	CHAIRMAN: There being none all those in favor
25	raise your right hand.

Ohio Valley Reporting
(270) 683-7383

1	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
2	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.
3	MR. HOWARD: I will note that the zoning
4	changes heard tonight will become final in 21 days
5	after the meeting unless an appeal is filed. If an
6	appeal is filed, we will forward the record of this
7	meeting along with all appropriate materials to the
8	appropriate legislative body for them to take final
9	action.
10	ZONING CHANGES
11	ITEM 4
12	Portion of 4981 Jack Hinton Road, 1.42 acres
	Consider zoning change: From R-1A Single-Family
13	Residential, I-1 Light Industrial & A-R Rural
	Agriculture to B-4 General Business
14	Applicant: Susan Cox Development, LLC; Crandall
	Properties, LLC
15	
16	PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
17	The Planning Staff recommends approval subject
18	to the conditions and findings of fact that follow:
19	CONDITIONS
20	1. Approval of a subdivision plat creating
21	the proposed 1.42 acre lot.
22	2. Approval of a site plan demonstrating
23	compliance with zoning ordinance requirements
24	including, but not limited to, parking, landscaping,
25	building setbacks, access management and signage.
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	3. Access to the site shall be compliant with
2	applicable zoning ordinance regulations. If access to
3	Highway 54 is proposed, KYTC approval will be required
4	prior to site plan or development plan approval.
5	FINDINGS OF FACT
6	1. Staff recommends approval because the
7	proposal is in compliance with the community's adopted
8	Comprehensive Plan;
9	2. The subject property is located in a Rural
10	Community Plan Area where general business uses are
11	appropriate in limited locations;
12	3. The proposed use as retail sales conforms
13	to the criteria for nonresidential development;
14	4. The property is major-street-oriented,
15	located along Highway 54; and
16	5. The property is sited at the corner of
17	intersecting streets, Highway 54 and Jack Hinton Road.
18	MS. EVANS: We would like to enter the Staff
19	Report into the record as Exhibit B.
20	CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Melissa.
21	Is anyone here representing the applicant?
22	MR. KAMUF: Charles Kamuf.
23	MS. KNIGHT: Mr. Kamuf, you're sworn as an
24	attorney.
25	MR. KAMUF: We agree with the Staff Report.
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	Mr. Crandall is here, owner of the property, and also
2	the engineering firm of Arnold Engineering out of
3	Bowling Green is here. We're here to answer any
4	questions that you may have.
5	CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Kamuf.
6	Do any of the commissioners have any questions
7	for the applicant?
8	(NO RESPONSE)
9	CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone in the audience
10	that would have a question concerning this
11	application?
12	(NO RESPONSE)
13	CHAIRMAN: I do just have I guess a comment.
14	Not necessarily a condition. I know the history of
15	that particular location from years ago there was a
16	tire business there.
17	MR. KAMUF: That's right.
18	CHAIRMAN: I don't know if there's any issue
19	or concern or any question about any of the
20	environmental things that might have gone on there.
21	MR. KAMUF: I think we're okay. Mr. Crandall
22	is here. He can answer that.
23	This is Mr. Crandall, the owner of the
24	property.
25	MS. KNIGHT: If you'll state your name for the

Ohio Valley Reporting

1	record.
2	MR. CRANDALL: Ken Crandall.
3	(KEN CRANDALL SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
4	MR. CRANDALL: Prior to even bidding on the
5	property, I called the EPA office here, wherever it
6	was. I think it was here in town. I asked them about
7	any contamination and they said that, and I've got a
8	letter I can provide for you. That it was cleaned up
9	and it was all okay. I had to have that before I
10	would even bid on it. So there is no contamination.
11	CHAIRMAN: And you have that in written form?
12	MR. CRANDALL: Yes, I have it somewhere down
13	there and I'll be will to find it for you.
14	CHAIRMAN: I want to make sure we have it
15	recorded.
16	MR. CRANDALL: Absolutely.
17	CHAIRMAN: I think that answers my question.
18	I just remember some of the history around there.
19	MR. CRANDALL: Yes. It was a mess.
20	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
21	If there are no other questions at this point
22	in time, the Chair is ready for a motion.
23	Mr. Rogers.
24	MR. ROGERS: Mr. Chairman, I make a motion for
25	approval based on Planning Staff Recommendation with
	Ohio Valley Reporting
	(270) 683-7383

1	the three conditions and Findings of Fact 1 through 5.
2	CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made for approval
3	based on the three conditions and Findings of Fact 1
4	through 5. Do we have a second?
5	MS. McENROE: Second.
6	CHAIRMAN: Second by Ms. McEnroe. Any
7	discussion or comment about the motion or the second?
8	(NO RESPONSE)
9	CHAIRMAN: There being none all those in favor
10	raise your right hand.
11	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
12	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.
13	ITEM 5
14	207 Phillips Court, 0.234 acres
	Consider zoning change: From R-4DT Inner City
15	Residential to P-1 Professional/Service
	Applicant: WBW Properties, LLC
16	
17	PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
18	The Planning Staff recommends approval subject
19	to the findings of fact that follow:
20	FINDINGS OF FACT
21	1. Staff recommends approval because the
22	proposal is in compliance with the community's adopted
23	Comprehensive Plan;
24	2. The subject property is located in a
25	Central Residential Plan Area, where
	Ohio Valley Reporting
	(270) 683-7383

- 1 professional/service uses are appropriate in limited
- 2 locations;
- 3 3. The proposed use as office space will be
- 4 nonresidential in nature; and,
- 5 4. The proposed P-1 zoning is a logical
- 6 expansion of the existing P-1 zoning to the north and
- 7 west.
- 8 MS. EVANS: We would like to enter the Staff
- 9 Report into the record as Exhibit C.
- 10 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Melissa.
- 11 Is there anyone here representing the
- 12 applicant?
- MR. WEAVER: Yes.
- 14 CHAIRMAN: Would you like to speak on their
- 15 behalf?
- MR. WEAVER: Only if there's questions.
- 17 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 18 Do any of the commissioners have any questions
- 19 concerning this application?
- Yes, Commissioner Reeves.
- 21 MR. REEVES: Is there currently a building on
- the property that's going to be used for this purpose?
- MS. KNIGHT: Please state your name for the
- 24 record.
- MR. WEAVER: David Weaver.

