1	OWENSBORO METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION
2	DECEMBER 13, 2012
3	The Owensboro Metropolitan Planning Commission
4	met in regular session at 5:30 p.m. on Thursday,
5	December 13, 2012, at City Hall, Commission Chambers,
6	Owensboro, Kentucky, and the proceedings were as
7	follows:
8	MEMBERS PRESENT: Drew Kirkland, Chairman Ward Pedley, Vice Chairman
9	David Appleby, Secretary Gary Noffsinger, Director
10	Madison Silvert, Attorney Margaret Cambron
11	Tim Allen
12	Wally Taylor John Kazlauskas
13	Fred Reeves
14	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
15	CHAIRMAN: I would like to welcome you to the
16	Owensboro Metropolitan Planning Commission meeting.
17	Please stand while Margaret Cambron will have our
18	invocation and pledge of allegiance.
19	(INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.)
20	CHAIRMAN: Our first item of business is to
21	consider the minutes of the November 8, 2012 meeting.
22	Are there any additions, corrections?
23	(NO RESPONSE)
24	CHAIRMAN: If not the chair is ready for a
25	motion.
	Ohio Valley Reporting

Ohio Valley Reporting (270) 683-7383

1	MR. PEDLEY: Motion for approval.
2	CHAIRMAN: Motion for approval by Mr. Pedley.
3	MR. REEVES: Second.
4	CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Reeves. All in favor
5	raise your right hand.
6	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
7	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
8	Next item, please.
9	
10	ZONING CHANGE
11	ITEM 2
12	3259 Alvey Park Drive East, 0.885 acres
	Consider zoning change: From B-3 Highway Business
13	Commercial to I-1 Light Industrial
	Applicant: William E. Cameron
14	
15	MR. SILVERT: Would you state your name,
16	please?
17	MS. EVANS: Melissa Evans.
18	(MELISSA EVANS SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
19	MS. EVANS: First of all, I would like to
20	state that the rezonings heard tonight will become
21	final 21 days after the meeting unless an appeal is
22	filed. If an appeal is filed, the application will be
23	forwarded to the appropriate legislative body for
24	final action. The appeal forms are located on the
25	back table here in this room, on our website and in
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	+ho	office
1	T.ne	orrice

- 2 PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
- 3 The Planning Staff recommends approval subject
- 4 to the findings of fact that follow:
- 5 FINDINGS OF FACT:
- 6 1. Staff recommends approval because the
- 7 proposal is in compliance with the community's adopted
- 8 Comprehensive Plan;
- 9 2. The subject property is located in a
- 10 Business Industrial Plan Area where light industrial
- 11 uses are appropriate in general locations;
- 12 3. The proposal conforms to the criteria for
- 13 nonresidential development; and,
- 14 4. The proposal will bring the subject
- property into compliance with zoning ordinance.
- MS. EVANS: We would like to enter the Staff
- 17 Report into the record as Exhibit A.
- 18 CHAIRMAN: Is the applicant here?
- 19 (NO RESPONSE)
- 20 CHAIRMAN: Have any questions?
- 21 (NO RESPONSE)
- 22 CHAIRMAN: Questions by the commission?
- 23 (NO RESPONSE)
- 24 CHAIRMAN: If not the chair is ready for a
- 25 motion.

- 1 MR. APPLEBY: Motion for approval based on
- 2 Staff Recommendations and Findings of Fact 1 through
- 3 4.
- 4 CHAIRMAN: Motion for approval by Mr. Appleby.
- 5 MR. ALLEN: Second.
- 6 CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Allen. All in favor
- 7 raise your right hand.
- 8 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 9 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
- Next item, please.
- 11 ITEM 3
- 12 4460, 4470, 4540 Springhill Drive, 3.628 +/- acres
  (Applicant requesting postponement until 12/13/12
- 13 meeting)
  - Consider zoning change: From B-4 General Business and
- 14 R-1C Single-Family Residential to R-3MF Multi-Family Residential
- 15 Applicant: Lake Forest Community, LLC
- MR. PEDLEY: Mr. Chairman, I need to
- disqualify myself on Items 3 and 4.
- 18 (MR. PEDLEY LEAVES ROOM AT THIS TIME.)
- MR. APPLEBY: Do we need a motion to postpone?
- 20 CHAIRMAN: Yes.
- MR. APPLEBY: Move to postpone.
- MS. EVANS: Excuse me. That said to postpone
- 23 until the December 13th meeting.
- 24 CHAIRMAN: Oh, December 13th.
- MS. EVANS: It was postponed last month.

1 CHAIRMAN: Let the record show that Mr. Ped	1	CHAIRMAN:	Let the	record	show	that M	ír. Ped	lley
--	---	-----------	---------	--------	------	--------	---------	------

- 2 removed himself. Thank you.
- 3 PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
- 4 The Planning Staff recommends approval subject
- 5 to the Condition and Findings of Fact that follow:
- 6 CONDITION
- 7 Approval of a final development plan for the
- 8 subject property.
- 9 FINDINGS OF FACT
- 1. Staff recommends approval because the
- proposal is in compliance with the community's adopted
- 12 Comprehensive Plan;
- 13 2. The subject property is partially located
- in an Urban Residential Plan Area where urban
- 15 mid-density residential uses are appropriate in
- limited locations and partially located in a Business
- 17 Plan Area where urban mid-density residential uses are
- appropriate in limited locations;
- 3. The proposed multi-family residential use
- is consistent with the criteria for urban residential
- 21 development;
- 4. Sanitary sewer service is currently
- available to the subject property;
- 5. The proposal is a logical expansion of
- existing R-3MF zoning to the northwest; and,

1	6	Tho	proposal	147 i 1 1	gartta	20	2	huffer
1	ο.	THE	proposar	WTTT	serve	as	a	puller.

