1	OWENSBORO METROPOLITAN BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT
2	MAY 3, 2012
3	THE OWENSBORO METROPOLITAN BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT
4	MET IN REGULAR SESSION AT 5:30 P.M. ON THURSDAY, MAY
5	3, 2012, AT CITY HALL, COMMISSION CHAMBERS, OWENSBORO,
6	KENTUCKY, AND THE PROCEEDINGS WERE AS FOLLOWS:
7	MEMBERS PRESENT: C.A. PANTLE, CHAIRMAN
8	WARD PEDLEY, VICE CHAIRMAN RUTH ANN MASON, SECRETARY
9	SEAN LAND, ATTORNEY BRIAN HOWARD
10	MARTY WARREN SHANNON RAINES
11	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
12	CHAIRMAN: LET ME CALL THE OWENSBORO
13	METROPOLITAN BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT TO ORDER. I WANT TO
14	WELCOME YOU ALL AT THIS TIME. WE START OUR PROGRAM
15	WITH A PRAYER AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG
16	EACH EVENING. WE INVITE YOU TO JOIN WITH US. BRIAN
17	HOWARD WILL HAVE THE PRAYER AT THIS TIME.
18	(INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.)
19	CHAIRMAN: AGAIN, I WANT TO WELCOME EACH ONE
20	OF YOU TO THE MEETING. IF YOU HAVE ANY COMMENTS ON
21	ANY OF THE ITEMS, PLEASE COME TO ONE OF THE PODIUMS.
22	STATE YOUR NAME SO WE'LL HAVE RECORD OF YOUR BEING
23	HERE AND WHAT YOU HAVE TO SAY.
24	WITH THAT THE FIRST THING WE HAVE THIS EVENING
25	IS CONSIDER THE MINUTES OF THE APRIL 5TH MEETING.

1	THEY'RE IN THE OFFICE. I DON'T THINK THERE'S ANY
2	PROBLEMS OR ANYTHING.
3	MR. HOWARD: NO, SIR.
4	CHAIRMAN: WITH THAT I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION
5	TO DISPOSE OF THE ITEM.
6	MR. WARREN: MOTION TO APPROVE THE MINUTE AS
7	WRITTEN.
8	MS. MASON: SECOND.
9	CHAIRMAN: A MOTION HAS BEEN MADE AND A
10	SECOND. ALL IN FAVOR RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND.
11	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
12	CHAIRMAN: MOTION CARRIES.
13	NEXT ITEM, PLEASE.
14	
15	CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT
16	ITEM 2
17	
18	
19	REFERENCE: ZONING ORDINANCE, ARTICLE 8, SECTION 8.2H9 APPLICANT: JERRY C. BAIRD
20	MR. LAND: STATE YOUR NAME, PLEASE.
21	MS. EVANS: MELISSA EVANS.
22	(MELISSA EVANS SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
23	MS. EVANS: FIRST OF ALL, I WOULD LIKE TO

STATE THAT APPROVAL OF ANY ITEMS HERE TONIGHT DOES NOT

ALLOW FOR THE APPLICANT OR OWNER TO CONSTRUCT, ALTER

24

- 1 OR MODIFY OR OCCUPY ANY BUILDINGS ON THE SUBJECT
- 2 PROPERTY. IT IS THE APPLICANT OR OWNER'S
- 3 RESPONSIBILITY TO OBTAIN ALL APPROVALS AND INSPECTIONS
- 4 AS NECESSARY BEFORE OCCUPANCY OF THE BUILDING IS
- 5 ALLOWED. THEY NEED TO CONTACT THE BUILDING AND
- 6 ELECTRICAL DIVISION AT THE OMPC PRIOR TO BEGINNING ANY
- 7 WORK ON THE SUBJECT PROPERTY FOR APPLICABLE
- 8 REQUIREMENTS.
- 9 ZONING HISTORY
- 10 THE SUBJECT PROPERTY IS CURRENTLY ZONED A-R
- 11 RURAL AGRICULTURE. OMPC RECORDS INDICATE THERE WAS A
- 20 ZONING MAP AMENDMENT APPROVED FOR A PORTION OF THE
- 13 SUBJECT PROPERTY AT THE APRIL 12, 2012 OMPC MEETING,
- 14 REZONING IT FROM R-1A TO A-R.
- 15 THE APPLICANT IS REQUESTING TO CONSTRUCT AND
- OPERATE A WINE TASTING FACILITY ON THE SUBJECT
- 17 PROPERTY WHERE THEY CURRENTLY OPERATE A VINEYARD.
- 18 LAND USES IN SURROUNDING AREA
- 19 THE PROPERTY TO THE NORTH IS ZONED R-1A
- 20 SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL AND A-R RURAL AGRICULTURE.
- 21 THE PROPERTIES TO THE SOUTH, EAST AND WEST ARE ZONED
- 22 A-R RURAL AGRICULTURE.
- 23 ZONING ORDINANCE REQUIREMENTS
- 24 1. PARKING MINIMUM OF 5, AS SHOWN ON THE
- 25 SITE PLAN SUBMITTED.

