## OWENSBORO METROPOLITAN BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT 1 2 June 1, 2017 3 The Owensboro Metropolitan Board of Adjustment 4 met in regular session at 5:30 p.m. on Thursday, June 1, 5 2017, at City Hall, Commission Chambers, Owensboro, Kentucky; and the proceedings were as follows: 6 7 MEMBERS PRESENT: Judy Dixon, Chairman Robynn Clark, Vice Chairman 8 Jerry Yeiser Terra Knight, Attorney 9 Brian Howard, Director Fred Reeves 10 11 12 CHAIRMAN: We'll call the Owensboro Metropolitan 13 Board of Adjustment June 1, 2017, meeting to order. First 14 item on the agenda will be the prayer and then the pledge 15 to the flag. Would you please stand and pray with me? 16 (INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.) CHAIRMAN: Consider the minutes of the May 4th, 17 18 2017, meeting. All members should have a copy and have 19 had time to check it over, so entertain a motion to 20 dispose of this item. 21 MS. CLARK: Motion to approve. 22 CHAIRMAN: We have a motion to approve by Ms. 2.3 Clark. 24 MR. REEVES: Second. 25 CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Reeves. All in favor

1	of the motion, raise your right hand.
2	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED.)
3	MADAM CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.
4	Okay. First item on the agenda.
5	
6	CONDITIONAL USE PERMITS
7	ITEM 2
8	9515 Highway 144, zoned R-1A Consider a request for a Conditional Use Permit in order to operate an existing church and construct a new parish
10	hall. References: Zoning Ordinance, Article 8, Section 8.2B4 Applicant: St. Williams Catholic Church
11 12	MS. KNIGHT: Please state your name for the record.
13	MS. EVANS: Melissa Evans.
14	(MELISSA EVANS SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
15	Zoning History:
16	The subject property is currently zoned R-1A
17	Single Family Residential. OMPC records indicate there
18	have been no zoning map amendments for the subject
19	property.
20	The applicant has been operating a church with
21	related structures on the subject property since before
22	the establishment of the zoning ordinance. Currently, the
23	applicant is proposing to construct a 12,000-square-foot
24	parish hall on the subject property. Some parking will be
25	provided on the subject property, but the majority of the

parking will be across Highway 144 on the Mary Carrico School property, also owned by the applicant. A final development plan will be required showing that parking can be met for both uses.

Land Uses in Surrounding Area:

The surrounding properties are all zoned either B-4 General Business of R-1A Single Family Residential and consist of the Mary Carrico School, the Bishop Seonneker Home, a single-family residence and vacant land zoned B-4. Zoning Ordinance Requirements:

- 1. Parking -- one for every five seats in the main auditorium as show on the site plan submitted.
- 2. Landscaping -- vehicular use area screening between the vehicular use area and the road right-of-way consisting of a three-foot continuous element and one tree every forty feet of the boundary.
- Special Conditions:
  - Obtain all necessary building, electrical, and HVAC permits, inspections, and certificates of occupancy and compliance.
  - 2. Approval of a final development plan showing the required parking off site.

Along with all other applicable zoning ordinance requirements, we would like to enter the staff report into the record as Exhibit A.

Is there anyone here wishing to speak 1 CHAIRMAN: on behalf of the applicant? 2 3 (NO RESPONSE.) 4 CHAIRMAN: Anyone here have any questions or 5 comments on this item? 6 (NO RESPONSE.) 7 CHAIRMAN: If not, we'll entertain a motion. 8 Mr. Reeves? 9 MR. REEVES: Yes. I move for approval of the 10 conditional use permit based on the following facts: 11 The church was operating before the zoning 12 ordinance was established and so therefore was not in 13 contradiction to any current regulations that we might 14 have. Adding a new parish hall is consistent with all 15 churches as they grow. 16 Second, the use of the church's plan is 17 compatible with ordinary uses. And that we use the two 18 zoning ordinance requirements for parking and landscaping 19 and also conditions one and two. CHAIRMAN: We have a motion. Is there a second 20 21 on the motion? 22 MS. CLARK: Second. 23 CHAIRMAN: Ms. Clark. 24 Any questions on the motion? 25 (NO RESPONSE.)