- 2 between the established residential subdivisions and
- 3 the commercial property on the northeast side of
- 4 Springhill Drive.
- 5 MS. EVANS: We would like to enter the Staff
- 6 Report into the record as Exhibit B.
- 7 CHAIRMAN: Is there somebody here for the
- 8 applicant?
- 9 APPLICANT REP: Yes.
- 10 CHAIRMAN: Does anybody in the audience have a
- 11 question of the applicant?
- 12 (NO RESPONSE)
- 13 CHAIRMAN: Does anybody on the commission have
- 14 a question of the applicant?
- 15 (NO RESPONSE)
- 16 CHAIRMAN: If not the chair is ready for a
- motion.
- 18 MR. APPLEBY: Motion for approval based on the
- 19 Staff Recommendations with the single Condition and
- Findings of Fact 1 through 6.
- 21 CHAIRMAN: Motion for approval by Mr. Appleby
- 22 with the one condition.
- MR. KAZLAUSKAS: Second.
- 24 CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Kazlauskas. All in
- 25 favor raise your right hand.

1	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT - WITH THE
2	DISQUALIFICATION OF WARD PEDLEY - RESPONDED AYE.)
3	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
4	Next item, please.
5	ITEM 4
6	4560 Springhill Drive, 0.685 +/- acres
	Consider zoning change: From B-4 General Business to
7	R-3MF Multi-Family Residential
	Applicant: Lake Forest Community, LLC
8	
9	PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
10	The Planning Staff recommends approval subject
11	to the condition and findings of fact that follow:
12	CONDITION
13	Approval of a final development plan for the
14	subject property.
15	FINDINGS OF FACT
16	1. Staff recommends approval because the
17	proposal is in compliance with the community's adopted
18	Comprehensive Plan;
19	2. The subject property is located in a
20	Business Plan Area where urban mid-density residential
21	uses are appropriate in limited locations;
22	3. The proposed multi-family residential use
23	is consistent with the criteria for urban residential
24	development;
25	4. Sanitary sewer service is currently
	Ohio Valley Reporting
	(270) 683-7383

1	available	to	the	subject	property	;

- 2 5. The subject property is located near major
- 3 streets with indirect access to Highway 54 via
- 4 Springhill Drive, Springview Drive and Lake Forest
- 5 Drive, and,
- 6. The proposal will serve as a buffer
- 7 between the established residential subdivisions and
- 8 the commercial property on the northeast side of
- 9 Springhill Drive.
- 10 MS. EVANS: We would like to enter the Staff
- 11 Report into the record as Exhibit C.
- 12 CHAIRMAN: We have somebody here for the
- 13 applicant. Do we have any questions of the applicant
- 14 from the audience?
- 15 (NO RESPONSE)
- 16 CHAIRMAN: Have any questions from the
- 17 commission?
- 18 (NO RESPONSE)
- MR. SILVERT: Mr. Chairman, we need the record
- 20 to reflect that Mr. Pedley is still recused on this
- 21 item as well.
- 22 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Silvert.
- 23 If there are no questions from the commission
- or from the audience, chair is ready for a motion.
- MR. ALLEN: Motion for approval subject to the

1	Planning Staff Recommendations, the Condition that the
2	approval of a final development plan for the subject
3	property be done, and Findings of Fact 1 through 6.
4	CHAIRMAN: We've got a motion for approval
5	with the condition by Mr. Allen.
6	MRS. CAMBRON: Second.
7	CHAIRMAN: Mrs. Cambron has a second. All in
8	favor raise your right hand.
9	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT - WITH THE
10	DISQUALIFICATION OF WARD PEDLEY - RESPONDED AYE.)
11	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
12	Thank you.
13	(WARD PEDLEY REJOINS MEETING AT THIS TIME.)
14	ITEM 5
15	3750 Ralph Avenue, 17.297 acres (applicant requesting
	postponement until 1/10/13)
16	Consider zoning change: From A-U Urban Agriculture to
	R-3MF Multi-Family Residential
17	Applicant: Chandler Property Management, Invision,
	LLC
18	
19	MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, the applicant
20	has requested this item be postponed until the January
21	10, 2013 meeting. You would need to take a vote on
22	that to postpone.
23	CHAIRMAN: Let the record show Mr. Pedley has
24	joined us.
25	Are there any questions from the audience?
	Ohio Valley Reporting
	4000 500 5000