1	MS	EVANS:	WF:	MOLITYD	T.TKE	TΩ	ENTER	THE	STAFF

- 2 REPORT INTO THE RECORD AS EXHIBIT A.
- 3 CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU.
- 4 DO WE HAVE ANY COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS AT THE
- 5 OFFICE?
- 6 MR. HOWARD: NO, SIR.
- 7 CHAIRMAN: DOES ANYONE HERE WISHING TO SPEAK
- 8 ON THAT ITEM?
- 9 (NO RESPONSE)
- 10 CHAIRMAN: THE BOARD MEMBERS HAVE ANY COMMENTS
- 11 ON THE ITEM?
- 12 (NO RESPONSE)
- 13 CHAIRMAN: STAFF HAVE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?
- MR. HOWARD: NO, SIR.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO DISPOSE OF
- 16 THE ITEM.
- 17 MS. MASON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I MOVE FOR APPROVAL
- 18 BASED ON MY FINDINGS OF FACT THAT IT'S A LOGICAL
- 19 EXPANSION OF THE EXISTING USE AND IT'S COMPATIBLE WITH
- THE LAND USE IN THE AREA.
- MR. WARREN: SECOND.
- 22 CHAIRMAN: A MOTION HAS BEEN MADE AND A
- 23 SECOND. IS THERE ANY OTHER COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS FROM
- 24 THE BOARD?
- 25 (NO RESPONSE)

1	CHAIRMAN: STAFF HAVE ANYTHING ELSE?
2	MR. HOWARD: NO.
3	CHAIRMAN: ALL IN FAVOR RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND.
4	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
5	CHAIRMAN: MOTION CARRIES.
6	NEXT ITEM, PLEASE, SIR.
7	
8	VARIANCE
9	ITEM 3
10	1724 LEE COURT, ZONED R-4DT
11	CONSIDER A REQUEST FOR A VARIANCE IN ORDER TO REDUCE THE SIDE AND REAR YARD BUILDING SETBACK LINES FOR AN
12	ACCESSORY STRUCTURE FROM 3 FEET FROM THE PROPERTY LINE TO 0 FEET FROM THE PROPERTY LINE.
13	REFERENCE: ZONING ORDINANCE, ARTICLE 3, SECTION 3-7(B)(2) APPLICANT: DONALD W. BUCKBY & JUDY C. BUCKBY
14	APPLICANI. DONALD W. BUCKBY & JUDY C. BUCKBY
15	MS. EVANS: THE APPLICANT IS WISHING TO
16	RECONSTRUCT A DETACHED GARAGE THAT WAS DESTROYED BY
17	WEATHER AND INCREASE THE SIZE OF THE ORIGINAL GARAGE.
18	ACCORDING TO THE SITE PLAN SUBMITTED THE
19	ORIGINAL GARAGE ENCROACHED INTO THE REAR AND WEST SIDE
20	BUILDING SETBACK LINE. IT APPEARS THE ORIGINAL GARAGE
21	WAS 2 FEET 8 INCHES FROM THE REAR PROPERTY LINE AND 1
22	FOOT 4 INCHES FROM THE WEST PROPERTY LINE INSTEAD OF
23	THE REQUIRED 3 FEET FROM THE PROPERTY LINES.
24	WHILE WE DO FIND IT APPROPRIATE TO REDUCE THE
25	SETBACK LINES ON THE REAR AND WEST SIDE, WE DO NOT

