1	OWENSBORO METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION
2	APRIL 13, 2017
3	The Owensboro Metropolitan Planning Commission
4	met in regular session at 5:30 p.m. on Thursday, April
5	13, 2017, at City Hall, Commission Chambers,
6	Owensboro, Kentucky, and the proceedings were as
7	follows:
8	MEMBERS PRESENT: Steve Frey, Chairman Larry Boswell, Vice Chairman
9	Larry Moore, Secretary Brian Howard, Director
10	Terra Knight, Attorney Irvin Rogers
11	Beverly McEnroe Manuel Ball
12	Fred Reeves John Kazlauskas
13	Lewis Jean Angela Hardaway
14	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
15	
16	CHAIRMAN: I would like to call to order the
17	April 13, 2017 Owensboro Metropolitan Planning
18	Commission meeting to order. Chairman Boswell is
19	under the weather tonight so I will be filling in on
20	his behalf so treat me well.
21	As is customary, we will stand for a prayer
22	and pledge of allegiance. That will be given by
23	Commissioner Reeves this evening.
24	(INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.)
25	CHAIRMAN: As we do at all meetings, everyone

- who wants to speak tonight will be able to speak.
- 2 Please step to the podium when you're called on and be
- 3 sworn in by our attorney. Feel free to say what you
- 4 need to say.
- 5 Our first order of business tonight is to
- 6 consider the minutes of the March 9, 2017 meeting. I
- 7 believe everybody got a copy of those. Are there any
- 8 questions or changes that need to be made?
- 9 (NO RESPONSE)
- 10 CHAIRMAN: If not, I'll entertain a motion.
- 11 MR. KAZLAUSKAS: I make a motion the minutes
- 12 be approved.
- 13 CHAIRMAN: Motion by Commissioner Kazlauskas.
- MR. MOORE: Second.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Moore. Any
- 16 questions?
- 17 (NO RESPONSE)
- 18 CHAIRMAN: All in favor please raise your
- 19 right hand.
- 20 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 21 CHAIRMAN: Next item.
- MR. HOWARD: I will note that all zoning
- 23 changes will be become final 21 days after the meeting
- 24 unless an appeal is filed. If an appeal is filed, we
- will forward the record of this meeting along with all

1	appropriate documentation to the appropriate
2	legislative body for them to take final objection.
3	
4	GENERAL BUSINESS
5	ZONING CHANGES
6	ITEM 3
7	529 Hathaway Street, 0.065 acres
8	Consider zoning change: From R-4DT Inner City Residential to I-1 Light Industrial
9	Applicant: Wimsatt Rentals of Kentucky, LLC
10	MS. KNIGHT: Please state your name for the
11	record.
12	MR. HILL: Mike Hill.
13	(MIKE HILL SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
14	PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
15	The Planning Staff recommends approval subject
16	to the findings of fact that follow:
17	FINDINGS OF FACT
18	1. Staff recommends approval because the
19	proposal is in compliance with the community's adopted
20	Comprehensive Plan;
21	2. The subject property is located in a
22	Central Residential Plan Area where light industrial
23	uses are appropriate in very limited locations;

to the criteria for nonresidential development;

24

25

3. The proposed light industrial use conforms

1 4. The proposal is an expansion of existing

- 2 I-1 Light Industrial zoning to the east; and
- 3 5. At 0.065 acres, the proposal should not
- 4 overburden the capacity of roadways and other
- 5 necessary urban services that are available in the
- 6 affected area.
- 7 MR. HILL: Staff request that the Staff Report
- 8 be entered into the record as Exhibit A.
- 9 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 10 Is there anyone here from the applicant that
- 11 would like to speak?
- 12 APPLICANT REP: I'm here.
- 13 CHAIRMAN: Would you like to say anything at
- 14 this point?
- 15 APPLICANT REP: No, sir.
- 16 CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone in opposition to
- 17 this application?
- 18 (NO RESPONSE)
- 19 CHAIRMAN: I'm ready for a motion if someone
- 20 would like to make one.
- 21 MR. JEAN: Make a motion we approve this
- 22 application based on the Findings of Fact 1 through 5
- and the Staff Report.
- 24 CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made by
- 25 Commissioner Jean.

- 1 MR. REEVES: Second.
- 2 CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Reeves. Any
- 3 questions about the motion?
- 4 (NO RESPONSE)
- 5 CHAIRMAN: We're ready for a vote. All in
- favor raise your right hand.
- 7 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 8 CHAIRMAN: Motion passes.
- 9 ITEM 4
- 10 5850-6000 Block Hayden Bridge Road, 144.125 acres Consider zoning change: From EX-1 Coal Mining to A-R
- 11 Rural Agriculture
 - Applicant: Thomas R. & Debra T. Osborne
- 12
- 13 PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
- 14 The Planning Staff recommends approval subject
- to the findings of fact that follow:
- 16 FINDINGS OF FACT
- 1. Staff recommends approval because the
- proposal is in compliance with the community's adopted
- 19 Comprehensive Plan;
- 20 2. The subject property is located in a Rural
- 21 Maintenance Plan Area where agricultural land uses are
- 22 appropriate in general locations;
- 3. Agricultural topsoil will be conserved
- 24 through appropriate farming practices when applicable;
- 4. Forested areas will be sustained through

- 1 appropriate forestry practices;
- 2 5. Mining activity has ceased on the property
- 3 and it is ready to revert back to its original zoning
- 4 classification; and
- 5 6. The Owensboro Metropolitan Zoning
- 6 Ordinance Article 12a.31 requires that property shall
- 7 revert to its original zoning classification after
- 8 mining.
- 9 MR. HILL: Staff request that the Staff Report
- 10 be entered into the record as Exhibit B.
- 11 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 12 Is there anyone in the audience that would
- like to speak on behalf of the applicant?
- 14 APPLICANT REP: Yes.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: Anyone that would like to speak in
- 16 opposition?
- 17 (NO RESPONSE)
- 18 CHAIRMAN: Chair is ready for a motion.
- MR. BALL: Motion to approve based on Planning
- 20 Staff Recommendation and Findings of Fact 1 through 6.
- 21 CHAIRMAN: We have a motion by Mr. Ball.
- MR. BOSWELL: Second.
- 23 CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Boswell. Questions
- about the motion?
- 25 (NO RESPONSE)