1 CHAIRMAN: If not, all in favor of the motion, raise your right hand. 2 3 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED.) 4 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously. 5 Next item, Mr. Howard. 6 7 VARIANCE 8 ITEM 3 9 1533 Creek Haven Loop, zoned R1C Consider a request for a Variance in order to decrease the project boundary buffer from 20 feet to 15 feet. 10 References: Zoning Ordinance, Article 10, Section 10.432 11 Applicant: Patty Coppage; Terry Abell 12 Considerations: 13 The subject property is located in Creek Haven 14 The subdivision was developed as a planned subdivision. 15 residential development. This process allows for 16 flexibility in development in many ways, but also requires a 20-foot project boundary buffer around the entire 17 18 perimeter of the project. The intent of the project 19 boundary buffer is to separate the entire development from 20 adjoining properties. If the variance is approved, a 21 preliminary plat/final development plan and a major 22 subdivision final plat will both be required to be amended 2.3 reflecting any changes. 24 The applicant proposes to construct a 25 fourteen-foot wide in-ground pool with a fenced concrete

area surrounding the pool. The proposed pool will be located six feet from the rear of the existing residence and will encroach into the twenty-foot project boundary buffer by five feet, requiring a five-foot variance.

Review of OMPC files show that a similar variance request was approved for the property at 1561 Creek Haven Loop in 2005 [sic].

boundary buffer will not alter the essential character of the general vicinity because the pool will be located in a secluded area from the neighbors via fencing, trees, and a drainage easement. Granting the variance does not significantly impact the area because the adjacent property to the rear is a vacant common area of the adjoining neighborhood. Granting the variance will not be an unreasonable circumvention of the requirements of the zoning ordinance because a similar variance to reduce the project boundary buffer by ten feet in order to construct an in-ground pool was approved in October of 2015.

Staff would recommend approval of the variance with the following conditions:

- Obtain all necessary building, electrical, and HVAC permits, inspections, and certificates of occupancy and compliance.
  - 2. Approval of a Major Subdivision Preliminary

1 Plat/Final Development Plan and a Major Subdivision Final 2 Plat. We would like to enter the staff report into the 3 4 record as Exhibit B. 5 Is there anyone here wishing to speak CHAIRMAN: 6 on behalf of the applicant? 7 (NO RESPONSE.) 8 CHAIRMAN: Anyone here have comments or 9 questions about this application? 10 (NO RESPONSE.) 11 Hearing none, I will entertain a CHAIRMAN: 12 motion. I have a motion to approve based 13 MS. CLARK: 14 upon that it will not adversely affect the public, health, 15 safety, or welfare; it will not alter the essential 16 character of the general vicinity; it will not cause a 17 hazard or nuisance to the public; and it will not allow an 18 unreasonable circumvention of the requirements of the 19 zoning regulations because a similar variance to reduce 20 the project boundary buffer by ten feet in 2015; and the 21 conditions to obtain all necessary building, electrical, 22 and HVAC permits, inspections, and certificates of 23 occupancy and compliance; and the approval of an amended 24 Major Subdivision Preliminary Plat/Final Development Plan 25 and Major Subdivision Final Plat.

```
1
               CHAIRMAN:
                          We have a motion by Ms. Clark.
 2
     there a second?
 3
               MR. YEISER:
                            Second.
 4
               CHAIRMAN:
                          Second by Mr. Yeiser. Any questions
 5
     on the motion?
 6
               (NO RESPONSE.)
 7
               CHAIRMAN: All in favor of the motion, raise
 8
     your right hand.
 9
               (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED.)
10
               CHAIRMAN:
                          Opposed?
11
               (NO RESPONSE.)
               CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
12
13
               One more item, Mr. Howard.
14
     ITEM 4
15
     522 Griffith Avenue, zoned R-1A
16
     Consider a request for a Variance in order to increase the
     height of a fence along a side street yard from four-feet
17
     tall to six-feet tall.
     Reference: Zoning Ordinance, Article 3, Section 3-7(g)(3)
18
     Applicant:
                 Robert A. Greene
19
     Considerations:
20
               The subject property is located at the
21
     intersection of Griffith Avenue and McCreary Avenue.
                                                            An
22
     alley runs along the rear of subject property, separating
23
     the subject property from the adjoining property to the
24
     rear, which faces McCreary Avenue.
25
               The applicant proposes to construct a six-foot
```