1	FEEL REDUCING THE SETBACK TO 0 FEET WOULD BE
2	NECESSARY. RATHER WE WOULD RECOMMEND THE REAR YARD
3	BUILDING SETBACK LINE BE REDUCED FROM 3 FEET FROM THE
4	PROPERTY LINE TO 2 FEET 8 INCHES FROM THE PROPERTY
5	LINE AND THE WEST SIDE YARD BUILDING SETBACK LINE BE
6	REDUCED FROM 3 FEET FROM THE PROPERTY LINE TO 1 FOOT 4
7	INCHES FROM THE PROPERTY LINE.
8	THIS WOULD ALLOW THE APPLICANT TO CONSTRUCT
9	THE NEW LARGER DETACHED GARAGE IN THE SAME PLACE AS
10	THE OLD ONE UTILIZING THE EXISTING FOOTER AND LINING
11	UP WITH THE EXISTING DRIVEWAY.
12	GRANTING THE VARIANCE TO REDUCE THE REAR YARD
13	BUILDING SETBACK LINE FROM 3 FEET FROM THE PROPERTY
14	LINE TO 2 FEET 8 INCHES FROM THE PROPERTY LINE AND
15	REDUCING THE WEST SIDE YARD BUILDING SETBACK LINE FROM
16	3 FEET FROM THE PROPERTY LINE TO 1 FOOT 4 INCHES FROM
17	THE PROPERTY LINE WILL NOT ADVERSELY AFFECT THE PUBLIC
18	HEALTH, SAFETY OR WELFARE BECAUSE THIS WILL BE
19	REPLACING A BUILDING THAT HAD NO NEGATIVE IMPACT
20	PREVIOUSLY; IT WILL NOT ALTER THE ESSENTIAL CHARACTER
21	OF THE GENERAL VICINITY BECAUSE THIS AREA WAS
22	DEVELOPED BEFORE THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE ZONING
23	ORDINANCE AND THERE APPEAR TO BE NUMEROUS OTHER
24	ENCROACHMENTS.

STAFF WOULD RECOMMEND APPROVAL TO REDUCE THE

1 REAR YARD BUILDING SETBACK FROM 3 FEET FROM T	ਧਾਧਾ	$ED \cup M$	ਸਾਹਾਬਾਬ	2	$ED \cup M$	CETEVCK	BIITLDING	AYDD	$D \square V D$	1

- 2 PROPERTY LINE TO 2 FEET 8 INCHES FROM THE PROPERTY
- 3 LINE AND TO REDUCE THE WEST SIDE YARD BUILDING SETBACK
- 4 LINE FROM 3 FEET FROM THE PROPERTY LINE TO 1 FEET 4
- 5 INCHES FROM THE PROPERTY LINE WITH ONE CONDITION.
- 6 THAT THEY OBTAIN THE NECESSARY BUILDING, ELECTRICAL
- 7 AND MECHANICAL PERMITS, INSPECTIONS AND CERTIFICATE OF
- 8 OCCUPANCY AND COMPLIANCE.
- 9 WE WOULD LIKE TO ENTER THE STAFF REPORT INTO
- 10 THE RECORD AS EXHIBIT B.
- 11 CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU.
- 12 AGAIN HAVE WE HAD ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS AT
- 13 THE OFFICE?
- MR. HOWARD: NO, SIR.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: ANYONE HERE WISHING TO SPEAK ON
- 16 THIS PARTICULAR ITEM OR HAVE ANY COMMENTS?
- 17 (NO RESPONSE)
- 18 CHAIRMAN: BOARD MEMBERS HAVE ANY QUESTIONS OR
- 19 COMMENTS ON THIS ITEM?
- 20 (NO RESPONSE)
- 21 CHAIRMAN: HEARING NONE I'LL ENTERTAIN A
- 22 MOTION TO DISPOSE OF THE ITEM.
- 23 MR. PEDLEY: MR. CHAIRMAN, I MAKE A MOTION TO
- 24 APPROVE THE VARIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE STAFF
- 25 RECOMMENDATION TO REDUCE THE REAR YARD BUILDING