1 CHAIRMAN: The Chair is ready for a vote. All

- 2 in favor raise your right hand.
- 3 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 4 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.
- 5 ITEM 5
- 6 100 Block Hill Pointe Crossing, 6.803 acres Consider zoning change: From A-U Urban Agriculture to
- 7 R-1C Single-Family Residential Applicant: Brekk Properties, LLC

- 9 PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
- 10 The Planning Staff recommends approval subject
- 11 to the condition and findings of fact that follow:
- 12 CONDITIONS
- 1. A Major Subdivision Preliminary Plat
- showing an extension of Hill Pointe Crossing must be
- submitted prior to any further residential development
- of the subject property.
- 17 FINDINGS OF FACT
- 18 1. Staff recommends approval because the
- 19 proposal is in compliance with the community's adopted
- 20 Comprehensive Plan;
- 2. The subject property is located in an
- 22 Urban Residential Plan Area where Urban Low-density
- 23 Residential uses are appropriate in limited locations;
- 3. The proposal complies with the criteria
- for urban residential development; and

4		~ ' '						-
	4.	Sanıtarv	sewer	service	18	available	to	be

- 2 extended to the subject property
- 3 MR. HILL: Staff request that the Staff Report
- 4 be entered into the record as Exhibit C.
- 5 CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.
- 6 Is there anyone that would like to speak on
- 7 behalf of the applicant.
- 8 (NO RESPONSE)
- 9 CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone who would like to
- 10 speak in opposition to this proposal?
- 11 (NO RESPONSE)
- 12 CHAIRMAN: Chair is ready for a motion.
- MR. ROGERS: Mr. Chairman, I make motion for
- 14 approval based on Planning Staff Recommendations with
- the one condition and Findings of Fact 1 through 4.
- 16 CHAIRMAN: We have a motion by Mr. Rogers. Is
- 17 there a second?
- 18 MR. BALL: Second.
- 19 CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Ball. Any questions
- about the motion?
- 21 (NO RESPONSE)
- 22 CHAIRMAN: We'll take a vote. All in favor
- 23 raise your right hand.
- 24 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 25 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries. Thank you.

- 1 ITEM 6
- 2 5600-5900 Block, 5760 Little Hickory Road & 7800 Texas Gas Road, 283.47 acres
- 3 Consider zoning change: From EX-1 Coal Mining to A-R Rural Agriculture
- 4 Applicant: Kenneth A. & Rebecca J. Fischer; Kenneth & Rebecca Fischer, LLC

- 6 PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
- 7 The Planning Staff recommends approval subject
- 8 to the findings of fact that follow:
- 9 FINDINGS OF FACT:
- 10 1. Staff recommends approval because the
- 11 proposal is in compliance with the community's adopted
- 12 Comprehensive Plan;
- 13 2. The subject property is located in a Rural
- 14 Maintenance Plan Area where rural farm residential
- land uses are appropriate in general locations;
- 16 3. The subject property consists of three
- tracts totaling 283.47 acres;
- 18 4. The subject property has access to Little
- 19 Hickory Road and Texas Gas Road;
- 20 5. Mining activity has ceased on the property
- 21 and it is ready to revert back to its original zoning
- 22 classification; and
- 23 6. The Owensboro Metropolitan Zoning
- 24 Ordinance Article 12a.31 requires that property shall
- 25 revert to its original zoning classification after

- 1 mining.
- 2 MR. HILL: Staff request that the Staff Report
- 3 be entered into the record as Exhibit D.
- 4 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Hill.
- 5 Is there anyone in the audience that would
- 6 like to speak on behalf of the applicant?
- 7 APPLICANT REP: I'm here.
- 8 CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone that would like to
- 9 speak in opposition?
- 10 (NO RESPONSE)
- 11 CHAIRMAN: The Chair is ready for a motion.
- 12 Mr. Kazlauskas.
- MR. KAZLAUSKAS: Make a motion for approval
- 14 based on Planning Staff Recommendations and Findings
- of Fact 1 through 6.
- 16 CHAIRMAN: Is there a second?
- MS. McENROE: Second.
- 18 CHAIRMAN: Second by Ms. McEnroe. Are there
- any questions about the motion?
- 20 (NO RESPONSE)
- 21 CHAIRMAN: We are ready for a vote. All in
- 22 favor raise your right hand.
- 23 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 24 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries. Thank you.
- 25 ITEM 7

3779 Thruston Dermont Road, 10.0 acres 1 Consider zoning change: From R-1A Single-Family

2 Residential to A-U Urban Agriculture Applicant: Larry Roberts & Harry Roberts

- PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS 4
- 5 The Planning Staff recommends approval subject
- 6 to the condition and findings of fact that follow:
- 7 CONDITION:
- 1. Access shall be in compliance with the 8
- requirements of the Access Management Manual. 9
- 10 FINDINGS OF FACT:
- 11 1. Staff recommends approval because the
- 12 proposal is in compliance with the community's adopted
- 13 Comprehensive Plan;
- 2. The subject property is located in an 14
- Urban Residential Plan Area where Urban Low-Density 15
- 16 Residential uses are appropriate in limited locations;
- 17 3. The proposal complies with the criteria
- 18 for urban residential development; and
- 19 4. Sanitary sewer service is available to the
- 20 subject property.
- MR. HILL: Staff request that the Staff Report 21
- 22 be entered into the record as Exhibit E.
- 23 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Hill.
- Anyone that would like to speak on behalf of 24
- 25 the applicants?

1 MR. KAMUF: Charles Kamuf. I represent Harry

- 2 and Larry Roberts. We're here to answer any questions
- 3 that you have. Staff report says it should be
- 4 approved.
- 5 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- Is there anyone in the audience that would
- 7 like to speak in opposition?
- 8 Step the microphone and state your name,
- 9 address and be sworn in, please.
- 10 MS. McDONALD: Julia McDonald.
- 11 (JULIA McDONALD SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
- 12 MS. McDONALD: This is a neighborhood. It's a
- dead-end street. Concerned about the price of our
- 14 property going down. I don't understand what they're
- going to do with it. It was never for sale. It just
- 16 kind of popped up. I have a lot of questions.
- 17 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- MR. REEVES: Question, please.
- 19 CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr. Reeves.
- 20 MR. REEVES: Excuse me, ma'am. Would you come
- 21 back to the microphone. I'm trying to locate where
- you live in relationship to the property that's being
- 23 requested to be rezoned.
- 24 MS. McDONALD: Right next to it. 3775 Locust
- 25 Hill Drive.