1	(NO RESPONSE)
2	CHAIRMAN: From the commission?
3	(NO RESPONSE)
4	CHAIRMAN: If not the chair is ready for a
5	recommendation.
6	MR. APPLEBY: Move to postpone until the
7	January meeting.
8	CHAIRMAN: A motion for postponement by Mr.
9	Appleby.
10	MR. REEVES: Second.
11	CHAIRMAN: We've got a second by Mr. Reeves.
12	All in favor raise your right hand.
13	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
14	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
15	Next item.
16	Related Item:
17	ITEM 5A
18	Chandler Park Apartments, 17.10 acres (applicant
	requesting postponement until 1/10/13)
19	Consider approval of a preliminary development plan.
	Applicant: Chandler Property Management
20	
21	MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, this too will
22	need a vote to postpone until the January 10th
23	meeting.
24	CHAIRMAN: Are there any questions?
25	(NO RESPONSE)
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	CHAIRMAN: If not the chair is ready for a
2	motion.
3	MR. PEDLEY: Motion to postpone.
4	CHAIRMAN: Motion to postpone by Mr. Pedley.
5	MR. KAZLAUSKAS: Second.
6	CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Kazlauskas. All in
7	favor raise your right hand.
8	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
9	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
10	Next item, please.
11	ITEM 6
12	9024 Short Station Road, 3.633 acres
	Consider zoning change: From A-R Rural Agriculture
13	and EX-1 Coal Mining to A-R Rural Agriculture
	Applicant: Ralph Scott, Donna Scott
14	
15	PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
16	The Planning Staff recommends approval subject
17	to the findings of fact that follow:
18	FINDINGS OF FACT
19	1. Staff recommends approval because the
20	proposal is in compliance with the community's adopted
21	Comprehensive Plan;
22	2. The subject property is located in a Rural
23	Maintenance Plan Area, where rural large-lot
24	residential uses are appropriate in limited locations;
25	3. The subject property has access to Short
	Ohio Valley Reporting

- 1 Station Road with no new roads proposed;
- 2 4. Strip-mining activity has not and will not
- 3 take place on the subject property; and,
- 4 5. The Owensboro Metropolitan Zoning
- 5 Ordinance Article 12a.31 requires that property shall
- 6 revert to its original zoning classification after
- 7 mining.
- 8 MS. EVANS: We would like to enter the Staff
- 9 Report into the record as Exhibit D.
- 10 CHAIRMAN: Do we have somebody here for the
- 11 applicant?
- 12 (NO RESPONSE)
- 13 CHAIRMAN: Do we have any questions from the
- 14 audience?
- 15 (NO RESPONSE)
- 16 CHAIRMAN: Any questions from the commission?
- 17 (NO RESPONSE)
- 18 CHAIRMAN: If not the chair is ready for a
- 19 motion.
- 20 MR. APPLEBY: Motion for approval based on
- 21 Findings of Fact 1 through 5.
- 22 CHAIRMAN: Motion for approval with findings
- of fact by Mr. Appleby.
- MR. TAYLOR: Second.
- 25 CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Taylor. All in favor

1	raise your right hand.
2	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
3	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
4	Next item, please.
5	
6	DEVELOPMENT PLAN
7	ITEM 7
8	322, 324, 326 Clay Street, 400 East Fourth Street,
	0.24 +/- acres
9	Consider approval of final development plan
	Applicant: Victory Properties, LLC, Friends of
10	Sinners
11	MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, this plan has
12	been reviewed by the Planning Staff and Engineering
13	Staff. It's found to be in order. It comes to you
14	because the church for all is designating a number, a
15	certain number of their parking spaces to be used for
16	the Friends of Sinners Transitional Living facility.
17	Because that facility cannot meet their on-site
18	parking requirements on their site, they can find
19	their parking on adjoining property within a certain
20	distance. I believe in this case for residential it's
21	within 300 feet. So this property's parking spaces
22	are within that distance to the use and are being
23	designated on this development plan specifically for
24	the use of the transitional living facility. We would
25	recommend this development plan be approved.
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	CHAIRMAN: Do we have anybody here
2	representing the applicant?
3	APPLICANT REP: Yes.
4	CHAIRMAN: Are there any questions of the
5	applicant from the audience?
6	(NO RESPONSE)
7	CHAIRMAN: From the commission?
8	(NO RESPONSE)
9	CHAIRMAN: If not the chair is ready for a
10	motion.
11	MR. REEVES: Move for approval.
12	CHAIRMAN: Motion for approval by Mr. Reeves.
13	MR. TAYLOR: Second.
14	CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Taylor. All in favor
15	raise your right hand.
16	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
17	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
18	Next item, please.
19	
20	MINOR SUBDIVISIONS
21	ITEM 8
22	6131, 6135 Masonville-Habit Road, 2.433 acres
	Consider approval of minor subdivision plat.
23	Applicant: Frank & Nancy Yaun; Earl D. & Virginia P.
	Williams
24	
25	MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, this plat has
	Ohio Valley Reporting

25

1	been reviewed by the Planning Staff and Engineering
2	Staff. It's found to be in order.
3	This takes an existing lot of record and makes
4	it more conforming in terms of its size to expand an
5	area, and then it also creates a lot around an
6	existing residence that's nonconforming in that it
7	does not meet the 3 to 1 ratio for depth to width. It
8	has, I believe, the lot being created has about 50
9	feet of frontage as best I can tell. Typically we
10	would have more road frontage than that. However,
11	that's all of the road frontage that this larger farm
12	has on that side of the existing lot and it is
13	creating a traditional-shaped lot in the rear for an
14	existing home. So the Planning Staff because of what
15	I've just stated here, Planning Staff would recommend
16	that you grant this plat approval.
17	CHAIRMAN: Is there anybody here for the
18	applicant?
19	(NO RESPONSE)
20	CHAIRMAN: Does anybody in the audience have a
21	question?
22	(NO RESPONSE)
23	CHAIRMAN: Does anybody on the commission have
24	a question?

