1	OWENSBORO METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION
2	MARCH 8, 2012
3	THE OWENSBORO METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION
4	MET IN REGULAR SESSION AT 5:30 P.M. ON THURSDAY, MARCH
5	8, 2012, AT CITY HALL, COMMISSION CHAMBERS, OWENSBORO,
6	KENTUCKY, AND THE PROCEEDINGS WERE AS FOLLOWS:
7	MEMBERS PRESENT: DREW KIRKLAND, CHAIRMAN
8	WARD PEDLEY, VICE CHAIRMAN DAVID APPLEBY, SECRETARY
9	GARY NOFFSINGER, DIRECTOR MADISON SILVERT, ATTORNEY
10	IRVIN ROGERS WALLY TAYLOR
11	JOHN KAZLAUSKAS JASON STRODE
12	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
13	CHAIRMAN: I WOULD LIKE TO WELCOME EVERYBODY
14	TO THE MARCH 8TH OWENSBORO METROPOLITAN PLANNING
15	COMMISSION. WILL YOU PLEASE RISE FOR OUR INVOCATION
16	AND PLEDGE WILL BE GIVEN BY MR. GARY NOFFSINGER.
17	(INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.)
18	CHAIRMAN: OUR FIRST ITEM OF BUSINESS IS TO
19	CONSIDER THE MINUTES OF THE FEBRUARY 9, 2011 MEETING.
20	ARE THERE ANY ADDITIONS, CHANGES, CORRECTIONS?
21	(NO RESPONSE)
22	CHAIRMAN: IF NOT THE CHAIR IS READY FOR A
23	MOTION.
24	MR. PEDLEY: MOTION FOR APPROVAL.
25	CHAIRMAN: MOTION FOR APPROVAL BY MR. PEDLEY.

1	MD	APPLERY:	SECOND

- 2 CHAIRMAN: SECOND BY MR. APPLEBY. ALL IN
- 3 FAVOR RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND.
- 4 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 5 CHAIRMAN: MOTION CARRIES UNANIMOUSLY.
- 6 NEXT ITEM, MR. NOFFSINGER.
- 7 ------
- 8 ZONING CHANGES
- 9 ITEM 2
- 10 10300 HIGHWAY 54, 0.54+/- ACRES

CONSIDER ZONING CHANGE: FROM R-1A SINGLE-FAMILY

- 11 RESIDENTIAL TO I-1 LIGHT INDUSTRIAL
  - APPLICANT: JOHNNY K. TAUL, JR.; ROBERT C. & DORIS S.
- 12 HOWARD
- MR. SILVERT: WOULD YOU STATE YOUR NAME,
- 14 PLEASE. >
- MR. HOWARD: BRIAN HOWARD.
- 16 (BRIAN HOWARD SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
- 17 MR. HOWARD: I WILL NOTE THAT THE REZONINGS
- 18 HEARD TONIGHT WILL BECOME FINAL 21 DAYS AFTER THE
- 19 MEETING UNLESS AN APPEAL IS FILED. IF AN APPEAL IS
- 20 FILED, WE WILL FORWARD THE MEETING RECORD ALONG WITH
- 21 STAFF REPORT AND OTHER INFORMATION TO THE APPROPRIATE
- 22 LEGISLATIVE BODY FOR THEIR FINAL ACTION. THE APPEAL
- 23 FORMS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE BACK TABLE, IN OUR OFFICE
- AND ON OUR WEBSITE.
- 25 OUR FIRST STAFF REPORT IS FOR DENIAL SO I WILL