- 1 CHAIRMAN: You're Lot 3.
- 2 MR. REEVES: Couldn't read the name. Thank
- 3 you.
- 4 CHAIRMAN: Is that all your questions for
- 5 right now?
- 6 MS. McDONALD: I'm just beginning.
- 7 CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else that would
- 8 like to say anything right now or we can proceed with
- 9 Ms. McDonald's questions.
- 10 State your name, please.
- 11 MS. KABALEN: Linda Kabalen.
- 12 (LINDA KABALEN SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
- 13 MS. KABALEN: We've lived there for 30 years.
- 14 We're under the impression that our street was
- 15 landlocked. We're kind of concerned now with this
- hill beside us, the 10 acres, with it being urban
- 17 agricultural. It could become a small business. Our
- streets are not really built to handle heavy equipment
- and that's kind of what I'm a little disturbed with.
- 20 It was landlocked. We had no notice that it was sold
- 21 and that particular footage was unlocked so that
- 22 streets could go through.
- 23 CHAIRMAN: Mr. Kamuf, would you like to begin
- responding to some questions?
- 25 If both of you want to stay close.

1	MR.	KAMUF:	The	only	issue	toniaht	is	the

- 2 rezoning. Any other use it has to be made through a
- 3 conditional use. The only purpose tonight is for
- 4 residential purposes. If any type of nonresidential
- 5 use has to be, you have to have a conditional use
- 6 along with a site plan, and they'll receive notice.
- 7 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 8 Mr. Howard, do you want to help them
- 9 understand that? What we're doing tonight is not --
- 10 MR. HOWARD: The rezoning tonight is for the
- 11 Board to consider whether or not an urban agricultural
- 12 use is appropriate. They've indicated it's for
- 13 residential use. As Mr. Kamuf stated, if anything
- 14 were to happen to that property, if it were to be
- 15 developed in some capacity other than for residential
- 16 purposes, that would require additional application,
- 17 additional meetings that would have to take place. I
- 18 think that would address the question that you had
- 19 there.
- 20 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 21 Do we have any other questions that need to be
- 22 asked?
- MR. REEVES: I have one, if you don't mind.
- 24 CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr. Reeves.
- MR. REEVES: Mr. Howard, I understand what

they say they are proposing there; however, are there

- other things they could on that property if it's
- 3 rezoned other than residential?
- 4 MR. HOWARD: Sure. Both in a residential zone
- 5 and an agricultural zone there are a variety of
- 6 conditionally permitted uses.
- 7 MR. REEVES: Without it being conditionally
- 8 permitted?
- 9 MR. HOWARD: No, not really. An A-U zone
- 10 without any other type of approval of anything would
- 11 basically be limited to agricultural use or
- 12 single-family residential use.
- 13 MR. REEVES: So they should understand then
- 14 that if, for instance, they decide they want to come
- in here and put a little strip mall or whatever, they
- would have to come before the Board of Adjustment to
- get a conditional use permit, before anything else
- 18 could happen. Is that a fair assumption?
- 19 MR. HOWARD: Well, actually they could not do
- 20 a strip mall. In an A-U zone, that would be a
- 21 commercial retail use and that would not be allowed.
- MR. REEVES: So they would have to get a
- 23 rezoning?
- 24 MR. HOWARD: They would have to rezone to
- commercial in order to do retail sales.

1 MR. REEVES: I just want to make sure that

- they understand what the process, would have to go
- 3 forward, if anything other than residential were
- 4 zoned.
- 5 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 6 Yes, ma'am.
- 7 MS. McDONALD: Could we get that in writing?
- 8 CHAIRMAN: It's not really --
- 9 MS. McDONALD: We're residential. Why doesn't
- 10 it say residential?
- 11 CHAIRMAN: They're just asking for a rezoning.
- MS. McDONALD: Not to be obtuse.
- 13 CHAIRMAN: Understood.
- MR. HOWARD: I don't know. I can't speak for
- 15 them. If their attorney would like to address it,
- that's fine. There are some tax benefits and things
- 17 like that, property, PVA stuff that you have in
- 18 agriculturally zoned parcel that's over 10 acres.
- 19 That may be why. I don't know.
- MS. McDONALD: There's a lot of children.
- MR. HOWARD: Sure.
- MS. McDONALD: A lot of traffic. Kids ride
- their bikes.
- MR. HOWARD: In an A-U zone, you know, the
- 25 minimum lot size, if they were -- say they were to try

- 1 to development with multiple parcels they have to
- 2 public streets in there, have road frontage, extend
- 3 roads, you know, potential do sidewalks, extend sewer,
- 4 there would be a lot of things that would have to take
- 5 place before they could do any of that, which would
- 6 require further approval from this body.
- 7 MS. McDONALD: Okay.
- 8 CHAIRMAN: In affect, the Comprehensive Plan,
- 9 it's in writing stating what they can and can't do.
- MS. McDONALD: Okay.
- 11 CHAIRMAN: Understood.
- MS. McDONALD: Thank you very much.
- 13 CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone else who would like
- 14 to speak on this subject?
- 15 (NO RESPONSE)
- 16 CHAIRMAN: Yes, sir. State your name and be
- 17 sworn in.
- MR. McDONALD: Mark McDonald.
- 19 (MARK McDONALD SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
- 20 MR. McDONALD: I think some of the concern
- 21 that I have and a lot of it, I'm going to go ahead and
- 22 say it's based on ignorance. I just don't understand
- 23 the proceedings or the legalese. But I also
- 24 understand that possession is nine-tenths of the law.
- Once easements are eradicated, done away with and

we've signed dotted lines, then, in my opinion, we've

- opened up a door for basically -- well, many things.
- 3 There's a lot of things that could happen to that
- 4 property that could be a whole lot worse than putting
- 5 in streets, and houses, and sidewalks, and
- 6 streetlights, and sort of thing. Let's just say it
- 7 like that.
- 8 So the concern is that there's not going to be
- 9 any houses down there where I'm at on my end, unless
- somebody has got some sway with the Kentucky Utility
- 11 company and they're going to forgo easement rights.
- 12 That, I think, is in a nutshell kind of where
- 13 I'm at. I'm also concerned about this unlandlocked
- 14 situation. Again, there is a lot of folks that use
- 15 that street. I live on a dead-end street. It's
- 16 fairly quiet. I like it. That's one of the reasons
- why I moved to this place. I've been there for 11
- 18 years. And just the thought of any number of
- 19 four-wheel drive tandem axel lowboy trailers hauling
- 20 in various and sundry equipment and piles of mulch and
- 21 gravel and sand and whatever else is going to be done
- 22 back there is just, you know, a little disconcerting.
- 23 I understand this is just a rezoning thing, but after
- 24 all these motions -- I don't do this for a living. So
- 25 I've got to stop something else to come down here and