Ohio Valley Reporting
(270) 683-7383

(NO RESPONSE)

1	CHAIRMAN: If not the chair is ready for a
2	motion.
3	MR. APPLEBY: Motion for approval.
4	CHAIRMAN: Motion for approval by Mr. Appleby.
5	MR. TAYLOR: Second.
6	CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Taylor. All in favor
7	raise your right hand.
8	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
9	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
10	Next item, please.
11	ITEM 9
12	5115 Highway 56, 2.108 acres
	Consider approval of minor subdivision plat
13	Applicant: K-Win Generations, LLC
14	MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, this plat has
15	been reviewed by the Planning Staff and Engineering
16	Staff. It's found to be in order.
17	This creates a lot around an existing home
18	that's located on a large farm that does not have road
19	frontage; however, there is an access easement to the
20	existing farm and they have noted that easement on
21	this plat to serve as access to the newly created lot
22	around the home as well as the remaining tract.
23	They've also stipulated there would be no further
24	building development or divisions on this property
25	unless the minimum standards of the subdivision

1	regulations are met.		
2	So with that we would recommend it for		
3	approval given that it's for an existing home on a		
4	large farm.		
5	CHAIRMAN: Do we have anybody here for the		
6	applicant?		
7	(NO RESPONSE)		
8	CHAIRMAN: Does anybody in the audience have a		
9	question?		
10	(NO RESPONSE)		
11	CHAIRMAN: Does anybody on the commission have		
12	a question?		
13	(NO RESPONSE)		
14	CHAIRMAN: If not the chair is ready for a		
15	motion.		
16	MRS. CAMBRON: Make a motion to approve.		
17	CHAIRMAN: We have a motion for approval by		
18	Mrs. Cambron.		
19	MR. KAZLAUSKAS: Second.		
20	CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Kazlauskas. All in		
21	favor raise your right hand.		
22	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)		
23	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.		
24	Mr. Noffsinger.		
25			
	Ohio Valley Reporting		

1	NEW BUSINESS
2	ITEM 10
3	Consider approval of the October 2012 financial
	statements.
4	
5	CHAIRMAN: Are there any questions?
6	(NO RESPONSE)
7	CHAIRMAN: Any questions from the commission?
8	(NO RESPONSE)
9	CHAIRMAN: If not the chair is ready for a
10	motion.
11	MR. PEDLEY: Motion to approve the financial
12	statement.
13	CHAIRMAN: We've got a motion for approval by
14	Mr. Pedley.
15	MR. APPLEBY: Second.
16	CHAIRMAN: We've got a second by Mr. Appleby.
17	All in favor raise your right hand.
18	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
19	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
20	Next item, please.
21	ITEM 11
22	Consider extension of the OMPC office lease.
23	MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, last month the
24	Planning Commission authorized the director, as well
25	as the chair, to sign an extension to our office lease
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	2	_ 1	a		a
1	ın	tne	center	OI	Commerce.

- 2 Since that time a lease extension has not been
- 3 signed. We have been in discussion with our landlord,
- 4 which is the Malcolm-Bryant Corporation, and they have
- 5 extended us three additional offers on top of what we
- 6 had before.
- 7 One is a 15-year lease, the other is a 10-year
- 8 lease, and a 5-year lease.
- 9 Each member has been mailed a copy of what the
- 10 leases are. They're certainly better than the one
- 11 that was approved and recommended by the commission
- 12 last month. The Planning Staff would recommend that
- we enter into a 15-year lease with the landlord, but
- it's up for your consideration and discussion.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: Are there any questions from the
- 16 audience?
- 17 (NO RESPONSE)
- 18 CHAIRMAN: Does anybody on the commission have
- 19 questions or comments?
- 20 MRS. CAMBRON: I have a question. The 15-year
- locks in our lease. Are there any penalties if for
- some reason we want to break that lease? I mean
- 23 15-years, when I think about the development of
- downtown and the opportunities, is there any type of
- penalty if we lock it in for 15?

1	MR. NOFFSINGER: There is a penalty and that
2	would be we would be responsible for the entire 15
3	years of that lease.
4	MRS. CAMBRON: But if we sign a lease for 10,
5	then the end of 10 we have the option to renew for
6	another 5 at the same lease?
7	MR. NOFFSINGER: No. The rate does go up.
8	The longer we renew this lease the better the rate
9	would be. That would be the advantage of the 15 year
10	over the 10 year. The disadvantage on the 15 year
11	obviously is we're going to be there for 15 years or
12	we're liable for the 15 year.
13	MR. ALLEN: Gary, just to be clear though. I
14	don't think there is an advantage to 10 versus 15. I
15	think it's the same rate.
16	MR. NOFFSINGER: In the 10-year rate, we can
17	extend for another 5 years, but it goes up at the cost
18	of the CPI, 3 percent. So the rate is the same for
19	the 10 years.
20	MR. ALLEN: But the extra five year, sure.
21	MR. NOFFSINGER: That's what I meant to say.
22	CHAIRMAN: Do you have any further questions,
23	Mrs. Cambron?
24	MRS. CAMBRON: No.
25	CHAIRMAN: Are there any other questions from
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	anybody else on the commission, any other comments?
2	(NO RESPONSE)
3	CHAIRMAN: Mr. Noffsinger, I think you've done
4	a good job with the lease. I personally think with
5	the development of downtown, the direction in which
6	downtown is going, I personally like the 15 year
7	lease, but I think everybody has to weigh in on what
8	the commission thinks.
9	Anybody else have any other comments?
10	MR. APPLEBY: I tend to agree with Drew. I
11	don't feel like that rates are going to get any
12	cheaper downtown. I kind of like the idea of locking
13	it in 15 years at this rate. That's my gut feeling.
14	CHAIRMAN: Mr. Allen.
15	MR. ALLEN: I agree.
16	Number one, the rate itself we're looking at
17	right now is cheap relative to the current rental
18	environment downtown.
19	Number two, I think everybody or at least the
20	expectation I have is that this body will still be
21	located down here 10, 15 years in the future because
22	it just makes sense. If you're locking in kind of a
23	below market rate for an extended period in an area
24	that's improving, and all probability will have much
25	higher rates down the road, it would seem to be more
	Ohio Valley Reporting