fence to enclose their backyard. The zoning ordinance requires a fence in a side yard along the street to be no more than four-feet tall. The Owensboro Public School Central Office is located across McCreary Avenue from the subject property. They have an eight-foot tall fence along McCreary Avenue and Griffith Avenue. The school board is exempt from the zoning ordinance requirements, however, as they are a public facility.

Granting this Variance will not adversely affect the public health, safety, or welfare because the fence will sit back from the intersection and not interfere with the sight triangle. The Variance will not cause a hazard or nuisance to the public as it actually protects the neighbors and the property owner from people trespassing through the yard. This Variance will not alter the essential character of the general vicinity as the school board across McCreary Avenue has a taller fence than is proposed by the applicant. And the Variance will not be an unreasonable circumvention of the requirements of the zoning regulations because similar variance requests have been approved by the OMBA in the past.

We would recommend this application for approval, and we would like to enter the staff report into the record as Exhibit C.

CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

1	Do we have anyone here representing the
2	applicant?
3	MR. GREENE: Robert Greene, homeowner.
4	(ROBERT GREENE WAS SWORN BY THE ATTORNEY.)
5	CHAIRMAN: Do you have anything to add, Mr.
6	Greene?
7	MR. GREENE: Yeah. One reason why we decided to
8	go with the six-foot fence, the way our property slopes
9	down a little bit, about three and a half feet to go to
10	McCreary Street, if we would put a four-foot fence, that
11	would really not give much privacy. I've walked along it,
12	just hypothetically, looking at this yard, and it's still
13	somewhat we've got two kids under the age of four and a
14	dog as well. So that's the reason we chose to go with a
15	six-foot fence.
16	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
17	MR. GREENE: Thank you.
18	CHAIRMAN: Anyone have any questions for Mr.
19	Greene?
20	(NO RESPONSE.)
21	CHAIRMAN: Does anyone here have any questions
22	about the application?
23	(NO RESPONSE.)
24	CHAIRMAN: If not, we will entertain a motion
25	MR. REEVES: Motion to approve this application

```
for Variance based on staff findings of fact one through
 1
 2
     four.
 3
               CHAIRMAN:
                          Thank you. We have a motion by Mr.
 4
             Do I have a second?
     Reeves.
 5
               MS. CLARK:
                           Second.
 6
               CHAIRMAN: Second by Ms. Clark. Any questions
 7
     on the motion?
 8
               (NO RESPONSE.)
 9
               CHAIRMAN: All in favor, raise your right hand.
10
               (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED.)
11
               CHAIRMAN:
                          Opposed?
12
               (NO RESPONSE.)
13
               CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously. One
14
     more.
15
     ITEM 5
16
     5940 Highway 2830, zoned I-1
     Consider a request for a Variance in order to eliminate
     200 feet of required screening along the northwest
17
     boundary of the property along the railroad.
18
     References:
                  Zoning Ordinance, Article 13, Section 13.511.
     Applicant: S&S Earthscapes, LLC
19
20
     Considerations:
21
               The subject property is located on Highway 2830
22
     near the new U.S. Highway 60 East extension.
                                                    The CSX
23
     railroad lies between the subject property and Highway
24
     2830.
25
               The applicant proposes to use a 200-foot by
```

200-foot area of the property in the northern corner for display of heavy equipment. For outdoor display of heavy equipment, the zoning ordinance requires a three-foot wide easement with a three-foot high continuous element and one tree every forty linear feet between the display area and the road right-of-way. The applicant is requesting to eliminate all of the screening requirements for the 200 feet of the boundary along Highway 2830.