- do this. So now I have to go through this again,
- 2 again and again and as we file all these motions.
- 3 CHAIRMAN: Understood.
- 4 MS. McENROE: There's my two cents.
- 5 CHAIRMAN: Mr. Howard, can you help a little
- 6 more?
- 7 MR. HOWARD: They brought up some questions
- 8 that I can't answer. I don't know if they want to
- 9 address those. I don't know future uses. I don't
- 10 know. I don't know.
- MR. KAZLAUSKAS: Mr. Chairman, perhaps we
- 12 could ask Mr. Howard to enlighten the commissioners,
- 13 because I think it's important, if this was changed to
- 14 urban agricultural what can be done with that
- 15 property.
- MR. HOWARD: Right. As I said earlier,
- 17 basically in an urban agricultural zone there are a
- 18 variety of things in the ordinance that can be
- 19 conditionally permitted; otherwise, if it's not a
- 20 conditional use permit, it would be basically limited
- 21 to agricultural and single-family residential uses.
- MR. KAZLAUSKAS: But someone could apply for a
- 23 special use permit, conditional use permit.
- MR. HOWARD: Right.
- MR. KAZLAUSKAS: What would that include?

1 MR. HOWARD: You could do a church, a day

- 2 care, I mean without going through the ordinance and
- 3 going through the list, but there's a variety of
- 4 things that could potentially happen there.
- 5 MR. KAZLAUSKAS: Could you put a business in
- 6 there?
- 7 MR. HOWARD: It depends on what type of
- 8 business it is, but there are some that could be
- 9 conditionally permitted, yes.
- 10 MR. McDONALD: Like maybe a nursery, is that a
- 11 conditional business?
- MR. HOWARD: Let me pull it up and I'll give
- you a representative sample of what could potentially
- 14 happen.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Howard.
- MR. REEVES: Let me just ask this gentleman a
- 17 question.
- 18 When you say "nursery," you're talking about a
- where children are kept or are you talking about where
- they grow trees?
- 21 MR. McDONALD: I'm talking about where you
- grow tomatoes, flowers and trees.
- 23 MR. HOWARD: Based on the Zoning Ordinance.
- 24 Conditional permitted: Bed and breakfast.
- 25 Principally permitted: Single-family dwelling units.

- 1 You could do conditional permits, seasonal farm worker
- 2 houses. You could put a manufactured home principally
- 3 permitted.
- 4 Conditional permit: Child day care center,
- 5 church/Sunday school/parish house, community center,
- 6 library or museum, philanthropic institutions,
- 7 recreational activities, are all conditionally
- 8 permitted. School, college or academic use could be
- 9 conditionally permitted. Photography, music studio is
- 10 conditionally permitted. A hospital is conditionally
- 11 permitted. Penal or correctional institution is
- 12 conditionally permitted. Hair style and beauty salon
- is conditionally permitted. Home appliance and
- 14 computer repair is conditionally permitted. Farmers
- 15 market conditionally permitted. Limited retail sale
- of some merchandise could be conditionally permitted.
- 17 Sell of feed grain and agricultural supplies could be
- 18 conditionally permitted.
- 19 CHAIRMAN: Mr. Howard, that gets us to
- another, that would come back to us though?
- 21 MR. HOWARD: A Conditional Use Permit would go
- 22 before the Board of Adjustment, but yes.
- 23 CHAIRMAN: At that point individuals could
- 24 show up again.
- 25 MR. HOWARD: Yes. A Conditional Use Permit

1 requires another application be filed. It's a public

- 2 hearing that neighbors would be notified and the
- 3 meeting would be held in a public forum very similar
- 4 to this.
- 5 CHAIRMAN: So tonight what we're voting on is
- 6 simply a rezoning to where it can be a single-family
- 7 residential or agricultural; is that correct? That's
- 8 all we're talking about tonight?
- 9 MR. McDONALD: What is it zoned now?
- 10 MR. HOWARD: Right now it's R-1A Single-Family
- 11 Residential.
- 12 CHAIRMAN: Yes, ma'am.
- 13 MS. McDONALD: So we're going from residential
- 14 to urban agriculture back to residential. Is that
- 15 what I just understood?
- MR. HOWARD: No. The last question was what
- it's zoned now, is R-1A Single-Family Residential.
- 18 The proposal is for A-U Urban Agriculture.
- 19 MS. KNIGHT: Which could be used for
- 20 residential or agriculture.
- 21 CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr. Ball.
- MR. BALL: This may be a question for Staff.
- Just to be clear. Everybody is obviously very
- 24 concerned about this zoning change, but in reality
- 25 everything else that surrounds this property is

1 already urban agriculture, with the exception of one

- 2 frontage along Thruston Dermont Road.
- 3 MR. HOWARD: Right. The properties that are
- 4 on Locust Hill Drive east and west are zoned
- 5 residential, but does appear the rest of the property
- in the vicinity to the north and then also to the west
- 7 is zoned agricultural.
- 8 MR. BALL: Thank you.
- 9 CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr. Reeves.
- 10 MR. REEVES: Could they do multi-family units
- 11 on this rezoning?
- MR. HOWARD: No.
- 13 CHAIRMAN: Single-family.
- MR. REEVES: Single-family. Okay.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: Yes, sir. State your name.
- MR. KABALEN: Gary Kabalen, 3770 Locust Hill
- 17 Drive West.
- 18 (GARY KABALEN SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
- 19 MR. KABALEN: Just one question. Does this
- 20 rezoning allow access to our streets, the Locust Hill
- 21 West and Locust Hill East?
- MS. KNIGHT: From the way it looks, I mean
- there's access already there. They dead-end into that
- lot, would already have access there.
- 25 MR. HOWARD: The zoning wouldn't -- if there