1	SETBACK FROM 3 FEET FROM PROPERTY LINE TO 2 FEET 8
2	INCHES FROM THE PROPERTY LINE AND TO REDUCE THE WEST
3	SIDE YARD BUILDING SETBACK LINE FROM 3 FEET FROM THE
4	PROPERTY LINE TO 1 FEET 4 INCHES FROM THE PROPERTY
5	LINE, AND THE CONDITION TO OBTAIN NECESSARY BUILDING,
6	ELECTRICAL PERMITS, INSPECTIONS AND CERTIFICATES OF
7	OCCUPANCY AND COMPLIANCE.
8	THIS VARIANCE WILL NOT ADVERSELY AFFECT THE
9	PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY OR WELFARE BECAUSE THE APPLICANT
10	WILL BE REPLACING A DETACHED GARAGE THAT CAUSED NO
11	NEGATIVE IMPACT PREVIOUSLY; IT WILL NOT ALTER THE
12	ESSENTIAL CHARACTER OF THE GENERAL VICINITY BECAUSE
13	THE AREA WAS DEVELOPED BEFORE THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE
14	ZONING ORDINANCES AND NUMEROUS DETACHED ACCESSORY
15	STRUCTURES APPEAR TO ENCROACH INTO THE REQUIRED
16	BUILDING SETBACK LINES; IT WILL NOT CAUSE A HAZARD OR
17	A NUISANCE TO THE PUBLIC BECAUSE THERE WAS A DETACHED
18	BUILDING IN THIS LOCATION PREVIOUSLY THAT CAUSED NO
19	PROBLEMS; IT WILL NOT ALLOW AN UNREASONABLE
20	CIRCUMVENTION OF THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE ZONING
21	REGULATIONS BECAUSE THERE APPEAR TO BE MANY OTHER
22	ENCROACHMENTS IN THE AREA.
23	MR. WARREN: SECOND.
24	CHAIRMAN: A MOTION HAS BEEN MADE AND A
25	SECOND. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FROM THE

1	BOARD?
2	(NO RESPONSE)
3	CHAIRMAN: STAFF HAVE ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
4	MR. HOWARD: NO, SIR.
5	CHAIRMAN: HEARING NONE ALL IN FAVOR RAISE
6	YOUR RIGHT HAND.
7	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
8	CHAIRMAN: MOTION CARRIES.
9	NEXT ITEM, PLEASE.
10	ITEM 4
11	1296 OMEGA STREET, ZONED R-4DT CONSIDER A REQUEST FOR A VARIANCE IN ORDER TO REDUCE
12	THE FRONT YARD BUILDING SETBACK LINE FROM 25 FEET FROM THE PROPERTY LINE TO 15 FEET FROM THE PROPERTY LINE.
13	REFERENCE: ZONING ORDINANCE, ARTICLE 8,
14	SECTION 8.5.11(C) APPLICANT: CITY OF OWENSBORO
15	MS. EVANS: AS PART OF THE REDEVELOPMENT OF
16	THE COTTAGES OF MECHANICSVILLE, OMEGA STREET IS BEING
17	EXTENDED FROM WESTWOOD AVENUE TO MCFARLAND AVENUE.
18	IN ORDER FOR THE NEW OMEGA STREET TO LINE UP
19	WITH THE EXISTING WASHINGTON AVENUE ACROSS MCFARLAND
20	AVENUE, IT IS NECESSARY FOR THE STREET TO BE
21	CONFIGURED IN THE PROPOSED WAY.
22	ALTHOUGH THE STAFF WOULD NOT TYPICALLY
23	RECOMMEND A VARIANCE FOR A NEW SUBDIVISION, THE
24	LOCATION OF OMEGA STREET IN ALIGNMENT WITH WASHINGTON