(DAVID WEAVER SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
MR. WEAVER: I'm the property owner, WBW
Properties and Bryant Engineering, we own the property
to the west.
There is an existing house on the property.
It's been vacated for some time. Actually it was
previously gutted before we purchased the property.
CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
Thank you, Mr. Reeves.
Any other commissioners have any questions
concerning this application?
(NO RESPONSE)
CHAIRMAN: Anyone in the audience have a
question?
Please step forward.
MS. KNIGHT: Sir, could you state your name
for the record, please?
MR. ADAMS: Don Adams.
(DON ADAMS SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
MR. ADAMS: I live across the street at 210
Phillips Court. Phillips Court is a very small
street. I don't know how many people they're planning
on putting in this building, but the parking will be
limited. There's not very good access to Phillips
Court. They said the P-1 or whatever it is zoning to

- 1 the west and north, that's true, but not on Phillips
- 2 Court. It's a very quiet residential neighborhood.
- We don't care what happens on Frederica. We can't
- 4 control that, but Phillips Court is a different story.
- 5 Nobody said what they're going to do. I don't know if
- 6 they're going to be offices in there or use it for
- 7 storage. We don't know.
- 8 CHAIRMAN: Would you be able to address his
- 9 question?
- 10 MR. WEAVER: David Weaver again.
- 11 With only the existing office to the west, our
- 12 anticipated plan is to combine that property with ours
- and expand our parking lot slightly to allow room for
- parking along the side. There's currently no driveway
- 15 access to Phillips Court from that existing lot.
- 16 There is access to the north. There's an existing
- 17 alley. There's actually two or three unpaved parking
- 18 spots there now. We anticipate utilizing that area
- 19 for parking in the portion of our existing lot. There
- 20 will be no new driveway. We understand what -- I'm
- 21 sorry, I didn't catch his last name.
- MR. ADAMS: Don Adams.
- MR. WEAVER: Mr. Adams.
- 24 We understand what Mr. Adams says because,
- 25 you know, our office is on that court.

1	We don't plan on enlarging the existing
2	structure. We plan on using it it's about 2022
3	maybe square feet at the most. So it's not large
4	enough for very many offices in it. I couldn't tell
5	you how many people might work there eventually, but I
6	wouldn't suspect very many.
7	CHAIRMAN: Does that somewhat answer your
8	question?
9	MR. ADAMS: Well, somewhat.
10	CHAIRMAN: Please approach the podium and
11	direct your questions to the Chair, please.
12	MR. ADAMS: The gentleman said his office is
13	on Phillips Court. I have a question about that. I
14	don't believe his address is Phillips Court. I think
15	it's Frederica. He's got an access, driveway access
16	on Phillips, and that's understandable, but it's at
17	the very edge of Phillips close to Frederica.
18	MR. WEAVER: David Weaver again.
19	He is correct. Our street address is 1535
20	Frederica Street. Our drive access is off of Phillips
21	Court. Obviously we're at the intersection there at
22	the northeast quadrant of that intersection, Bryant
23	Engineering. I'm sure you know where it is.
24	CHAIRMAN: I think your question was around
25	utilization of that, which I think he addressed that
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	question.
2	MR. ADAMS: Sort of. He's saying he's not
3	going to use Phillips Court for parking, like street
4	parking, I believe is what I understood him to say. I
5	understand he said he was going to combine the two
6	properties and extend his parking from his Frederica
7	address, and that's fine. I just want to make sure
8	that everyone knows what's going on because there's
9	not very good access off Phillips Court onto Frederica
10	unless you're turning right. I know what the previous
11	Planning Commission. He said that light there
12	controls Phillips Court, but it doesn't. You can't
13	hardly make a left-hand turn off of Phillips Court to
14	Frederica. That's my only concern. More traffic. I
15	don't know what they're going to do with the building.
16	Are they going to change the look of the building?
17	They did a great job on their new office, when they
18	moved into their new office. They did an awesome job,
19	and they've been good neighbors.
20	CHAIRMAN: I think the issue here, we're
21	looking at a rezoning of the property for some
22	utilization of what he's going to use it for at a
23	later date. It sounds like he's answered your
24	question about what he's going to be using it for, I
25	think.

Ohio Valley Reporting
(270) 683-7383

1	MR. ADAMS: Maybe I have a different idea of
2	understanding. He said he's going to use it for
3	office space is all I got out of it.
4	CHAIRMAN: And I believe parking as well; is
5	that correct? Did hear parking as well?
6	MR. WEAVER: David Weaver again.
7	There's not a whole lot of width on that lot.
8	I think it's 60 feet wide, if I remember correctly.
9	We're not going to have any that lot itself will
10	not have any parking that will come off of Phillips
11	Court. What we're anticipating doing is with only
12	that lot that gives us the ability to widen out.
13	There's some grass green space between our existing
14	pavement and that existing house. It gives us room
15	enough to widen out and create two aisles of parking
16	along the side of our property. So we're anticipating
17	doing that, and then anticipating utilizing parking
18	off of that alley. In theory, you know, half of the
19	parking that will support an office there would have
20	access to the alley to the north. You know, a 2000
21	square foot office would require five parking spots
22	per the zoning ordinance. We'll probably have two or
23	three of those off the alley or two or three of those
24	off of our parking lot.
25	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	Approach the podium, please.
2	MS. KNIGHT: Please state your name for the
3	record.
4	MS. McCULLEY: Mary McCulley.
5	(MARY McCULLEY SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
6	MS. McCULLEY: I had some questions again for
7	the applicant.
8	I'm also at 206 Phillips Court, directly
9	across the street. If you can take a minute to look
10	up at the view or the aerial view, you can clearly see
11	where across the street from their building, which is
12	the Boswell building, is a parking lot. Of course,
13	heard paved paradise and turned it into a parking lot.
14	That's kind of how I feel is what is happening.
15	I would like to understand why you cut down
16	those two 100 year old Maple trees on the side of your
17	building a couple of months ago. There is a large
18	tree to the rear of the lot, as you can see on the
19	alley, that I photographed today that's just
20	magnificent. Again, I can see them just say, well,
21	let's just chop that down and pave over and get more
22	parking spots. That concerns me, as well as the fact
23	that we are in a historic designated area. My
24	building has a Historic of America plaque on it, my
25	house. I'm not sure if that one does or not, but
	Ohio Valley Reporting
	(000) 600 0000

- 1 certainly the street has a historic designation, and I
- 2 would not want to see it just getting more commercial.
- 3 I can understand and I do appreciate that they've been
- 4 very low key on their existing building. I've never
- 5 seen their parking lot full so I don't understand
- about more parking, perhaps more office space, but
- 7 there is no access off of Phillips Court. Don is
- 8 correct. I think about that; signage, you know, trees
- 9 and such.
- 10 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 11 MR. WEAVER: David Weaver again. I'll address
- 12 the trees first.
- I believe there were two trees on Maple
- 14 Street. They actually weren't our trees. They were
- the City of Owensboro's trees. They were on the
- 16 right-of-way. The City actually cut those down.
- 17 Now, we did call the City and ask for them to
- 18 look at those trees because they were dropping large
- 19 limbs. A pretty good size limb had fallen and we got
- 20 concerned over a limb falling on a car, that sort of
- 21 thing. There was a lot of dead in both of those trees
- that came down.
- 23 As far as the look of the house, we intend to
- 24 keep it generally like it is now. If you're familiar
- with what the house looks like currently right now,