- was access permitted now, the zoning change wouldn't
- 2 affect that. If there's not access now, the zoning
- 3 change wouldn't affect that either. The change in the
- 4 zoning doesn't necessitate or dictate one way or the
- 5 other it would change.
- 6 MR. KABALEN: And I was under the impression,
- 7 since we've been there 30 years, that there is no
- 8 access because it's landlocked.
- 9 MR. HOWARD: It has frontage on Thruston
- 10 Dermont Road.
- 11 MR. KABALEN: Right. Would you be involved,
- would the commission be involved as far as an
- 13 application to have that access available, once the
- 14 applicant had applied for that? Do you become
- involved in that or is that a legal issue?
- MR. HOWARD: I'm not sure I'm following you
- 17 exactly on that.
- 18 MR. KABALEN: If it's landlocked, that's the
- way I understand. There's a foot between properties.
- 20 It was my understanding that that was not accessible
- 21 if the property was purchased or had been purchased or
- 22 whatever. So my question is whether or not if the
- applicant applies to have that access available, do
- 24 you become involved in that as the Planning
- 25 Commission?

1 MR. HOWARD: Correct me if I'm wrong, Legal

- 2 Counsel.
- 3 The way you described it we would not. That
- 4 would be more of a private issue between who has legal
- 5 rights to what, but we would not be involved in that
- 6 process.
- 7 MS. KNIGHT: Or the City would be the one to
- 8 grant if they want to extend that road. It would not
- 9 come before this commission.
- 10 CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr. Moore.
- MR. MOORE: According to this Staff with
- 12 conditions, says, access shall be in compliance with
- the requirements of the Access Management Manual.
- 14 What is that?
- MR. HOWARD: Thruston Dermont Road is a major
- 16 roadway. It's classified as major roadway. There's a
- 17 250 foot access spacing standard. So what we're
- saying is there's an existing driveway there to an
- 19 existing residence that's basically in alignment with
- 20 Fields Road across the street there. So any future
- 21 changes to access would have to be in compliance with
- 22 the Access Management Manual along Thruston Dermont
- Road.
- MR. MOORE: So that sort of answers --
- MR. HOWARD: Not really because the street

- 1 that he is talking about are local streets. The
- 2 Access Management Manual is going to apply to the
- 3 major road, which is Thruston Dermont Road.
- 4 MR. MOORE: Thank you.
- 5 CHAIRMAN: Yes, Commissioner Reeves.
- 6 MR. REEVES: Question for Mr. Kamuf, I think.
- 7 Mr. Kamuf, why would the applicant be seeking
- 8 this zoning change from R-1A Single-Family Residential
- 9 to A-U Urban Agriculture, if the proposed use is
- 10 residential? The current use is residential, right?
- MR. KAMUF: He'll have to answer that
- 12 question. Right now it's to be used for residential
- 13 purposes. He might have other activities that he
- 14 wants on it. It's a 10-acre tract different than the
- 15 1-acre tract.
- 16 As far as the question that was asked awhile
- ago, access points in the back, we don't gain
- 18 anything. We don't lose anything. Whatever the
- 19 access is in the back, it's no different now than it
- 20 be if it'd be rezoned. Did I answer that question?
- 21 He doesn't gain any rights by our access points by
- 22 having it rezoned. It has nothing to do with it. If
- they've got access, they had it before, or if they
- 24 didn't have access, they don't have it.
- 25 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Kamuf.

1 Anyone else who would like to speak on this

- 2 application for or against?
- 3 MR. BOSWELL: I will do my best with the voice
- 4 that I have.
- 5 The question that I have for you, Mr. Kamuf,
- 6 is: If this is going to be rezoned for residential
- 7 purpose, could you not right now do that same thing
- 8 since it's already zoned R-1A?
- 9 MR. KAMUF: Not to the extent that he might
- 10 want to. He just purchased the property. Harry told
- 11 sold it to him. That's where we are.
- MR. BOSWELL: If at some point they do come
- 13 back for a Conditional Use Permit, if this is rezoned,
- then they would still have to come before the
- 15 Board of Adjustment.
- 16 MR. KAMUF: Like Brian, he answered it I think
- 17 several times.
- One, if we do anything with the property other
- 19 than single-family or if we want a conditional use to
- do many of those, you listed about 20, we have to come
- 21 back. We have to notify the neighbors. We have to
- file a site plan.
- 23 Am I not right, Brian.
- MR. HOWARD: Right.
- 25 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Kamuf.

1 MR. REEVES: One thing because I was trying to

- listen to Mr. Howard awhile ago when he was reading
- 3 all of those.
- 4 I thought I interpreted, there were some other
- 5 uses, like maybe a church or whatever that could go in
- 6 there without a Conditional Use Permit. Anything
- 7 other than residential or they could -- I guess they
- 8 put corn out there.
- 9 MR. HOWARD: Right.
- 10 MR. REEVES: Anything other than residential
- 11 has to have a Conditional Use Permit?
- MR. HOWARD: Yes. You can do extraction of
- 13 Crude petroleum and natural gas as a permitted use.
- 14 Processing timber for firewood is a permitted use, but
- otherwise, the bulk of most everything in that zone is
- agricultural related or single-family residential.
- One change, you know, the residential and
- 18 agricultural zones are rather similar than what is
- 19 allowed. You could conditionally permit a church or a
- 20 day care in either of those.
- MR. REEVES: But they do have to be
- 22 conditionally permitted?
- MR. McDONALD: I'm not sure I understood
- 24 right, but I'm going to say it back. I'm not trying
- 25 to be confrontational here. What I thought I

1 understood this gentleman to say was that there would

- 2 be no benefit for them to have access to the property
- 3 to the back of it.
- 4 MR. KAMUF: No, I did not say that.
- 5 CHAIRMAN: Mr. Kamuf, direct it towards me.
- 6 MR. KAMUF: I apologize.
- 7 Any access points that are there now will be
- 8 the same in the future. We don't gain or we don't
- 9 lose any. Whatever the access is now, that will be
- 10 the same access if the property is rezoned.
- 11 Terra, I think I said it right.
- MS. KNIGHT: I agree.
- 13 MR. McDONALD: How do we define access? Is
- 14 that word defined in any of these planning manuals and
- where do you get a copy of that at?
- MS. KNIGHT: The ordinance is online that you
- 17 can have access to.
- 18 MR. McDONALD: He read out of something awhile
- 19 ago. What was that called?
- MR. MOORE: Access Management Manual.
- 21 MR. McDONALD: And that's online you say?
- 22 CHAIRMAN: Yes. But at this point tonight all
- we're voting on is changing it from single-family
- 24 residential to agricultural, which means they can do
- 25 agricultural or single-family residential. They would