25 AVENUE REDUCES THE DEPTH OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY. NO

1	ADDITIONAL PROPERTY IS AVAILABLE TO INCREASE THE LOT
2	DEPTH AND LEAVING THE LOT VACANT WOULD NOT BE IN
3	CHARACTER WITH THE EXISTING OR PROPOSED NEIGHBORHOOD.
4	THE SUBJECT PROPERTY IS A CORNER LOT AT THE
5	INTERSECTION OF OMEGA STREET AND MCFARLAND AVENUE.
6	MCFARLAND AVENUE IN THIS AREA IS A MINOR ARTERIAL
7	STREET WITH THE BUILDING SETBACK LINE OF 75 FEET FROM
8	THE CENTER LINE OF THE ROAD. THERE IS ALSO AN
9	EXISTING UTILITY EASEMENT ALONE THE REAL PROPERTY
10	LINE. THE CONFIGURATION OF THE NEW OMEGA STREET, THE
11	BUILDING SETBACK ALONG MCFARLAND AVENUE AND THE
12	UTILITY EASEMENT ON THE REAR OF THE PROPERTY ALL
13	REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF BUILDABLE AREA ON THE SUBJECT
14	PROPERTY.
15	GRANTING THIS VARIANCE WILL NOT ALTER THE
16	ESSENTIAL CHARACTER OF THE GENERAL VICINITY BECAUSE
17	THERE ARE MANY OTHER ENCROACHMENTS INTO THE FRONT YARD
18	BUILDING SETBACK LINE WITHIN THE MECHANICSVILLE
19	NEIGHBORHOOD WHICH HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED BY COMMUNITY
20	DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR, KEITH FREE, AND ARE INCLUDED IN
21	THE APPLICATION.
22	IT WILL NOT CAUSE A HAZARD OR A NUISANCE TO
23	THE PUBLIC BECAUSE THE STRUCTURE WILL BE SET BACK OVER
24	25 FEET FROM THE STREET CURB.

STAFF WOULD RECOMMEND APPROVAL WITH THE

1	CONDITIONS:	1)	SUBMISSION	ΔMD	ADDROWAT.	OF	Δ	FINDT.
	COMPTITONS.	/	DODUTOSTON	AIND	AFFRUVAL	C)T'	$\overline{}$	L TINATI

- 2 PLAT REFLECTING THE VARIANCE; AND 2) OBTAINING THE
- 3 NECESSARY BUILDING, ELECTRICAL AND MECHANICAL PERMITS,
- 4 INSPECTIONS AND CERTIFICATES OF OCCUPANCY AND
- 5 COMPLIANCE.
- 6 WE WOULD LIKE TO ENTER THE STAFF REPORT INTO
- 7 THE RECORD AS EXHIBIT C.
- 8 CHAIRMAN: HAVE WE HAD ANY COMMENTS OR
- 9 QUESTIONS IN THE OFFICE?
- MR. HOWARD: NO, SIR.
- 11 CHAIRMAN: IS ANYONE HERE WISHING TO SPEAK ON
- 12 THIS POSITION FOR OR AGAINST?
- 13 (NO RESPONSE)
- 14 CHAIRMAN: BOARD MEMBERS HAVE ANY QUESTIONS OR
- 15 COMMENTS?
- 16 (NO RESPONSE)
- 17 CHAIRMAN: STAFF HAVE ANYTHING ELSE TO ADD?
- MR. HOWARD: NO, SIR.
- 19 CHAIRMAN: ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO DISPOSE OF
- THE ITEM.
- 21 MR. WARREN: MR. CHAIRMAN, MAKE A MOTION TO
- 22 APPROVE THE VARIANCE WITH THE FINDING THAT IT WILL NOT
- 23 ALTER THE ESSENTIAL CHARACTER OF THE GENERAL VICINITY
- 24 OR ALLOW AN UNREASONABLE CIRCUMVENTION OF THE ZONING
- 25 REQUIREMENTS DUE TO THE NUMEROUS ENCROACHMENTS WITHIN