Upon inspection of the site, the distance from Highway 2830 and the existing berm that the railroad sits on meet the intent of the screening requirements of the ordinance. The berm would likely block a three-foot wide easement and a three-foot tall continuous element because of its height.

However, we would recommend the trees be installed for additional screening beyond the railroad berm. There appear to be several trees located along the property line now that would meet this requirement. However, more may need to be installed if there are not enough to meet the requirement.

Granting this Variance to eliminate the three-foot wide landscape easement and the three-foot tall continuous element will not adversely affect the public health, safety, or welfare or cause a hazard or nuisance to the public because the existing berm in place exceeds

the zoning ordinance requirements and would block the requirements if they were installed. The Variance to eliminate the three-foot wide landscape easement and the three-foot tall continuous element will not alter the essential character of the general vicinity because this is an existing industrial and commercial area. The Variance to eliminate the three-foot wide landscape easement and three-foot tall continuous element will not be an unreasonable circumvention of the requirements of the zoning ordinance regulations because similar variance requests have been approved by the OMBA in the past and the existing berm meets the intent of the requirements.

Staff would recommend approval of the Variance to eliminate the three-foot wide landscape easement and the three-foot tall continuous element with the following conditions:

- 1. Install the required trees, one for every forty feet along the northwestern property boundary.
- 2. Install all other screening requirements for the site as required.
  - 3. Approval of a Final Development Plan.

We would like to enter the staff report into the record as Exhibit D.

CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

Do we have anyone here on behalf of the

1	applicant?
2	(NO RESPONSE.)
3	CHAIRMAN: Anyone have any questions of the
4	applicant and his representative?
5	(NO RESPONSE.)
6	CHAIRMAN: Does anyone have any questions about
7	this application?
8	(NO RESPONSE.)
9	CHAIRMAN: If not, I will entertain a motion.
10	MR. REEVES: Motion to approve this application
11	for Variance based on staff findings of fact one through
12	four and conditions one, two, and three.
13	CHAIRMAN: We have a motion by Mr. Reeves. Any
14	question on the motion? Call for a second.
15	MR. YEISER: Second.
16	CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Yeiser. All in favor
17	of the motion, raise your right hand.
18	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED.)
19	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
20	Mr. Howard, any more business?
21	MR. HOWARD: I have none.
22	CHAIRMAN: We have one more motion.
23	MS. CLARK: Motion to adjourn.
24	MR. REEVES: Second.
25	CHAIRMAN: All in favor.

1	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED.)
2	CHAIRMAN: Gather your things and leave.
3	(The meeting adjourned at 5:45 p.m.)
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
LO	
L1	
L2	
L3	
L4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

STATE OF KENTUCKY 1 ) SS: REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 COUNTY OF DAVIESS: 3 I, RHONDA SIMPSON, Notary Public in and for the 4 5 State of Kentucky at Large, do hereby certify that the foregoing Owensboro Metropolitan Board of Adjustment 6 7 meeting was held at the time and place as stated in the 8 caption to the foregoing proceedings; that each person 9 commenting on issues under discussion were duly sworn 10 before testifying; that the Board members present were as 11 stated in the caption; that said proceedings were taken by 12 me in stenotype and electronically recorded and was 13 thereafter, by me, accurately and correctly transcribed 14 into the foregoing 15 typewritten pages; and that no 15 signature was requested to the foregoing transcript. 16 WITNESS my hand and notary seal on this, the 3rd day of July 2017. 17 18 19 20 RHONDA SIMPSON, NOTARY PUBLIC 21 STATE-AT-LARGE OHIO VALLEY REPORTING SERVICE 22 2200 E. PARRISH AVENUE, SUITE 106-E OWENSBORO, KENTUCKY 42303 23 COMMISSION EXPIRES: 24 AUGUST 17, 2019 COUNTY OF RESIDENCE: 25 DAVIESS COUNTY, KENTUCKY