- 1 have to come back for anything else. I know you all
- 2 are looking into the future and it makes sense because
- 3 we do that also.
- 4 MS. KNIGHT: I think for the question about
- 5 the access on Locust Hill Drive, I don't think they're
- 6 saying they know for sure they have it or they don't.
- 7 You raised an issue they don't. I don't know that
- 8 anybody knows the answer to that question here
- 9 tonight.
- 10 MR. KAMUF: You won't find that in the access
- 11 manual.
- MS. KNIGHT: That's correct.
- 13 CHAIRMAN: We're simply rezoning tonight.
- 14 Nothing is going on that property except single-family
- or agricultural unless they come back for a
- 16 Conditional Use Permit.
- 17 Yes, Mr. Boswell.
- MR. BOSWELL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- I think maybe I just want to be clear on this.
- 20 There wasn't any notification about the rezoning to
- 21 any of the folks for this particular rezoning, but if
- there was on a Conditional Use Permit, if it was
- 23 brought before the Board of Adjustments, they would
- 24 get notification so they could come back.
- MR. KAMUF: Yes. All the neighbors got

1 notification tonight about this rezoning. It would be

- 2 the same type of notification if it was a conditional
- 3 use.
- 4 MR. HOWARD: Yes. We sent out letters as
- 5 required by state law to the adjoining property
- 6 owners. We posted signs on the property as required
- 7 by state law. There was an ad in the newspaper that's
- 8 required by state law. So we followed all the correct
- 9 due process procedures that state law requires for
- 10 notification.
- 11 A Conditional Use Permit or a Variance, lets
- say one of those were submitted in the future,
- 13 adjoining property owners would be notified and there
- 14 would be an ad in the paper and we would follow any
- 15 procedures that state law requires for that.
- MR. BOSWELL: Thank you, Mr. Howard.
- 17 CHAIRMAN: Anyone else that would like to
- 18 speak please feel free.
- 19 (NO RESPONSE)
- 20 CHAIRMAN: With that the Chair is ready for a
- 21 motion.
- 22 Commissioner Reeves.
- 23 MR. REEVES: I make a motion for approval of
- this zoning application based on Planning Staff
- 25 Recommendations, Findings of Fact 1 through 4 with

- 1 Condition 1.
- 2 CHAIRMAN: We have a motion by Commissioner
- Reeves. Do we have a second?
- 4 MS. HARDAWAY: Second.
- 5 CHAIRMAN: Second by Ms. Hardaway. Any
- 6 questions about the motion?
- 7 (NO RESPONSE)
- 8 CHAIRMAN: Chair is ready for a vote. All in
- 9 favor raise your right hand.
- 10 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 11 CHAIRMAN: The motion passes. Thank you.
- 12 ITEM 8
- 305 & 319 East Second Street, 0.556 acres Consider zoning change: From I-2 Heavy Industrial to
- 14 B-2 Central Business
- Applicant: Jordan Tong & Terry Woodward

- 16 FINDINGS OF FACT
- 17 The Planning Staff recommends approval subject
- to the conditions and findings of fact that follow:
- 19 CONDITIONS:
- 20 1. Access to the parking lot at 305 East 2nd
- 21 Street shall be limited to the existing access on East
- 22 2nd Street and the alley in the rear. Access to 319
- 23 East 2nd Street shall be limited to the alley in the
- rear of the property.
- 25 2. Obtain approval of a development plan by

1 the Downtown Design Administrator and OMPC.

- 2 FINDINGS OF FACT:
- 3 1. Staff recommends approval because the
- 4 proposal is in compliance with the community's adopted
- 5 Comprehensive Plan;
- 6 2. The subject property is located in a
- 7 Central Business Plan Area where Central Business uses
- 8 are appropriate in general locations;
- 9 3. The proposed use as central business
- 10 conforms to the criteria for nonresidential
- 11 development;
- 12 4. The proposal is a logical expansion of
- existing B-2 Central Business zoning to the south; and
- 14 5. At 0.556 acres, the proposal does not
- significantly increase the extent of central business
- zoning in the vicinity and should not overburden the
- 17 capacity of roadways and other necessary urban
- 18 services that are available in the affected area.
- 19 MR. HOWARD: Staff request that the Staff
- 20 Report be entered into the record as Exhibit F.
- 21 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Hill.
- Is there anyone in the audience that would
- like to speak on behalf of the applicant?
- (NO RESPONSE)
- 25 CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone that would like to

1 speak in opposition of this application?

- 2 (NO RESPONSE)
- 3 CHAIRMAN: The Chair is ready for a motion.
- 4 Commissioner Kazlauskas.
- 5 MR. KAZLAUSKAS: Mr. Chairman, make a motion
- 6 for approval based on the Planning Staff
- 7 Recommendation, Conditions 1 and 2, and Findings of
- Fact 1 through 5.
- 9 CHAIRMAN: We have a motion from Commissioner
- 10 Kazlauskas. Do we have a second?
- 11 MR. JEAN: Second.
- 12 CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Jean. Is there any
- discussion about the motion?
- 14 (NO RESPONSE)
- 15 CHAIRMAN: We'll take a vote. All in favor
- 16 raise your right hand.
- 17 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 18 CHAIRMAN: The motion carries. Thank you.
- 19 ITEM 9
- 20 A Portion of 4950 Highway 56, 22.14 acres
 - Consider zoning change: From A-R Rural Agriculture,
- 21 P-1 Professional/Service & B-4 General Business to B-4 General Business
- 22 Applicant: Bellevue Baptist Church of Owensboro
- 23 PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATION
- 24 The Planning Staff recommends approval subject
- 25 to the conditions and findings of fact that follow:

- 1 CONDITIONS:
- 2 1. Access to the subject parcel shall comply
- 3 with the requirements of the Access Management Manual
- 4 and all proposed access locations must be approved by
- 5 the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet.
- 6 2. Approval of a minor subdivision plat
- 7 dividing the B-4 zoned portion of the property from
- 8 the P-1 zoned portion.
- 9 3. Prior to any development of the property
- 10 the applicant must obtain approval of a site plan or a
- 11 final development plan to demonstrate compliance with
- 12 all site development requirements, including but not
- limited to access, lighting, landscape screening and
- 14 buffering, parking, etc. and may be required to submit
- 15 a traffic impact study depending on proposed uses.
- 16 FINDINGS OF FACT:
- 1. Staff recommends approval because the
- 18 proposal is in compliance with the community's adopted
- 19 Comprehensive Plan;
- 20 2. The subject property is partially located
- in a Business Plan Area, where general business uses
- 22 are appropriate in general locations and partially
- 23 located in a Professional/Service Plan Area, where
- 24 general business uses are appropriate in very limited
- 25 locations;

1 3. The proposal is a logical expansion of B-4

- 2 General Business zoning to the north, east and south;
- 3 4. At 22.14 acres the expansion does not
- 4 significantly increase the extent of the zone in the
- 5 vicinity; and
- 6 5. The proposal should not overburden the
- 7 capacity of roadways and other necessary urban
- 8 services that are available in the affected area;
- 9 However, depending on the size of the development a
- 10 traffic impact study may be required.
- 11 MR. HILL: Staff request that the Staff Report
- 12 be entered into the record as Exhibit G.
- 13 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Hill.
- 14 Is there anyone that would like to speak on
- 15 behalf of the applicant?
- 16 (NO RESPONSE)
- 17 CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone that would like to
- speak in opposition of this application?
- 19 (NO RESPONSE)
- 20 CHAIRMAN: The Chair is ready for a motion.
- 21 Commissioner Moore.
- MR. MOORE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 23 I make a motion for approval based on Staff
- 24 Recommendation with Conditions 1 through 3 and
- 25 Findings of Fact 1 through 5.

1 CHAIRMAN: We have a motion by Mr. Moore.

- MS. McENROE: Second.
- 3 CHAIRMAN: Second by Ms. McEnroe. Any
- 4 questions about the motion?
- 5 (NO RESPONSE)
- 6 CHAIRMAN: All in favor raise your right hand.
- 7 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 8 CHAIRMAN: Motion passes. Thank you.
- 9 ITEM 10
- 10 A Portion of 2328 Highway 81, 0.712 acres
- Consider zoning change: From A-R Rural Agriculture to
- 11 I-1 Light Industrial
 - Applicant: Phillip Crabtree, Sr.
- 12
- 13 PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATION
- 14 The Planning Staff recommends approval subject
- 15 to the conditions and findings of fact that follow:
- 16 CONDITIONS:
- 1. Approval of a minor subdivision plat that
- 1) Divides the newly rezoned I-1 50 foot strip from
- the remaining A-R zoned portion of 2328 Highway 81; 2)
- 20 Consolidates the newly rezoned 50 foot strip with the
- 21 adjacent I-1 zoned Phill's Custom Cabinets parcel; and
- 3) Relocates the 50 foot of road frontage to 2328
- 23 Highway 81 from the north side of the property to
- 24 another location.
- 25 2. Access to 2328 & 2400 Highway 81 shall

1 continue to be limited to the existing access point

- shown as a 20 foot ingress/egress easement on a minor
- 3 subdivision plat approved by OMPC on 11/20/09.
- 4 FINDINGS OF FACT:
- 5 1. Staff recommends approval because the
- 6 proposal is in compliance with the community's adopted
- 7 Comprehensive Plan;
- 8 2. The subject property is located in a Rural
- 9 Preference Plan Area, where light industrial uses are
- 10 appropriate in limited locations;
- 11 3. The subject property will conform to the
- criteria for nonresidential development;
- 13 4. The proposal is a logical expansion of the
- 14 I-1 zoning to the south; and
- 15 5. At 0.712 acres, the expansion of the
- 16 existing I-1 zoning will not significantly increase
- 17 the extent of industrial uses that are located in the
- vicinity or overburden the capacity of roadways and
- other necessary urban services that are available in
- the affected area.
- 21 MR. HILL: Staff would request that the Staff
- 22 Report be entered into the record as Exhibit H.
- 23 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Hill.
- 24 Is there anyone would like to speak on behalf
- of the applicant?

- 1 (NO RESPONSE)
- 2 CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone that would like to
- 3 speak in opposition?
- 4 (NO RESPONSE)
- 5 CHAIRMAN: The Chair is ready for a motion.
- 6 MR. JEAN: Make a motion that we approve this
- 7 zoning change based on the Staff Report with Findings
- 8 of Fact 1 through 5 and Conditions 1 and 2.
- 9 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Commissioner Jean. Do
- 10 we have a second?
- MR. BALL: Second.
- 12 CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Ball. Any questions
- 13 about the motion?
- 14 (NO RESPONSE)
- 15 CHAIRMAN: The chair is ready for a vote. All
- in favor raise your right hand.
- 17 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 18 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries. Thank you.
- 19 ITEM 11
- 20 6985 Highway 144, 5.867 acres
 - Consider zoning change: From R-1A Single-Family
- 21 Residential & A-U Urban Agriculture to R-1A
 - Single-Family Residential
- 22 Applicant: Henry Shouse
- 23 PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
- 24 The Planning Staff recommends approval subject
- 25 to the findings of fact that follow:

- 1 FINDINGS OF FACT:
- 2 1. Staff recommends approval because the
- 3 proposal is in compliance with the community's adopted
- 4 Comprehensive Plan;
- 5 2. The subject property is located in a Rural
- 6 Community Plan Area, where rural small-lot residential
- 7 uses are appropriate in general locations;
- 8 3. The subject property is a single parcel
- 9 fronting on a public road, Highway 144;
- 10 4. The property currently has a functioning
- 11 septic system; and
- 12 5. At approximately 5.867 acres, of which a
- 13 significant portion is already zoned R-1A, the
- expansion of the existing R-1A zoning will not
- 15 significantly increase the extent of the zone in the
- vicinity or overburden the capacity of roadways and
- other necessary urban services that are available in
- 18 the affected area.
- 19 MR. HILL: Staff request that the Staff Report
- 20 be entered into the record as Exhibit I.
- 21 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Hill.
- 22 Anyone like to speak on behalf of the
- 23 applicant?
- (NO RESPONSE)
- 25 CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone who would like to

speak in opposition to the application?