- 1 THE NEIGHBORHOOD. IT WILL ALSO NOT ADVERSELY AFFECT
- THE PUBLIC, HEALTH, SAFETY AND WELFARE AS IT'S
- 3 PROVIDING AFFORDABLE HOUSING FOR THE COMMUNITY AND
- 4 WILL ENHANCE THE HEALTH, SAFETY OF THE PUBLIC IN THE
- 5 AREA. IT IS A CORNER LOT AND IT WILL NOT CAUSE A
- 6 HAZARD OR NUISANCE TO THE PUBLIC BECAUSE THE SETBACK
- 7 IS STILL ADEQUATE FOR A SAFE OPERATION.
- 8 WITH THE CONDITIONS: 1) SUBMISSION AND
- 9 APPROVAL OF AN AMENDED PRELIMINARY PLAT AND A FINAL
- 10 PLAT; 2) OBTAIN NECESSARY BUILDING, ELECTRICAL AND
- 11 MECHANICAL PERMITS, INSPECTIONS AND CERTIFICATES OF
- 12 OCCUPANCY AND COMPLIANCE.
- MR. HOWARD: IF I COULD INTERJECT REAL QUICK.
- MR. WARREN: YES, SIR.
- MR. HOWARD: THE FIRST CONDITION WAS ALTERED
- 16 BASICALLY TO READ THE SUBMISSION AND APPROVAL OF A
- 17 FINAL PLAT REFLECTING A VARIANCE. THAT WAS DISCUSSION
- 18 WE HAD THIS AFTERNOON. SO THAT WAS KIND OF A LATE
- 19 CHANGE. IF MAYBE YOU WOULD CONSIDER THAT.
- 20 MR. WARREN: THE FIRST CONDITION WOULD BE A
- 21 SUBMISSION AND APPROVAL OF A FINAL PLAT.
- 22 CHAIRMAN: IS THERE A SECOND?
- MS. MASON: SECOND.
- 24 CHAIRMAN: A MOTION HAS BEEN MADE AND A
- 25 SECOND. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FROM THE

1	BOARD?
2	(NO RESPONSE)
3	CHAIRMAN: STAFF HAVE ANYTHING ELSE TO ADD?
4	MR. HOWARD: NO, SIR.
5	CHAIRMAN: ALL IN FAVOR RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND.
6	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
7	CHAIRMAN: MOTION CARRIES.
8	NEXT ITEM, PLEASE.
9	ITEM 5
10	401 WEST 2ND STREET, ZONED B-2 RIVERFRONT CORE OVERLAY DISTRICT
11	CONSIDER A REQUEST FOR A VARIANCE IN ORDER TO REDUCE THE REQUIRED FLOOR TO FLOOR HEIGHT OF UPPER FLOORS
12	FROM 10 FEET TO 9 FEET 8 INCHES. REFERENCE: ZONING ORDINANCE, ARTICLE 21,
13	SECTION 21.83(V)(IV) APPLICANT: BRYANT DOWNTOWN HOTEL, LLC
14	THE POINT POINT HOLDE, ELG
15	MS. EVANS: THE SUBJECT PROPERTY IS WITHIN THE
16	RIVERFRONT CORE OVERLAY DISTRICT. ARTICLE 21 UNDER
17	THE ZONING ORDINANCE ESTABLISHES FLOOR TO FLOOR
18	HEIGHTS OF UPPER FLOORS FOR BUILDINGS IN THE DOWNTOWN
19	OVERLAY DISTRICT.
20	THE PURPOSE OF THIS REQUIREMENT IS TO INSURE
21	INFILL DEVELOPMENT WILL BE IN CHARACTER WITH THE
22	EXISTING BUILDING WHERE THE FACADE AND CEILING HEIGHTS
23	ARE EXISTING. MAINTAINING THE PRESCRIBED HEIGHTS
24	WOULD ASSURE SIMILAR FACADE PROPORTIONS ADJACENT TO

EXISTING BUILDINGS.