- 1 prudent to lock in a longer term rate.
- 2 CHAIRMAN: Mr. Pedley.
- 3 MR. PEDLEY: I certainly agree with OMPC Staff
- 4 here.
- 5 Mr. Noffsinger, that 15-year lease without an
- 6 increase is no doubt the best deal. When you go to
- other route, you know, based on consumer price index,
- 8 things like that, we don't know where it's going to.
- 9 This locks it in for 15 years. I kind of agree with
- 10 the 15 year period.
- 11 CHAIRMAN: Mr. Taylor.
- MR. TAYLOR: I agree with the 15 year. I
- 13 believe if something came up and the office Staff
- 14 wasn't where they are in 15 years, it will probably be
- 15 because somebody bigger and better came along and paid
- 16 the rent for them.
- 17 CHAIRMAN: Mr. Kazlauskas.
- 18 MR. KAZLAUSKAS: I think where it's located
- 19 and time span certainly will meet our needs to the
- 20 future.
- I have one question about the lease
- 22 improvements. You know, it talks about new carpet,
- 23 painting and miscellaneous cosmetic improvements. Is
- 24 that one time during that 15 years?
- MR. NOFFSINGER: Yes, sir.

1	MR. KAZLAUSKAS: What kind of shape do you
2	think it's in right now?
3	MR. NOFFSINGER: It needs improvements. We've
4	been there for six years and those improvements, we
5	did not make any improvements other than I think we
6	put down some carpet in the main lobby area, if you
7	will, the common area, but that's about it. We did
8	not paint or anything. Currently in the lease there
9	are no improvements.
10	MR. SILVERT: Mr. Kazlauskas, I can tell you
11	that there are other tenants in that same building
12	that have made improvements on their own dime. This
13	would be something to my knowledge that's extended to
14	a tenant in this building that has not otherwise been
15	extended.
16	CHAIRMAN: Previously, in the previous lease
17	the responsibility was ours and it's been shifted.
18	MR. KAZLAUSKAS: Very good.
19	CHAIRMAN: Mr. Reeves.
20	MR. REEVES: I agree with everyone else. The
21	fact that there's a bank there I think makes it a very
22	stable building. I think it will continue to be a
23	bank there. I think the quality of the landlord is
24	important and Mr. Bryant is certainly a good landlord
25	over there.

Ohio Valley Reporting
(270) 683-7383

1	CHAIRMAN: Does anybody have any problem with
2	it being right across from First Baptist? What do you
3	think the long-term situation is going to be there?
4	MR. REEVES: That's hard to say. They could
5	go out of business any day.
6	CHAIRMAN: If there are no further comments or
7	questions from anybody, the chair is ready for a
8	motion.
9	MR. REEVES: I make a motion to approve the
10	lease as specified in the document we have.
11	CHAIRMAN: We have a motion by Mr. Reeves to
12	accept the lease, the 15-year lease, Mr. Reeves.
13	MR. REEVES: Fifteen year lease, yes.
14	CHAIRMAN: Fifteen year lease. Do we have a
15	second?
16	MRS. CAMBRON: Second.
17	CHAIRMAN: Second by Mrs. Cambron. All in
18	favor raise your right hand.
19	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
20	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
21	ITEM 12
22	Consider entering into contract with OMU for fiber
	optic service.
23	
24	MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, at this point
25	in time the Staff is not prepared to make a
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	recommendation. We continue to have fiber optic
2	service to the office. We feel that we need to table
3	this for at least another month to take a look at our
4	opportunities before we go into a long-term contract.
5	So I would recommend that no action be taken and we
6	move on to Item 13.
7	CHAIRMAN: Are there any questions?
8	(NO RESPONSE)
9	CHAIRMAN: If there are no question, we'll
10	just move right on to Item 13 since no action will be
11	taken.
12	ITEM 13
13	Enter into closed session to discuss personnel per
	KRS 61.810(1)(f)
14	
15	MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, we need to stay
16	out here. Manuel Ball, our chief building inspector
17	and associate director for the building, electrical
18	and HVAC, will give a presentation on the need to add
19	an additional staff member to the building department.
20	He's going to hand you a handout. At the end of his
21	presentation he'll entertain and I'll entertain any
22	questions you may have. Then we will certainly be
23	asking that you authorize us to move forward in terms
24	of advertising for the position and to come forward
25	with a budget amendment in January for this board so
	Ohio Valley Reporting

- 1 that we can fund that decision.
- MR. SILVERT: State your name, please.
- 3 MR. BALL: Manuel Ball.
- 4 (MANUEL BALL SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
- 5 MR. BALL: The Owensboro Metropolitan Planning
- 6 Commission (OMPC) has completed a review of the
- 7 current staff in an effort to prepare for growth and
- 8 expected attrition over the next five years.
- 9 Currently the OMPC has three full-time Certified
- 10 Building, Electrical, and HVAC field inspectors.
- 11 Background:
- 12 Currently, the OMPC Building Department is
- 13 comprised of the following employees:
- John Pickrell, Chief Electrical Inspector,
- 15 16 years of experience with the OMPC. John is
- 16 eligible for retirement in 2017.
- Alan King, Certified Building, Electrical,
- 18 and HVAC Inspector, 23 years of experience with the
- 19 OMPC. Alan is currently eligible for retirement.
- Dennis Thurman, Certified Building,
- 21 Electrical, and HVAC Inspector, 8 years of experience
- 22 with the OMPC. Dennis is eligible for retirement
- 23 in 2015.
- Matt Warren, Plan Reviewer/Certified
- 25 Building, Electrical, and HVAC Inspector/office staff,