1	it's got an old aluminum storm door on the front.
2	We're going to get rid of that door. We're going to
3	put in a nice entry and spruce it up.
4	We won't be utilizing that building for Bryant
5	Engineering. Our anticipation is to lease that office
6	space to whoever we could lease it to, and to be able
7	to when that property become available, it was a
8	logical purchase for us because it does adjoin us and
9	that gives us room for future growth, if we were to
10	need it. In the interim, the intention is to utilize
11	the existing house basically as it is with the
12	exception of some remodeling.
13	Does that answer the questions?
14	MS. McCULLEY: So you're just going to make
15	it, you got an \$80,000 piece of commercial property
16	right across from Griffith Avenue that you're just
17	going to lease; is that correct? You're going to
18	basically upgrade it and then put some parking spots
19	and lease it somebody else?
20	MR. WEAVER: That's our intention, yes.
21	MS. McCULLEY: I don't agree to that
22	obviously. You know, we're a residential area and we
23	have a lot of children in that area. Mary Kendall
24	home is there. You know, I don't like the idea of
25	just, you know, suddenly growing a business. I think

Ohio Valley Reporting

25

1	it'd be better a residence. \$80,000 was a reasonable
2	amount to pay for a residence. I think that's a
3	ridiculously cheap amount to pay for a business in
4	that location and allow them just to do whatever and
5	turn it into a rental.
6	MR. EDGE: May I ask a question?
7	CHAIRMAN: Yes.
8	MR. EDGE: How long has it been vacant?
9	MR. WEAVER: If you're asking me, I don't know
10	for sure. The house was foreclosed on. We tried to
11	purchase it when it went for auction at the courthouse
12	steps, and weren't able to do so. We ended up
13	purchasing from the individual that did acquire the
14	property. I'm not sure on that.
15	MS. McCULLEY: About two years probably.
16	MR. WEAVER: We did purchase it for, I'll say
17	we purchased it for 75,000. It's about what you would
18	expect for a 2,000 square foot house in a nice area
19	for 75,000. It's gutted. There's no kitchen.
20	There's no bathrooms. We intend to put a considerable
21	amount of money into it.
22	CHAIRMAN: Question maybe for Mr. Howard or
23	Planning Staff. I'm assuming what their intention is
24	would not be able to be covered under the R-4DT Inner

(270) 683-7383

Ohio Valley Reporting

City Residential current zoning; is that correct?

1	MR. HOWARD: That's right. They're proposing
2	to use it for office space. That's not a permitted
3	use in a residential zone.
4	CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Howard.
5	MS. McCULLEY: Again, my concern is there is
6	no access on Phillips Court. So we have such an issue
7	with, you know, it's a dead end basically. Okay. So
8	he leases as an office and they suddenly get this
9	office at 206 Phillips Court or 207 Phillips Court,
10	well, and there goes all the Google maps. You know
11	what I mean? The only access is off that alley.
12	There is no way to access that property unless you
13	park right on that street, and it's not even wide
14	enough for two cars to park. It's an old, old street.
15	So there's my concern. How do people find this to
16	rent this new business; a doctor's office or it could
17	be, I don't know.
18	CHAIRMAN: Well, if you use Google maps,
19	they're usually two years behind anyway.
20	MS. McCULLEY: Right. They're going to end up
21	driving up and down and not knowing, you know. Does
22	that mean they're going to have to get signage permit
23	on the alley and that old bridal shop which is, again,
24	falling down. I mean it was enough for them to think
25	they were going to use it for office space, but to
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1 understand they're just going to develop it and lease 2 it, I think that's unacceptable for you to put that in the middle of a historic residential neighborhood with 3 old trees right across from Griffith Avenue. They would never tolerate that on Griffith. I really want 5 you to think about it. 6 7 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 8 MR. WEAVER: David Weaver again. I wish I had brought a picture of what the 9 10 house looks like. It's a dull blue vinyl right now. It does have a real nice looking hip roof to it. It 11 12 doesn't have the historic look that the rest of the neighborhood has. I agree there's several really nice 13 houses in that neighborhood and we like being there. 14 15 Our intent is to make it look better than it does. I 16 think, as I stated previously, we're not planning on 17 cutting a new access point to Phillips Court. We're 18 going to be utilizing our existing parking lot and 19 parking to the north off the alley, which that alley 20 will see half the parking that this office would 21 generate. That traffic doesn't comingle with Phillips 22 Court traffic. 23 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 24 Yes, Mr. Reeves. 25 MR. REEVES: My supposition, Mr. Howard, is Ohio Valley Reporting

1	they could have applied for this to be B-4, right?
2	MR. HOWARD: They could have submitted
3	application for B-4, yes.
4	MR. REEVES: P-1 is much less onerous than a
5	B-4 rezoning; would that not be accurate?
6	MR. HOWARD: A P-1 zone, a professional zone
7	would not have the traffic generating uses in it that
8	a B-4 zone will allow. Like retail sales,
9	restaurants, things like that are not permitted in a
10	P-1 zone.
11	MR. REEVES: Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN: Mr. Kazlauskas.
13	MR. KAZLAUSKAS: Mr. Weaver, looking at the
14	overhead here, I just want to make sure that I
15	understood what you said. Here at 207 to the west
16	where your parking lot ends, is there a fence or just
17	some green area there, and is it your intention to
18	make parking up to that house or is that just going to
19	stay green space, and is the additional parking going
20	to be to the north? Can you explain that, give us
21	some ideas at what you're thinking there?
22	MR. WEAVER: Yes, I think I can explain that.
23	The additional parking to the north is pretty
24	easy to explain. There's an existing alley.
25	Currently there's a gravel area where two to three
	Ohio Valley Reporting

- 1 cars can park. We'll end up paving that in compliance
- 2 with the ordinance and have that set up such that cars
- 3 can park off the alley.
- 4 As far as what I'm talking about in
- 5 relationship to improvements to our parking lot, our
- 6 parking lot on the side adjoining that is not 60 feet
- 7 wide, but having that house would allow us to widen
- 8 that parking lot enough to achieve a 60 foot width,
- 9 which a 60 foot width would give you an 18 foot
- 10 parking lane, 24 foot traffic aisle, and then 18 foot
- 11 parking lane. I can't really recall the exact image,
- but there's a pretty wide green area between the edge
- of our parking and the existing house. So we'd be
- 14 paving just enough to achieve that 60 foot width and
- still be a green strip between the existing house and
- 16 the edge of our parking.
- 17 MR. KAZLAUSKAS: Access will still be coming
- off of wherever your access is now?
- 19 MR. WEAVER: Yes. We'll be utilizing the
- 20 existing access.
- 21 MR. KAZLAUSKAS: You'll be using that access
- 22 point?
- MR. WEAVER: Yes. You know, by the Zoning
- Ordinance you're talking about five parking spots is
- 25 all that's required to support that.