1	IN THIS CASE, THE APPLICANT IS DEVELOPING THE
2	ENTIRE BLOCK WITH PLANS TO CONSTRUCT A 7-STORY HOTEL
3	AND PARKING LOT. THE FIRST FLOOR OF THE HOTEL WILL
4	EXCEED THE 15 FOOT MINIMUM FLOOR TO FLOOR HEIGHT
5	REQUIRED IN ARTICLE 21. THE SECOND FLOOR OF THE HOTEL
6	WILL EXCEED THE REQUIRED FLOOR TO FLOOR HEIGHT AS
7	WELL.
8	SINCE NO OTHER BUILDINGS IMMEDIATELY ADJOIN
9	THE PROPOSED HOTEL, THERE IS NOT AN EXISTING STANDARD
10	TO MAINTAIN IN THE BLOCK.
11	THE APPLICATION MUST RECEIVE RECOMMENDATION
12	FROM THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD TO ALTER THE
13	FLOOR TO FLOOR HEIGHT OF UPPER FLOORS BEFORE THE OMBA
14	CAN ACT. THE HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD MET ON MARCH
15	7, 2012, AND MADE A FAVORABLE RECOMMENDATION TO
16	APPROVE THE DIMENSIONAL VARIANCE FINDING THAT THE
17	BUILDING FITS WITHIN THE CHARACTER SOUGHT FOR THE
18	DOWNTOWN OVERLAY DISTRICTS.
19	GRANTING THIS VARIANCE WILL NOT ALTER THE
20	ESSENTIAL THE ESSENTIAL CHARACTER OF THE GENERAL
21	VICINITY BECAUSE THIS IS A REDEVELOPING AREA WHERE THE
22	ENTIRE BLOCK IS BEING DEVELOPED AS ONE PROJECT. IT
23	WILL NOT ALLOW AN UNREASONABLE CIRCUMVENTION OF THE
24	REQUIREMENTS OF THE ZONING REGULATIONS BECAUSE THE
25	OVERALL HEIGHT OF THE HOTEL WILL MEET THE INTENT OF

1	ARTICLE 21 OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE AND ONLY A MINOR
2	DIMENSIONAL VARIANCE IS SOUGHT.
3	STAFF WOULD RECOMMEND APPROVAL.
4	WE WOULD LIKE TO ENTER THE STAFF REPORT INTO
5	THE RECORD AS EXHIBIT D.
6	CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU.
7	HAVE WE HAD ANY COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS IN THE
8	OFFICE?
9	MR. HOWARD: NO, SIR.
10	CHAIRMAN: IS THERE ANYONE HERE THIS EVENING
11	WISHING TO SPEAK FOR OR AGAINST THIS ITEM?
12	(NO RESPONSE)
13	CHAIRMAN: HEARING NONE I'LL ENTERTAIN A
14	MOTION TO DISPOSE OF THE ITEM.
15	MR. PEDLEY: MR. CHAIRMAN, MAKE A MOTION FOR
16	APPROVAL BASED ON THE PRESERVATION BOARD'S APPROVAL
17	AND RECOMMENDATION THAT WE APPROVE THIS VARIANCE.
18	WITH THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION THAT WE APPROVE
19	THIS VARIANCE WITH THE FINDINGS GRANTING THIS VARIANCE
20	WILL NOT ADVERSELY AFFECT THE PUBLIC HEALTH, SAFETY OR
21	WELFARE BECAUSE THE CEILING HEIGHTS WILL MEET ALL
22	APPLICABLE BUILDING CODES; IT WILL NOT ALTER THE
23	ESSENTIAL CHARACTER OF THE GENERAL VICINITY BECAUSE

THIS IS A REDEVELOPMENT AREA WHERE THE ENTIRE BLOCK

FRONT IS BEING DEVELOPED AS ONE PROJECT AND NO

24

1	EXISTING DOWNTOWN BUILDINGS ARE IMMEDIATELY ADJACENT;
2	IT WILL NOT CAUSE A HAZARD OR A NUISANCE TO THE PUBLIC
3	BECAUSE THE CEILING HEIGHTS WILL MEET ALL APPLICABLE
4	BUILDING CODES; IT WILL NOT ALLOW AN UNREASONABLE
5	CIRCUMVENTION OF THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE ZONING
6	REGULATIONS BECAUSE THE DECREASED HEIGHT IS SMALL AND
7	THE GENERAL DESIGN OF THE HOTEL WILL STILL MEET THE
8	INTENT OF ARTICLE 21.
9	CHAIRMAN: IS THERE A SECOND?
10	MR. WARREN: SECOND.
11	CHAIRMAN: A MOTION HAS BEEN MADE AND A
12	SECOND. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FROM THE
13	BOARD?
14	(NO RESPONSE)
15	CHAIRMAN: STAFF HAVE ANYTHING ELSE?
16	MR. HOWARD: NO, SIR.
17	CHAIRMAN: HEARING NONE ALL IN FAVOR RAISE
18	YOUR RIGHT HAND.
19	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
20	CHAIRMAN: MOTION CARRIES.
21	NEXT ITEM, PLEASE.
22	
23	ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL
24	ITEM 6