1	5 years of experience with the OMPC. To my knowledge
2	he's nowhere near retirement at this point in time.
3	The OMPC has completed 3,500 inspections this
4	year. This number is on track to exceed the last two
5	fiscal years of 6,202 and 6,249. In this time,
6	actually in 2011 we actually added the HVAC to our
7	inspections. We did not add another inspector at that
8	time.
9	Currently Daviess County is experiencing
10	record construction projects in the city and county
11	which require the OMPC staff to complete additional
12	inspections.
13	Currently it's set up that Matt Warren spends
14	most of his days in the office aiding customers with
15	building and zoning questions. He also devotes time
16	to building and zoning enforcement and inspections
17	when needed. We'd really like to have Matt in the
18	office to try to keep our customers happy and answer
19	any questions that they have. It's amazing how many
20	people just come in to ask a question about the zoning
21	or building or need help actually filling out the
22	application for a building permit.
23	Currently John Pickrell is dedicated to the
24	Owensboro Medical Health System, the new project
25	there, and the medical office building. He spends
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	approximately 4.5 hours of his day at the hospital and
2	the remaining time, the remaining 3 hours he spends in
3	the office answering electrical questions as the chief
4	electrical inspector.
5	In addition to the hospital, Owensboro is
6	currently, because they have many more large projects
7	We're seeing a lot of things here right now that are
8	unprecedented here in Owensboro. We have the new
9	hospital, we have the Convention Center, the new
10	Hampton Inn, and Texas Gas. There's talk of another
11	large hotel in the downtown area. It really takes a
12	lot of the time. Currently I believe even without
13	that we have an extremely lean staff.
14	The OMPC works diligently to complete timely
15	inspections and plan reviews as well as staffing the
16	office to insure appropriate personnel is available to
17	answer building and zoning questions for the public.
18	I have done some research and Daviess County
19	actually has something that to my knowledge no one
20	else in the State of Kentucky has. We have
21	cross-trained inspectors who actually look at
22	building, electric and HVAC. So instead of having
23	three separate inspectors for each one of those, we're
24	able to do it all with one inspector, which obviously
25	keeps down on our vehicles and other items like that.

1	To compare us to another city I looked at
2	Bowling Green. Bowling Green and Warren County, their
3	population is 113,792, which is comparable to ours.
4	It's about 16,000 larger than what we are. Currently
5	they have seven inspectors to do what we have three
6	full-time and basically one part-time. We pull Matt
7	in and out of the office.
8	In addition to that, they have seven to do
9	building and electric only. The state actually comes
10	in to do the HVAC. So we actually have three
11	full-time to do what Bowling Green does with seven.
12	What I would like to recommend for you all
13	tonight is that we actually hire a new building,
14	electrical and HVAC inspector. I think it's going to
15	be difficult to find someone who has the experience
16	that is actually trained to do so. We would look for
17	someone who actually has their electrical
18	certification already. The electrical certification
19	takes five years of experience working under a master
20	to achieve. So we really have to look in that
21	direction in order to find someone who has the
22	electrical. Then if they do not have it, we would
23	train them on the building and the HVAC.
24	So my recommendation from the OMPC Staff
25	tonight is that we hire a new inspector.

Ohio Valley Reporting
(270) 683-7383

	1	CHAIRMAN:	Mr.	Ball,	with	doing	that	will	tha
--	---	-----------	-----	-------	------	-------	------	------	-----

- 2 be a requirement of the job? Obviously you will want
- 3 to hire an electrical inspector so he's ready to go
- 4 almost immediately.
- 5 MR. BALL: That's correct.
- 6 CHAIRMAN: Now, will it be a requirement of
- 7 the job that he complete these other tasks also, other
- 8 certifications?
- 9 MR. BALL: I believe the structure of Daviess
- 10 County apparently of OMPC, I believe it allows us to
- 11 keep our staff much leaner than any other communities.
- 12 So, yes, that would be a requirement.
- 13 CHAIRMAN: And with each new certification he
- gets he also gets an increase in his pay rate also,
- 15 doesn't he?
- MR. BALL: I think currently what we're
- 17 looking for is someone who has that experience. We
- 18 would have to look at what we currently have set, but
- 19 I believe that is with the building and HVAC also is
- what we're looking at.
- 21 CHAIRMAN: So that would be required that he
- get that within a certain period of time?
- 23 MR. BALL: Correct. He may actually start at
- 24 a lower amount and get to the --
- 25 CHAIRMAN: There will be financial incentives.

2	MR. BALL: To the budget amount. Thank you,
3	David. That's correct.
4	Also, if you look on the back there, there's a
5	survey which is just a survey we received here in
6	recent past. It basically talks about the need and
7	the workload and the schedule here at OMPC.
8	I think in order to take care of our
9	customers, we're very fortunate here in Daviess
10	County. If you call within maybe a two or three day
11	time frame, we're able to get to you very quickly.
12	Our plan reviews are extremely fast also. We turn
13	around a plan review in about a week and a half,
14	possibly less. So we really would like to keep that
15	up to take care of our customers properly.
16	CHAIRMAN: Mr. Pedley, I know you're closely
17	involved with that. What's your overview on this?
18	MR. PEDLEY: Well, as a builder and also a
19	developer, I realize how important it is for timely
20	inspections. Sometimes you get pushed back a little
21	bit or forward.
22	I had two final inspections today. To show
23	you how important it is, I had two final inspections
24	on two homes. To close my loan with these people so
25	they could move, I had to have CEO to try to get these
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1 MR. APPLEBY: To budget that amount.