1	MR. KAZLAUSKAS: Thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN: Yes.
3	MR. ADAMS: Don Adams again.
4	I'm a little confused about the parking from
5	the existing lot. He's saying he's going to cut in
6	and do something off of his, I believe that's the
7	eastern side, the western side of the proposed
8	parking, I guess. So is he going to change the
9	dimensions of his lot? Is that what you're saying?
10	Another way to look at is, is that property going to
11	be in whatever WBW Property name, is the other
12	property which is the engineering firm, is that the
13	same company that owns that? We're getting confused
14	here.
15	MR. WEAVER: I think I can clarify that.
16	Bryant Engineering is the company that
17	operates out of the existing office there at the
18	corner of Frederica and Phillips Court. It's owned by
19	WBW Properties. Jason Baker and myself own both
20	companies. We have WBW Properties. We have some
21	rental houses, our office building, and now this trace
22	here. So the thought process is what we would do is
23	combine the two tracts together such that we could
24	comingle the parking and then it would come to the
25	Planning Staff as a final development plan. That
	Ohio Valley Reporting

- 1 would also enable us to put a sign out on Frederica
- 2 Street that would be for the purpose of this office.
- 3 Our intent is to make this building blend in with the
- 4 existing neighborhood. We don't plan on framing
- 5 anything that would be incompatible with the general
- 6 vicinity.
- 7 CHAIRMAN: Yes, sir.
- 8 MS. McCULLEY: Again, you can't tell that much
- 9 from the photograph, but yes, there is existing
- 10 greenery. There are full grown Crepe Myrtles that
- 11 separate the properties, as well as an arbor and some
- other trees that are currently there. Their parking
- lot pretty much goes right up to that property line.
- 14 I'm going to guess they're 15 feet from the side of
- that house to the property line.
- 16 MR. ADAMS: It's probably more like 30, 25 or
- 17 30.
- MS. McCULLEY: I don't know if you can tell
- 19 from these other drawings don't show it. I don't
- 20 know.
- 21 What I'm hearing is he wants to make his
- 22 parking lot bigger so they can park two rows of cars
- 23 by encroaching into the green space of the other one
- 24 that they purchased. I guess they just have to knock
- 25 the trees, I don't see no other way to do it because

- 1 it's just right there. I wish we could go back and
- 2 maybe -- is it on the drawings? Is there a
- 3 measurement on that layout?
- 4 CHAIRMAN: That wouldn't really be anything
- 5 that we would deal with tonight. That would come
- 6 later on if it's rezoned and he would have to put
- 7 forth a more developed plan about what they're going
- 8 to be doing.
- 9 MS. McCULLEY: Thank you.
- 10 CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr. Reeves.
- MR. REEVES: I have a question. Mr. Weaver
- might be able to answer this.
- 13 This property was sold because of nonpayment
- of taxes initially; would that be correct?
- 15 MR. WEAVER: I couldn't tell you per se if it
- 16 was taxes. I know that they had to foreclose because
- of the mortgage. I'm not sure.
- 18 MR. REEVES: It was a foreclosure sale then?
- MR. WEAVER: Yes, it was initially a
- 20 foreclosure sale. Actually, this particular house and
- 21 Abbington's both came up for auction at the same time.
- MR. REEVES: The next question is: How long
- is the owner that bought it in foreclosure own the
- 24 property before you purchased it from them?
- 25 MR. WEAVER: Maybe a month or two. We kind of

- 1 had our thumb on that property trying to acquire it
- when it went into foreclosure. We just weren't able
- 3 to get it at the courthouse steps. So we had to go
- 4 after the auction and obtain it.
- 5 MR. REEVES: We don't know what the intended
- 6 purpose the original buyer at the foreclosure might
- 7 have had for the home, but obviously not such he
- 8 wasn't willing to sell it pretty quickly after that.
- 9 MR. WEAVER: Yes. We paid for it what he
- 10 paid.
- MR. REEVES: He recovered his money.
- MR. WEAVER: Yes.
- MR. REEVES: That was my concern.
- 14 MR. WEAVER: I can't tell you, I can't recall,
- but there will be some landscape area between the edge
- of the parking and the house. The intent isn't to
- 17 create a sea of asphalt. We don't really need it. We
- have enough parking for our use as was previously
- 19 stated. Our parking lot is rarely ever full. This
- 20 proposed office here, you know, the Zoning Ordinance
- 21 required five parking spots, but if we leased it to, I
- don't know, like an Edward Jones agent, you know,
- you're probably talking maybe the need for two or
- three parking spots. It's not big enough to need that
- 25 many parking spots.

1	MR. REEVES: As I understand from Mr. Adams'
2	comments, the house has sat vacant for a couple of
3	years, and I suppose was deteriorating during that
4	period of time?
5	MR. WEAVER: Yes.
6	MS. McCULLEY: I'm sorry. I had visited that
7	property a lot looking at purchasing it as well, but I
8	just don't have any contractors in any back pocket.
9	Actually the bank took pretty good care of
10	this property. They came by on a weekly basis and
11	mowed the lawn every week. It was a foreclosure, but
12	the taxes were paid. That's the way banks handle it.
13	They came and winterized it when it was time. The
14	interior of the house is quite amazing. Actually it
15	still has a lot of the original wood doors, floors.
16	It actually has some wonderful attributes to it.
17	Outside, you know, the basement area is bad, but the
18	bank took pretty good care of it considering it was in
19	foreclosure. We're just hoping for something
20	residential. Again, if you put an Edward Jones there,
21	people are trying to get to Edward Jones, they're
22	going to be driving up and down Phillips Court. Are
23	they going to put a sign right there? How are they
24	going to find this business address when there's no
25	access from Phillips Court to that building? It only
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	comes from the alley.
2	CHAIRMAN: Thank you, ma'am.
3	Any further questions from the, Commission?
4	(NO RESPONSE)
5	CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr. Adams.
6	MR. ADAMS: I'm confused again about the
7	parking. He said they don't need the parking, but
8	they're going to cut in at the property line to extend
9	their lot, parking lot. I mean it's all very
10	confusing. Really this is the first we've heard about
11	it being a leased building. We thought, we assumed it
12	they were going to use it for their own use because
13	they got a very nice building. I wish they were
14	expanding a little bit. This is the first we've heard
15	about it being leased out.
16	Phillips Court is a very quiet residential
17	street. The average age on that street, except for
18	Mary, is about 65. Mary is much younger than that.
19	I mean what's it going to do to this old
20	street? The houses on my side of the street are all
21	registered houses with the Historical Housing
22	Registry. The only traffic we really get is our
23	residence and some employees from Mary Kendall and
24	that's it.
25	CHAIRMAN: I think Mr. Weaver may want to
	Ohio Valley Reporting
	(270) 683-7383

1	address this.
2	When you are referring to not having the need
3	for additional parking, were you talking about your
4	current business there and the additional parking you
5	were talking about if something would be done with
6	this property that you're wanting to be rezoned?
7	MR. WEAVER: This is David again.
8	When you look at the Zoning Ordinance
9	requirement, there's two different ways to look at the
10	parking.
11	There's a Zoning Ordinance requirement on how
12	many you have to have to support the square footage or
13	whatever for an office space off square footage for
14	the required parking. Then there is the required
15	parking that we need as a company that we know that we
16	generate. Those two numbers are quite often
17	different.
18	In looking at the additional square footage of
19	this office along with our office, we'll need to add a
20	few parking spots to satisfy the Zoning Ordinance.
21	There again, you're looking at five total parking
22	spots and I think we, if I remember correctly, I think
23	we have two over. We're not looking at creating a sea
24	of parking as I previously stated.
25	CHAIRMAN: With the access to those parking
	Ohio Valley Reporting
	(270) 683-7383