407 STABELFORD CIR, ZONED R-1C

1	CONSIDER	Α	REQU	JEST	FOR	AN	ADMINISTRAT	CIVE	APPEAL	
	CONCERNIN	ΙG	THE	ZONI	ING	ADM	INISTRATORS	INT	ERPRETATION	OF

- 2 ARTICLE 3, SECTION 3-6(E) REGARDING THE PLACEMENT OF A BRICK WALL WITHIN A PUBLIC UTILITY EASEMENT.
- REFERENCE: ZONING ORDINANCE, ARTICLE 7, SECTION 7.35
 APPLICANT: BETTY BOWLES

- MR. HOWARD: MR. CHAIRMAN, WE HAVE RECEIVED A LETTER FROM MADISON SILVERT, THE PLANNING COMMISSION
- 6 ATTORNEY, ASKING THAT THIS ITEM BE POSTPONED UNTIL THE
- 7 JUNE MEETING. THE ATTORNEY FOR ACTUALLY BOTH THE
- 8 APPLICANT AND OMU HAVE AGREED THAT THIS ITEM SHOULD BE
- 9 POSTPONED UNTIL THAT TIME. WE WOULD RECOMMEND THAT
- 10 YOU TAKE A MOTION TO POSTPONE UNTIL THE JUNE MEETING.
- 11 CHAIRMAN: ENTERTAIN A MOTION.
- 12 MR. WARREN: MOTION TO POSTPONE THE ITEM ON
- 13 THE AGENDA.
- MR. PEDLEY: SECOND.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: A MOTION HAS BEEN MADE AND A
- 16 SECOND. ALL IN FAVOR RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND.
- 17 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 18 CHAIRMAN: MOTION CARRIES.
- 19 ENTERTAIN ONE FINAL MOTION.
- MR. WARREN: MOTION TO ADJOURN.
- MS. MASON: SECOND.
- 22 CHAIRMAN: A MOTION HAS BEEN MADE AND A
- 23 SECOND. ALL IN FAVOR RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND.
- 24 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 25 CHAIRMAN: MOTION CARRIES.

1	WE	ARE	ADJOURNED.		
2				 	
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					

1	STATE OF KENTUCKY)
2)SS: REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE COUNTY OF DAVIESS)
3	I, LYNNETTE KOLLER FUCHS, NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND
4	FOR THE STATE OF KENTUCKY AT LARGE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY
5	THAT THE FOREGOING OWENSBORO METROPOLITAN BOARD OF
6	ADJUSTMENT MEETING WAS HELD AT THE TIME AND PLACE AS
7	STATED IN THE CAPTION TO THE FOREGOING PROCEEDINGS;
8	THAT EACH PERSON COMMENTING ON ISSUES UNDER DISCUSSION
9	WERE DULY SWORN BEFORE TESTIFYING; THAT THE BOARD
10	MEMBERS PRESENT WERE AS STATED IN THE CAPTION; THAT
11	SAID PROCEEDINGS WERE TAKEN BY ME IN STENOTYPE AND
12	ELECTRONICALLY RECORDED AND WAS THEREAFTER, BY ME,
13	ACCURATELY AND CORRECTLY TRANSCRIBED INTO THE
14	FOREGOING 18 TYPEWRITTEN PAGES; AND THAT NO SIGNATURE
15	WAS REQUESTED TO THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT.
16	WITNESS MY HAND AND NOTARY SEAL ON THIS THE
17	25TH DAY OF MAY, 2012.
18	
19	LYNNETTE KOLLER FUCHS
20	NOTARY ID 433397 OHIO VALLEY REPORTING SERVICES
21	202 WEST THIRD STREET, SUITE 12 OWENSBORO, KENTUCKY 42303
22	OMENDEDONO, NEWTOCKI 12303
23	COMMISSION EXPIRES: DECEMBER 16, 2014
24	COUNTY OF RESIDENCE: DAVIESS COUNTY, KY