1	people moved in Saturday. It's very, very important.
2	You can see just a one or two or three day delay is
3	kind of sometimes devastating to a builder, and
4	especially the homeowner. The homeowner doesn't
5	understand why the delay happens.
6	Same way with putting in footers. It's very,
7	very important on footers on account of bad weather to
8	get a footer dug. We can't wait two or three days for
9	an inspection. If you do, you're going to get it full
10	of water and mud. You take the steel out and you
11	start all over. So the footer inspection is very
12	important.
13	Also the next thing that comes up in
14	inspections is the rough-in inspection. You get a
15	building framed, there's nothing else you can do until
16	the inspector gets there and gives you approval to
17	cover. If you fail to get that within two or three
18	days, then what you've got to start doing is working
19	with your subcontractors and moving them. So you
20	might loose your place with a subcontractor for as
21	much as ten days. So timely inspections is very, very
22	important.
23	We have had it good here in Owensboro/Daviess

23 We have had it good here in Owensboro/Daviess
24 County. It's been excellent. Very, very seldom that
25 we have to wait more than one day, two days at the

Ohio Valley Reporting

max. I've worked in Henderson. I've worked in
Madisonville, several other cities, and we're very
fortunate to have it. Our inspectors are very
efficient. The building codes is very efficient.
It's very well run. I watch the inspectors. They
lose very little time. They drive these small pickup
trucks very economical. The inspectors are overworked
many times. Many times I've had an inspector on my
job at 3 and 4 in the afternoon. I say, well, is this
your last stop? He said, no. I've got two or three
more. They are overworked. Especially since we've
had the hospital issue and all the downtown done.
We've got a growth coming, but more than
anything else you've got to prepare somebody to take
these people that will be retiring. Very, very
important to have someone prepared.
CHAIRMAN: Mr. Pedley, obviously you are in
favor of the proposal?
MR. PEDLEY: Absolutely. No doubt I'm in
favor of the proposal to hire an inspector to get him
trained, get him up to where he can do the job. We
are going to have growth. We're going to need another
inspector. We are going to have retirement. So
absolutely. I am in favor of hiring an inspector.
CHAIRMAN: Let me see if there's any questions

Ohio Valley Reporting

-	_		7 '	
1	trom.	+ n $\triangle$	audience	

- 2 Anybody else on the commission have a question
- 3 or a statement?
- 4 MR. KAZLAUSKAS: Just a couple of things.
- 5 You mentioned Warren County has the state come
- 6 in and do their HVAC.
- 7 MR. BALL: Yes, sir. We actually here in
- 8 Daviess County we have an expanded jurisdiction which
- 9 has allowed us to take over commercial projects from
- 10 the state. In addition to that, there are a lot of
- 11 counties that still require the state to come in and
- do their HVAC inspections. We have entered an
- agreement with the State to where we actually do those
- 14 here in-house which is much better for our customers.
- MR. KAZLAUSKAS: Does the state charge the
- 16 same fee that we do?
- 17 MR. BALL: Yes, I believe so. I believe we
- are on the same fee schedule as the state.
- MR. KAZLAUSKAS: What's our turn around time
- 20 as far as our inspections versus the state inspections
- over in Warren County? Do you have that?
- MR. BALL: I do not have that information. I
- 23 apologize.
- 24 MR. KAZLAUSKAS: I would think that ours would
- be faster, wouldn't it?

1	CHAIRMAN: I'm sure it is.
2	MR. BALL: I feel confident. If you're
3	looking at the state, the state would normally have
4	one inspector.
5	As far as HVAC go, they would have one
6	inspector for a large area whereas we actually
7	incorporate that into our electrical and our building.
8	For instance, in the event that you have a rough-in
9	inspection in Bowling Green, before you could actually
10	cover the walls with the dry wall on a residential
11	structure, you would come in and you would have one
12	electrical inspection. You would have one for the
13	building, and then you would have to wait on the state
14	to come in and do the HVAC inspection before you could
15	cover up the dry wall.
16	I don't know what their time frame is, Mr.
17	Kazlauskas, but I feel confident just the fact that it
18	takes three inspectors instead of one, I feel
19	confident that their time frame is more than ours.
20	MR. KAZLAUSKAS: It makes sense that ours
21	would be faster than waiting and relying on the state
22	inspector to come in.
23	The other question is for Mr. Noffsinger.
24	This is recurring cost with salary and
25	benefits?

Ohio Valley Reporting
(270) 683-7383

1	MR. NOFFSINGER: Yes, sir.
2	MR. KAZLAUSKAS: I guess that person would
3	start out at Step 1, Grade 1 or whatever the grades
4	are?
5	MR. NOFFSINGER: That's correct. We have
6	salary chart for all positions. It would be based
7	upon whatever is in the salary chart. You're looking
8	at a range with benefits that's probably going to add
9	40 to \$60,000 to the budget by the time you fill that
10	one position.
11	MR. KAZLAUSKAS: 60,000 tops you think?
12	MR. NOFFSINGER: Yes. I'm looking at that's a
13	range of salary, retirement, health insurance. That's
14	unemployment insurance. That's about what it takes to
15	fill a position because this is a position that's
16	highly skilled, highly technical. Each member has
17	been mailed a copy of the proposed ad and job
18	qualifications. It will take someone with, as Manuel
19	said, five years of electrical experience and they
20	must be a certified electrical inspector. So we'll be
21	looking throughout the state in our advertising and
22	trying to find someone. It is a highly skilled
23	position and these folks are not that easy to find.
24	MR. KAZLAUSKAS: This will take a budget
25	amendment?