25

1	spaces will still come through your existing driveway
2	or entrances?
3	MR. WEAVER: Yes. I'm sorry if you
4	misunderstood.
5	There will be we no new street cuts onto
6	Phillips Court.
7	Our intent is it's going to have the same
8	basic look as it has today. We're going to treat it
9	like we treated our existing office when we remodeled
10	it. We're going to keep the nice doors that were
11	referred to and the trim. The hardwood floors we're
12	going to try to keep those. They had some areas where
13	carpet was glued down. There's a little rough places,
14	but the intent is to utilize that, if we can, and then
15	utilize, there's some led glass windows that we intend
16	to utilize those. We want to blend in with the
17	neighborhood.
18	It's almost kind of a transition from our
19	office being quite a bit larger to this office being
20	quite a bit smaller. You know, 2000 square foot
21	you're not going to have that many employees in an
22	office that size. With it utilizing our parking lot,
23	I don't feel like it's that much of a disruption to

Ohio Valley Reporting

previously stated, with the access off the alley and

Phillips Court, especially when you look, as $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$

- 1 half of the supporting parking would come off the
- 2 alley.
- 3 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 4 MR. ADAMS: Well, that clears up quite a bit,
- 5 but we still have the issue of that building being
- 6 leased out to who knows who. I hear his words. He's
- 7 got all the great intentions in the world, but
- 8 Phillips Court, again, is a historical street. Most
- 9 of the houses on it are registered as such. Everyone
- on that street is older than dirt, except for Mary.
- 11 We just don't need it.
- 12 CHAIRMAN: I don't think you're going to get
- an answer tonight about who he's going to lease it out
- 14 to because he hasn't gotten to that point yet. That's
- probably an answer you're not going to get.
- MR. ADAMS: Once this goes through and it gets
- 17 approved, he can lease it to whoever he wants to and
- 18 we'll have no say so whatsoever. I'm not saying they
- 19 haven't been good neighbors, but --
- MR. EDGE: Larry.
- 21 CHAIRMAN: Yes, go ahead.
- MR. EDGE: I would ask you or Mr. Reeves to
- 23 explain the difference for him on the B-1 versus P-1.
- 24 CHAIRMAN: B-4?
- 25 MR. EDGE: Yes, I'm sorry. I think that

Ohio Valley Reporting

21

22

23

24

1	limits his ability to who he leases to.
2	CHAIRMAN: I think Brian would be the better
3	one to answer that.
4	

MR. HOWARD: Yes and no. As the question was 4 raised earlier, what's the difference between B-4 and 5 P-1. The B-4 zoning, general business, would allow 6 retail sales, restaurant, that kind of thing. But we 8 as Staff and you all as a commission, as far as who they would lease it to, whether it's zoned, whatever 9 10 it's zoned, you all could not make a stipulation that 11 it can only be leased to, Mr. Weaver mentioned Edward Jones. You know, you all could not make a specific 12 condition that it could only be leased to one specific 13 entity. There is a significant difference between 14

MR. EDGE: That's what I mean.

uses allowed between B-4 and P-1.

MR. HOWARD: I just want to clarify. As far
as who they lease to, if this rezoning were approved,
you all can't govern that, we cannot govern that as
the Staff.

Since the question was asked and just kind of distilling some of what I've heard tonight, and I guess this question would be for Mr. Weaver and we move through this.

There have been statements made tonight, would

Ohio Valley Reporting

1	you all be willing to add conditions potentially that
2	you would consolidate the properties and do a final
3	development plan, which is something that you
4	mentioned, that there would be no new access to
5	Phillips Court and that there would be no new signage,
6	say stand alone signage on Phillips Court at this
7	location? That wouldn't necessarily mean you couldn't
8	put a small directional sign or something along the
9	wall there on the front that would say, turn here for
10	Edward Jones, if that's what we're going to use. Are
11	those conditions that we as Staff hearing this as we
12	work through the meeting, you know, would you all be
13	agreeable to add maybe those two your application?
14	MR. WEAVER: Totally agreeable to all of those
15	comments except for one that I would like to discuss a
16	little further.
17	We are agreeable to consolidated it with our
18	tract. We're agreeable the no new access points to
19	Phillips Court. We're agreeable to the submission of
20	a final development plan.
21	The signage is somewhat important. What we're
22	anticipating, and there again we don't know fully what
23	we want to do until we get a little further along, but
24	we're anticipating having a sign on Frederica Street
25	that would be the primary sign, but we'd like to have
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	some kind of a smaller sign there at the new office
2	such that people would know that that was it. It
3	would look far better to have a small monument sign in
4	the yard that would say Edward Jones or whatever it
5	would be as opposed to putting a sign on the house
6	itself. We could state that the signage would be
7	limited to a monument sign no more than, oh, I don't
8	know, I'll throw out 25 square feet of face or
9	something.
10	MR. HOWARD: What about illumination on that
11	sign, would you be willing to say that it wouldn't be
12	
13	MR. WEAVER: Like our existing sign.
14	Up-lighting on the sign only. We'd also be agreeable
15	if you wanted to add in a condition that, you know,
16	it's typically done that any street lighting or
17	parking lot lighting would be down-lighting. I can
18	tell you we don't anticipate adding any parking lot
19	lights at this time. Really there's no need for it.
20	MS. HARDAWAY: I have a question. With the
21	signage where would you place that signage? Would you
22	place the signage at the access point that's currently
23	there or would you be putting it in front of the
24	house? Because if you put it in front of the house at
25	207, then I think that's going to address Ms. Mary's

Ohio Valley Reporting
(270) 683-7383

1	point where people are going to pass that access point
2	and realize, oh, I can't get there. They're going to
3	back up traffic on Phillips Court. So where are you
4	putting the signage if you were to place one there?
5	MR. WEAVER: There again, I don't know all the
6	details. We haven't worked through all the details
7	yet. We're kind of anticipating that we would have
8	two signs in relation to this project. That we have a
9	sign near the corner of Frederica Street and Phillips
10	Court. It would be more directional, you know, Edward
11	Jones this way. The other sign would more than likely
12	be close to the western corner of that property such
13	that, like you said, such that it doesn't get passed
14	up because the drive if you wanted to pull into is our
15	existing drive. It may work best if we put that drive
16	more so on our property. We do have an existing wall
17	that kind of prohibits putting a sign there. I
18	haven't worked through the details yet. We're not
19	looking to put up, like any office we're not looking
20	to put up a large sign that would be in front of the
21	building.
22	CHAIRMAN: Does that answer your question, Ms.
23	Hardaway?
24	MS. HARDAWAY: Yes.
25	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	MS. McCULLEY: Thank you, Ms. Hardaway.
2	That's exactly my concern. Again, if you look at the
3	blue area where this property is, if you walk directly
4	across the street from that that would be my side yard
5	where I spend my summers pretty much out there.
6	You know, again, to have a sign over there
7	with more light pollution, we try not to have too much
8	light pollution. We like to see the stars too. Then
9	there is nowhere to turn around. I mean there is
10	no once they pass that thing, it's either turn into
11	my driveway, which has huge concrete pillars next to
12	it that's gated, or then Colby MacQuarrie's driveway,
13	or they're at Mary Kendall. We're the only ones that
14	even have driveways off that street. Don's is before
15	that. Prior to that on the red line is the access to
16	his parking. So it is a concern because there's
17	nowhere for them to turn around. Again, that's an
18	address. So why don't they just change the address to
19	Frederica. You know, if they're going to incorporate
20	it, not have the address on Phillips Court and somehow
21	work all the signage prior to getting to that point of
22	not being able to turn around except in two driveways
23	which are available.
24	MR. WEAVER: We'd certainly be willing to put
25	a Frederica Street address on this property, if we
	Ohio Valley Reporting
	(270) 683-7383