- 2 (NO RESPONSE)
- 3 CHAIRMAN: The Chair is ready for a motion.
- 4 Commissioner Rogers.
- 5 MR. ROGERS: I'd like to make a motion for
- 6 approval based on Planning Staff Recommendation and
- 7 Findings of Fact 1 through 5.
- 8 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Commissioner Rogers. Do
- 9 we have a second?
- MS. HARDAWAY: Second.
- 11 CHAIRMAN: Second by Commissioner Hardaway.
- 12 Are there any questions about the motion?
- 13 (NO RESPONSE)
- 14 CHAIRMAN: Then we shall take a vote. All in
- 15 favor raise your right hand.
- 16 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 17 CHAIRMAN: Motion passes. Thank you.
- 18 MINOR SUBDIVISION PLATS
- 19 ITEM 12
- 20 10704 Red Hill Maxwell Road, 2.124 acres Consider approval of a minor subdivision plat
- 21 Applicant: Randolph L. & Sharon K. Mayfield; Harry G. Storm

- 23 MR. HOWARD: This plat comes before you as an
- 24 exception. It exceeds the three to one requirement of
- 25 the zoning ordinance and it does not have minimum road

- 1 frontage that requirement as dictated by the
- 2 subdivision regulations. The plat that has been
- 3 submitted and is available for you to sign tonight has
- 4 language on it that limits this property and the
- 5 parent tract that this is being split from, from being
- further subdivided in the future and creating any
- 7 additional lots that would not meet the minimum
- 8 requirement of the subdivision regulations and the
- 9 zoning ordinance. So since we're looking at the
- 10 creation of one lot it does exceed those requirements,
- 11 but we would recommend that you consider it for
- 12 approval tonight.
- 13 CHAIRMAN: Do we have anybody in the audience
- that would like to speak on behalf of the applicant?
- 15 (NO RESPONSE)
- 16 CHAIRMAN: Do we have any opposition that
- would like to speak on this?
- 18 (NO RESPONSE)
- 19 CHAIRMAN: The Chair will entertain a motion.
- MR. REEVES: Motion to approve.
- 21 CHAIRMAN: Motion by Mr. Reeves.
- MR. MOORE: Second.
- 23 CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Moore. Any questions
- 24 about the motion?
- 25 (NO RESPONSE)

1 CHAIRMAN: We'll take a vote. All in favor

- 2 raise your right hand.
- 3 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 4 CHAIRMAN: Motion passes. Thank you.
- 5 ITEM 13
- 6 10505 & 10521 US Highway 431, 2.139 acres Consider approval of a minor subdivision plat
- 7 Applicant: Richard W. Warner, Jr.
- 8 MR. HOWARD: This plat comes before you as an
- 9 exception to three to one requirement of the
- 10 subdivision regulation zoning ordinance. Basically
- there's a parcel that's a little over two acres right
- 12 now. They are proposing to split that in half.
- 13 Basically an even split down the middle, with no
- 14 really future ability to split either lot because it's
- 15 really at a minimum acreage that would be required for
- 16 a lot in that zone. So we would recommend that you
- 17 consider it for approval.
- 18 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Howard.
- 19 Is there anyone who would like to speak on
- 20 behalf of the applicant?
- 21 (NO RESPONSE)
- 22 CHAIRMAN: Anyone would like to speak in
- 23 opposition to the application?
- (NO RESPONSE)
- 25 CHAIRMAN: I'll entertain a motion.

1	Mr. Kazlauskas.
2	MR. KAZLAUSKAS: Motion for approval.
3	CHAIRMAN: Motion for approval by Mr.
4	Kazlauskas.
5	MS. McENROE: Second.
6	CHAIRMAN: Second by Ms. McEnroe. Any
7	questions about the motion?
8	(NO RESPONSE)
9	CHAIRMAN: We'll take a vote. All in favor
10	raise your right hand.
11	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
12	CHAIRMAN: Motion passes. Thank you.
13	
14	NEW BUSINESS
15	ITEM 14
16	Consider approval of February 2017 financial statements
17	b caccine res
18	CHAIRMAN: Everybody received a copy of the
19	February financial statement. Are there any questions
20	about that?
21	(NO RESPONSE)
22	CHAIRMAN: If not, I'll enter a motion.
23	MR. MOORE: Motion to approve.
24	CHAIRMAN: Motion by Mr. Moore. Is there a

25 second?

1	MS. HARDAWAY: Second.
2	CHAIRMAN: Second by Ms. Hardaway. All in
3	favor raise your right hand.
4	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
5	ITEM 15
6	Comments by the Chairman
7	CHAIRMAN: There are no comments by the
8	Chairman.
9	ITEM 16
10	Comments by the Planning Commissioners
11	(NO RESPONSE)
12	ITEM 17
13	Comments by the Director
14	MR. HOWARD: I don't have any.
15	CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.
16	Need one more motion.
17	MR. BALL: Motion to adjourn.
18	CHAIRMAN: Motion by Mr. Ball.
19	MS. HARDAWAY: Second.
20	CHAIRMAN: Second by Ms. Hardaway. All in
21	favor raise your right hand.
22	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
23	CHAIRMAN: We are adjourned.
24	

1	STATE OF KENTUCKY)
2)SS: REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE COUNTY OF DAVIESS)
3	I, LYNNETTE KOLLER FUCHS, Notary Public in and
4	for the State of Kentucky at Large, do hereby certify
5	that the foregoing Owensboro Metropolitan Planning
6	Commission meeting was held at the time and place as
7	stated in the caption to the foregoing proceedings;
8	that each person commenting on issues under discussion
9	were duly sworn before testifying; that the Board
10	members present were as stated in the caption; that
11	said proceedings were taken by me in stenotype and
12	electronically recorded and was thereafter, by me,
13	accurately and correctly transcribed into the
14	foregoing 45 typewritten pages; and that no signature
15	was requested to the foregoing transcript.
16	WITNESS my hand and notary seal on this the
17	2nd day of May, 2017.
18	
19	LYNNETTE KOLLER FUCHS
20	NOTARY ID 524564 OHIO VALLEY REPORTING SERVICES
21	2200 E. PARRISH AVE, SUITE 106E OWENSBORO, KENTUCKY 42303
22	OWENDBORO, RENTOCKI 12303
23	COMMISSION EXPIRES: DECEMBER 16, 2018
24	COUNTY OF RESIDENCE: DAVIESS COUNTY, KENTUCKY