Ohio Valley Reporting
(270) 683-7383

1	MR. NOFFSINGER: Yes. What we would like to
2	happen tonight will be for the Planning Commission to
3	vote to authorize the Staff to advertise for the
4	position and move forward with filling that position
5	and authorize the Staff to come forward with a budget
6	amendment to fund that position at our meeting in
7	January. Then immediately if we have a person that's
8	qualified, Staff feels comfortable with, we would move
9	forward with hiring that person after the budget
10	amendment is approved. If the budget amendment is not
11	approved, then we've met some nice folks, but then we
12	wouldn't be able to move forward.
13	MR. KAZLAUSKAS: I feel comfortable in moving
14	forward with this proposal.
15	CHAIRMAN: Mr. Pedley, would you like to make
16	that motion?
17	MR. PEDLEY: I have one other statement to
18	make.
19	The building code department, planning zoning
20	itself is sufficient. Their salaries and expenses
21	come from the due permits, the permits, electrical
22	buildings, whatever, from the builders, contractors,
23	electricians, so on. It is basically not funded by
24	the two governments.
25	Mr. Noffsinger, correct me if I'm wrong on
	Ohio Valley Reporting
	(270) 683-7383

1	that.
2	They're very, very efficient. So if there's
3	any complaints from anyone, it should be from the
4	builders and contractors and so on. I haven't heard
5	any. We haven't had a raise in our rates or permits
6	in several years. As the Home Builders Association
7	and home builders and the contractors and so on, we're
8	very pleased with what we have. Again, I've been in
9	Henderson, Madisonville and other places and I can
10	tell you we have it very, very good here.
11	Yes, I'm ready whenever you are, Mr. Chairman.
12	MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, if I may say.
13	Mr. Pedley is absolutely right. The building
14	department is funded strictly by permit fees. So if
15	you come in for a building permit, electrical permit
16	or an HVAC permit, you fund that operation. That
17	operation is not funded by the city and county
18	government. Because you're a tax payer in this
19	community, that doesn't mean you're funding that
20	department. If you're building in this community,
21	getting a permit, which if you're a building something
22	you should, then you're funding for this position.
23	It's not a situation where we're just trying
24	to raise rates to fund the position. This position is
25	funded through the operations of that department
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	that's	funded	through	permits.	We	have	not	raised

- 2 costs or rates since 1984. The rates have been
- 3 maintained at the same rate. What has changed is the
- 4 cost of projects. So we've been able to continue the
- 5 program forward without raising the rates because the
- 6 cost of development has gone up. Our revenue stream
- 7 does go up as costs go up. We have not raised the
- 8 rates since 1984 and it's been a very efficient
- 9 program with the cross-training of our inspectors,
- 10 which we've been doing for years and that was started
- 11 under Roger Anderson's term here. He saw the need to
- 12 cross-train inspectors and really put this program in
- a position to continue to grow and flourish and
- represent this community well for many, many years.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- Mr. Pedley, make a motion.
- 17 MR. PEDLEY: I make a motion that we hire an
- 18 additional certified inspector.
- 19 CHAIRMAN: We've got a motion to hire a new
- inspector by Mr. Pedley.
- MR. APPLEBY: Second.
- 22 CHAIRMAN: We've got a second by Mr. Appleby.
- 23 All in favor raise your right hand.
- 24 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 25 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.

1	I believe the chair is ready for one last
2	motion.
3	MR. ALLEN: Move to adjourn.
4	CHAIRMAN: Motion for adjournment by Mr.
5	Allen.
6	MRS. CAMBRON: Second.
7	CHAIRMAN: Mrs. Cambron has a second. All in
8	favor raise your right hand.
9	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
10	CHAIRMAN: We are adjourned.
11	Merry Christmas.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Ohio Valley Reporting (270) 683-7383

Τ	STATE OF RENTOCKY )
	)SS: REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	COUNTY OF DAVIESS )
3	I, LYNNETTE KOLLER FUCHS, Notary Public in and
4	for the State of Kentucky at Large, do hereby certify
5	that the foregoing Owensboro Metropolitan Planning
6	Commission meeting was held at the time and place as
7	stated in the caption to the foregoing proceedings;
8	that each person commenting on issues under discussion
9	were duly sworn before testifying; that the Board
10	members present were as stated in the caption; that
11	said proceedings were taken by me in stenotype and
12	electronically recorded and was thereafter, by me,
13	accurately and correctly transcribed into the
14	foregoing 40 typewritten pages; and that no signature
15	was requested to the foregoing transcript.
16	WITNESS my hand and notary seal on this the
17	2nd day of January, 2013.
18	
19	LYNNETTE KOLLER FUCHS
20	NOTARY ID 433397 OHIO VALLEY REPORTING SERVICES
21	202 WEST THIRD STREET, SUITE 12 OWENSBORO, KENTUCKY 42303
22	OWENDBORO, RENTOCKI 12303
23	COMMISSION EXPIRES: DECEMBER 16, 2014
24	COUNTY OF RESIDENCE: DAVIESS COUNTY, KENTUCKY
25	
	Ohio Valley Reporting