1	could get one. I guess that would be more of a
2	question for Melissa Evans. You know, with the house
3	facing Phillips I'm not sure we could get one on
4	Frederica.
5	MS. EVANS: No, I don't think that that would
6	be a possibility. You would be on the same lot if you
7	consolidated with your property that does have
8	Frederica Street address, but I don't
9	MR. WEAVER: It's a 911 type thing.
10	MS. EVANS: It's a safety standard. So for
11	911 access to get to that property, they would need to
12	know that they have to go on Phillips Court to get
13	access to that property and I don't think that that
14	would be, from a safety standpoint, the right to give
15	the Frederica Street access.
16	MR. WEAVER: What if we admitted that
17	condition we talked about on the sign issue such that
18	the developer would work with the Planning Staff on
19	the location and size of the sign such that it was, I
20	don't know how quite to say it, directional in nature.
21	I think my intent is, I want to achieve the same thing
22	that they want to achieve. I want a sign there such
23	that it doesn't get passed up. That you know that
24	you're at the Edward Jones office or whatever it ends
25	up being. Any kind of office needs something to tell

Ohio Valley Reporting

	you that that's where you're at, other you don't know.
2	I certainly don't want to put a sign on the house
3	itself because that won't have a look that everybody
4	wants to achieve. I think a nice decorative monument
5	sign in the front yard that's not very big would work
6	with that.
7	Brian, maybe you can help me with the wording
8	on a condition.
9	MR. HOWARD: I don't know what the wording on
10	something like that would be necessarily just off the
11	cuff. Just hearing all the discussion tonight, you
12	know, in my mind, and of course it's up to the
13	commission, but to me it sounds like one of those
14	instances, if this is recommended for approval and it
15	moves forward, that when the development plan is
16	submitted that it should come back to the Planning
17	Commission for them to hear it and the neighbors be
18	notified so they have an opportunity to look at it.
19	MR. WEAVER: We can be agreeable to that as a
20	condition. We want I think we want the same thing
21	that the neighbors want. I don't want people that
22	would come to this business to pass it up. I don't
23	want to put anything gaudy in the front yard either.
24	MR. REEVES: I appreciate Mr. Weaver being
25	willing to do that, but I really don't want us to get
	Ohio Valley Reporting

```
into the business I don't think that we are helping
 1
 2
       people prepare signs prior to being submitted for some
      kind of development plan or whatever. I think that's
 3
 4
       not part of --
 5
               CHAIRMAN: That's not part of the rezoning.
               MR. REEVES: Right.
 6
 7
               MR. HOWARD: That's why I feel that if they
 8
       put together your final development plan, at that
 9
       point they will know what their signage looks like, it
10
       comes back to this commission. That way Staff is not
11
       providing the direction an it allows the neighbors an
12
       opportunity to see it.
13
               MR. REEVES: My comment too is if this is
       approved, there's going to be signage. It's not in
14
15
       the owner's best interest to have a gaudy sign, a sign
16
       that's going to take away the look of the property. I
17
       don't see anything in it for them to put a big
18
       flashing neon sign up there. We're kind of getting
19
       into weaves here if were' not real careful.
20
               MR. WEAVER: Fred, to your statement. You
21
       know, however you guys want to do it. If you want us
22
       to notify th neighbors and have a final development
23
       plan that would come before the Planning Commission,
       we're okay with that. If you would want to place a
24
25
       condition such that we agree to work with Planning
                       Ohio Valley Reporting
```

- 1 Staff on achieving the end results that were discussed
- 2 here tonight, you know, it would be up to whoever
- 3 makes the motion, if it goes that direction, to come
- 4 up with the wording.
- 5 MR. REEVES: Mr. Weaver, would you still be
- 6 okay with the limitations on the 25 square foot
- 7 uplift?
- 8 MR. WEAVER: Yes. And you can call it a
- 9 monument sign because we're not going to have a pole
- 10 mounted sign.
- 11 MR. REEVES: Yes, I know what you're talking
- 12 about.
- 13 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Weaver.
- 14 Any further questions?
- 15 MR. ADAMS: Yes. Mr. Weaver just stood here
- 16 and said that they want the same thing we want. I'm a
- 17 little confused because we don't want a sign on
- 18 Phillips Court at all. I know his business across the
- 19 street has a monument sign, it's pretty nice, on
- 20 Frederica. Now he's got a big wall down there. I
- 21 don't know what he's going to do about putting signage
- 22 up. I guess maybe once he tears out this parking lot
- 23 to extend the lots over, you know, I don't know. You
- 24 know, once again, this is a quiet residential street.
- Old people. If he's concerned about the neighborhood,

Ohio Valley Reporting

1	why don't he remodel it and sell it or use it for
2	rental property.
3	CHAIRMAN: We appreciate it. I think you've
4	mentioned that a number of times.
5	MR. ADAMS: Well, something needs to mention
6	it because I think you all are going to do what you
7	want to anyway.
8	CHAIRMAN: Any further questions?
9	Yes, Mr. Rogers.
10	MR. ROGERS: Mr. Chairman, of course, we get
11	back into the development plan. If they took their
12	driveway and moved it down closer to that house, then
13	the sign would be there, which that gets them further
14	into Phillips Court. If they move their access into
15	their property down closer to this line, then they're
16	not going to passing up that building, but then that
17	puts them on down into Phillips Court. That comes
18	again with the development plan.
19	CHAIRMAN: Are you talking about locating the
20	sign at one of their entrances?
21	MR. ROGERS: The second entrance, move it down
22	to the property line to 207. There you are again with
23	the development plan.
24	CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Rogers.

Any further questions from the commissioners

Ohio Valley Reporting

(270) 683-7383

1	or anyone in the audience?
2	(NO RESPONSE)
3	CHAIRMAN: Being none then the Chair is ready
4	for a motion.
5	Mr. Ball.
6	MR. BALL: I would like to make a motion to
7	approve based on the conditions that the two
8	properties will be consolidated and a final
9	development plan will be submitted. That there will
10	be no new access to Phillips Court, and that the
11	signage on Phillips Court is limited to a monument
12	sign no larger than 25 square feet for the face of the
13	sign with only allowing up-lighting on the sign
14	itself, and any parking lot lighting would be
15	down-lighting only, as well as Findings of Fact 1
16	through 4.
17	CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made for approval
18	based on Findings of Fact 1 through 4.
19	You may have to help me with some of these,
20	Mr. Ball, to consolidate the locations.
21	MR. HOWARD: Could I interject before we get
22	too far.
23	CHAIRMAN: Yes.
24	MR. HOWARD: I would also suggest that you
25	make a condition that the final development plan that
	Ohio Valley Reporting

- 1 they submit be required to come back to this
- 2 commission for approval and that the neighbors be
- notified. It's up to you, but that's a recommendation
- 4 that I think as Staff we would make.
- 5 MR. BALL: In lieu of actually the Staff
- 6 working on the signage itself, a final development
- 7 plan --
- 8 MR. HOWARD: Yes, because the issue, again,
- 9 the neighbors are here tonight. They've expressed
- 10 concerns. We as Staff don't want to be the one that
- 11 says, oh, yes, this is exactly what everybody wants
- or, no, this is atrocious. In my mind there needs to
- 13 be some opportunity for the people in the vicinity to
- 14 be heard, as far as what the signage looks like.
- 15 MR. BALL: I know that the applicant said he
- 16 was okay with that. Can we double check to make sure
- 17 that the applicant is okay with bringing that back to
- 18 the commission?
- 19 CHAIRMAN: Yes.
- MR. WEAVER: Mr. Ball, your question was are
- 21 we okay to bring the final development plan before the
- 22 commission?
- MR. BALL: That's correct.
- MR. WEAVER: Yes, we are.
- 25 MR. BALL: Then I would like to add that to my

Ohio Valley Reporting

- 1 motion as well; that the final development plan be
- 2 approved by the Planning Commission.
- 3 MR. HOWARD: And that the neighbors be
- 4 notified.
- 5 MR. BALL: And the neighbors be notified.
- 6 CHAIRMAN: That's also been added to the
- 7 findings of fact, with the final development plan be
- 8 brought back before the commission with the neighbors
- 9 notified, and that the light would be up-lighting on
- 10 the monument sign; is that correct?
- 11 MR. BALL: That's correct.
- 12 CHAIRMAN: And there's no new access on
- 13 Phillips Court.
- MR. BALL: Correct.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: And the locations will be
- 16 consolidated; is that correct?
- 17 MR. BALL: Correct. Both properties will be
- 18 consolidated.
- 19 CHAIRMAN: Does that cover everything, Mr.
- 20 Ball?
- 21 MR. BALL: I think the only other thing I say
- 22 was that any parking lot lighting would be
- 23 downing-light only.
- 24 CHAIRMAN: Parking lot lighting would be
- down-lighting.

Ohio Valley Reporting

1	Mr. Weaver, do you understand all of those
2	conditions?
3	MR. WEAVER: Yes, I do.
4	CHAIRMAN: And you're in agreement with those
5	conditions?
6	MR. WEAVER: Yes, they're fine.
7	CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made by Mr. Ball
8	with all findings of fact, including the new
9	information that we just went over. Is there a
10	second?
11	MR. REEVES: Second.
12	CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Reeves. Any
13	discussion about the motion or the second?
14	(NO RESPONSE)
15	CHAIRMAN: There being none all those in favor
16	raise your right hand.
17	(BOARD MEMBERS IRVIN ROGERS, BEVERLY MCENROE,
18	MANUEL BALL, LARRY BOSWELL, LEWIS JEAN, FRED REEVES,
19	MIKE EDGE AND JOHN KAZLAUSKAS RESPONDED AYE.)
20	CHAIRMAN: All opposed.
21	(BOARD MEMBER ANGELA HARDAWAY RESPONDED NAY.)
22	CHAIRMAN: Motion passes.
23	ITEM 6
24	6200 Sutherlin Lane, 2922 London Pike W, 26.803 acres
	Consider zoning change: From R-1A Single-Family
25	Residential & A-R Rural Agriculture to A-R Rural
	Agriculture

Ohio Valley Reporting
(270) 683-7383

1	Applicant: Brian Wink & Robert & Marion Fiorella
2	PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATION
3	The Planning Staff recommends approval subject
4	to the condition and findings of fact that follow:
5	CONDITION
6	Approval of subdivision plat resulting in two
7	tracts that each meets the minimum lot size
8	requirements of an A-R zone.
9	FINDINGS OF FACT
10	1. Staff recommends approval because the
11	proposal is in compliance with the community's adopted
12	Comprehensive Plan;
13	2. The subject property is located in a Rural
14	Community Plan Area, where agriculture uses are
15	appropriate in general locations;
16	3. The subject properties have frontage on

public roads, Sutherlin Lane and London Pike W;

18
4. This is a logical expansion of the

existing A-R Rural Agriculture zone on a portion of

the subject properties; and,

5. With the approval of a plat creating two tracts each over 10 acres, the properties will meet the minimum lot size in an A-R zone.

MS. EVANS: We would like to enter the Staff
Report into the record as Exhibit D.

Ohio Valley Reporting (270) 683-7383

Т	CHAIRMAN: IMANK YOU, MEIISSA.
2	IS anyone here representing the applicant?
3	APPLICANT REP: Yes.
4	CHAIRMAN: Would you like to say anything?
5	APPLICANT REP: I'm just here to answer
6	questions.
7	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
8	Any commissioners have any questions
9	concerning this application?
10	(NO RESPONSE)
11	CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone in the audience
12	that may have a question concerning this application?
13	(NO RESPONSE)
14	CHAIRMAN: There being none the Chair is ready
15	for a motion.
16	Mr. Jean.
17	MR. JEAN: I'd like to make a motion that we
18	approve based on the Staff Report and the Findings of
19	Facts 1 through 5.
20	CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made for approval
21	of Findings of Fact 1 through 5.
22	MR. HOWARD: Add the condition.
23	MR. JEAN: And the one condition.
24	CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Howard.
25	A motion has been made for approval with the
	Ohio Valley Reporting
	(270) 683-7383

1	one condition and the Findings of Fact 1 through 5.
2	Do we have a second?
3	MR. BALL: Second.
4	CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Ball. Any discussion
5	about the motion or the second?
6	(NO RESPONSE)
7	CHAIRMAN: There being none all those in favor
8	raise your right hand.
9	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
10	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.
11	ITEM 7
12	Portion of 3136 Highway 81, 5.00 acres
	Consider zoning change: From R-1A Single-Family
13	Residential & A-R Rural Agriculture to R-1A
	Single-Family Residential
14	Applicant: Steve E. Steitler; Miles Farms, LLC
15	PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATION
16	The Planning Staff recommends approval subject
17	to the findings of fact that follow:
18	FINDINGS OF FACT
19	1. Staff recommends approval because the
20	proposal is in compliance with the community's adopted
21	Comprehensive Plan;
22	2. The subject property is located in a Rural
23	Preference Plan Area, where rural large-lot
24	residential uses are appropriate in general locations;

3. The resulting properties will have
Ohio Valley Reporting
(270) 683-7383

1	frontage on a public road, Highway 81; and
2	4. This is a logical expansion of the
3	existing R-1A Single-Family Residential zoning on a
4	portion of the subject property as well as to the
5	north and east.
6	MS. EVANS: We would like to enter the Staff
7	Report into the record as Exhibit F.
8	CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Melissa.
9	Is there anyone here representing the
10	applicant?
11	MR. RINEY: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN: Mr. Riney, would you like to speak
13	on anything about the application?
14	MR. RINEY: No, you unless you have a
15	question.
16	CHAIRMAN: Do any of the commissioners have
17	any questions concerning this application?
18	(NO RESPONSE)
19	CHAIRMAN: Anyone in the audience have a
20	question concerning this application?
21	(NO RESPONSE)
22	CHAIRMAN: There being none the Chair is ready
23	for a motion.
24	Mr. Rogers.
25	MR. ROGERS: Mr. Chairman, make a motion for
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	approval based on the Planning Staff Recommendation
2	with Findings of Fact 1 through 4.
3	CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made for approval
4	based on Findings of Fact 1 through 4. Is there a
5	second?
6	MS. McENROE: Second.
7	CHAIRMAN: Second by Ms. McEnroe. Any
8	discussion or comment or question about the motion and
9	the second?
10	(NO RESPONSE)
11	CHAIRMAN: There being none all those in favor
12	raise your right hand.
13	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
14	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.
15	RELATED ITEM
16	ITEM 7A
17	3130, 3250 Highway 81, 5.95 acres
	Consider approval of a minor subdivision plat.
18	Applicant: Steve E. Steitler; Miles Farms, LLC
19	MR. HOWARD: This is a redivision of the
20	property that you all just heard is rezoning. This
21	comes before you because we're basically creating two
22	lots and both are what you would call kind of a
23	flag-shaped lot. You have quite a bit of, you have
24	enough frontage for both of the lots. They both
25	certainly exceed the minimum lot size requirement in
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1 the zone and we would recommend that you consider them

(270) 683-7383

2 for approval.

3	CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Howard.
4	Is anyone here representing the applicant?
5	APPLICANT REP: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN: Does any of the commissioners have
7	questions concerning this application?
8	(NO RESPONSE)
9	CHAIRMAN: Anyone in the audience have a
10	question concerning this application?
11	(NO RESPONSE)
12	CHAIRMAN: There being none the Chair is ready
13	for a motion.
14	Mr. Kazlauskas.
15	MR. KAZLAUSKAS: Make a motion for approval.
16	CHAIRMAN: Motion for approval by
17	Mr. Kazlauskas. Do we have a second?
18	MR. REEVES: Second.
19	CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Reeves. All those in
20	favor raise your right hand.
21	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
22	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.
23	
24	NEW BUSINESS
25	ITEM 8

Ohio Valley Reporting
(270) 683-7383

1	Consider approval of February 2018 financial
	statements.
2	
3	CHAIRMAN: Commissioners, the financial
4	statements were sent out. Hopefully all commissioners
5	have had a chance to look through these. Are there
6	any questions or comments about the financial
7	statements?
8	(NO RESPONSE)
9	CHAIRMAN: There being none the Chair is ready
10	for a motion.
11	MS. HARDAWAY: Motion to approve.
12	CHAIRMAN: Motion to approve by Ms. Hardaway.
13	Is there a second?
14	MS. McENROE: Second.
15	CHAIRMAN: Second by Ms. McEnroe. Any
16	discussion or comment about the motion or the second?
17	(NO RESPONSE)
18	CHAIRMAN: All those in favor raise your right
19	hand.
20	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
21	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.
22	ITEM 9
23	Comments by the Chairman
24	CHAIRMAN: The Chairman has no comments
25	tonight.
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	ITEM 10
2	Comments by the Planning Commissioners
3	CHAIRMAN: Is there any comments by any of the
4	Planning Commissioners?
5	Mr. Reeves.
6	MR. REEVES: As many of you know, I've been
7	working with David Johnson on a project at City Hall,
8	the OBKY project where we're looking a way we can move
9	the City forward with great expenditure of money.
10	We've had good fortune to have Melissa Evans work on
11	one of those committees, the Transportation Committee.
12	Want to thank her for her really good work. She
13	brought a lot of expertise to us and has really
14	represented the Planning Commission well.
15	Mr. Howard, thank you for allowing her to do
16	this because it did take some time away from the job.
17	It's important for the Planning Commission to be
18	represented in this process, and for Ms. Evans to get
19	a chance to continue to grow as a leader in the
20	community.
21	We appreciate what you've done, Melissa.
22	CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Commissioner Reeves.
23	Mr. Kazlauskas.
24	MR. KAZLAUSKAS: I'll talk about Melissa
25	again.

Ohio Valley Reporting
(270) 683-7383

1	I just want to congratulate her for being
2	selected to participate in this years upcoming
3	Leadership of Owensboro. It's a good program.
4	CHAIRMAN: Well deserved.
5	Any other comments by the commissioners?
6	(NO RESPONSE)
7	ITEM 11
8	Comments by the Director
9	MR. HOWARD: No, sir.
10	CHAIRMAN: I guess the most important is the
11	next motion.
12	MR. BALL: Motion to adjourn.
13	CHAIRMAN: Motion to adjourn by Mr. Ball. Is
14	there a second?
15	MR. EDGE: Second.
16	CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Edge. All those in
17	favor raise your right hand.
18	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
19	CHAIRMAN: We are adjourned.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Ohio Valley Reporting (270) 683-7383

1	STATE OF KENTUCKY)
)SS: REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	COUNTY OF DAVIESS)
3	I, LYNNETTE KOLLER FUCHS, Notary Public in and
4	for the State of Kentucky at Large, do hereby certify
5	that the foregoing Owensboro Metropolitan Planning
6	Commission meeting was held at the time and place as
7	stated in the caption to the foregoing proceedings;
8	that each person commenting on issues under discussion
9	were duly sworn before testifying; that the Board
10	members present were as stated in the caption; that
11	said proceedings were taken by me in stenotype and
12	electronically recorded and was thereafter, by me,
13	accurately and correctly transcribed into the
14	foregoing 64 typewritten pages; and that no signature
15	was requested to the foregoing transcript.
16	WITNESS my hand and notary seal on this the
17	30th day of April, 2018.
18 19	
20	LYNNETTE KOLLER FUCHS NOTARY ID 524564
21	OHIO VALLEY REPORTING SERVICES 2200 E. PARRISH AVE, SUITE 106E OWENSBORO, KENTUCKY 42303
22 23	COMMISSION EXPIRES: DECEMBER 16, 2018
24	COUNTY OF RESIDENCE: DAVIESS COUNTY, KENTUCKY
25	
	Ohio Valley Reporting