- 1 READ IT INTO THE RECORD.
- 2 SPECIFIC LAND USE CRITERIA
- 3 (A) BUILDING AND LOT PATTERNS; OUTDOOR STORAGE
- 4 AREAS BUILDING AND LOT PATTERNS SHOULD CONFORM TO
- 5 THE CRITERIA FOR "NONRESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT" (D7),
- 6 AND OUTDOOR STORAGE YARDS WITH "BUFFERS FOR OUTDOOR
- 7 STORAGE YARDS" (D1)
- 8 (B) LOGICAL EXPANSION OUTSIDE OF INDUSTRIAL
- 9 PARKS EXISTING AREAS OF LIGHT INDUSTRIAL USE THAT
- 10 ARE LOCATED OUTSIDE OF PLANNED INDUSTRIAL PARKS MAY BE
- 11 EXPANDED ONTO CONTIGUOUS LAND THAT GENERALLY ABUTS THE
- 12 SAME STREET(S). SUCH AN EXPANSION SHOULD NOT
- 13 SIGNIFICANTLY INCREASE THE EXTENT OF INDUSTRIAL USES
- 14 THAT ARE LOCATED IN THE VICINITY AND OUTSIDE OF
- 15 INDUSTRIAL PARKS. ALSO, SUCH AN EXPANSION SHOULD NOT
- 16 OVERBURDEN THE CAPACITY OF ROADWAYS AND OTHER
- 17 NECESSARY URBAN SERVICES THAT ARE AVAILABLE IN THE
- 18 AFFECTED AREA.
- 19 (C) EXPANSIONS ACROSS STREETS IN RESIDENTIAL
- 20 AREA IN URBAN RESIDENTIAL PLAN AREAS, THE EXPANSION
- 21 OF LIGHT INDUSTRIAL USES THAT ARE LOCATED OUTSIDE OF
- 22 INDUSTRIAL PARKS AND ACROSS AN INTERVENING STREET
- 23 SHOULD BE AT LEAST ONE-AND-ONE-HALF (1.5) ACRES IN
- 24 SIZE, BUT SHOULD NOT OCCUR IF THIS WOULD SIGNIFICANTLY
- 25 INCREASE THE EXTENT OF INDUSTRIAL USES THAT ARE

1 LOCATED IN THE VICINITY AND OUTSIDE OF INDUSTRIAL

- 2 PARKS.
- 3 PLANNING STAFF REVIEW
- 4 GENERAL LAND USE CRITERIA
- 5 ENVIRONMENT
- 6 1. IT APPEARS THAT THE SUBJECT PROPERTY IS
- 7 NOT LOCATED IN A WETLANDS AREA PER THE US DEPARTMENT
- 8 OF AGRICULTURE SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE DATED MARCH
- 9 6, 1990.
- 10 2. THE SUBJECT PROPERTY IS NOT LOCATE IN A
- 11 SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD AREA PER FIRM MAP 21059C0340D.
- 12 3. IT APPEARS THAT THE SUBJECT PROPERTY IS
- 13 NOT WITHIN THE OWENSBORO WELLHEAD PROTECTION AREA PER
- THE GRADD MAP DATED MARCH 1999.
- 15 4. THE DEVELOPER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING
- 16 PERMITS FROM THE DIVISION OF WATER, THE ARMY CORP OF
- 17 ENGINEERS, FEMA OR OTHER STATE AND FEDERAL AGENCIES AS
- 18 MAY BE APPLICABLE.
- 19 URBAN SERVICES
- 20 ALL URBAN SERVICES, INCLUDING SANITARY SEWERS,
- 21 ARE AVAILABLE TO THE SITE.
- 22 DEVELOPMENT PATTERNS
- 23 THE SUBJECT PROPERTY IS LOCATED WITHIN THE
- 24 CITY OF WHITESVILLE ON THE CORNER OF THE HIGHWAY 54
- 25 AND JEFFERSON STREET INTERSECTION. ALL SURROUNDING

1 PROPERTIES ARE ZONED R-1A SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL.

- THE PROPERTIES TO THE EAST, WEST AND SOUTH HAVE
- 3 EXISTING RESIDENCES. THE PROPERTY TO THE NORTH
- 4 CONTAINS A SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENCE AND A FIRE STATION.
- 5 THE APPLICANT PROPOSES TO CONSTRUCT A
- 6 MINI-STORAGE BUILDING ON THE SUBJECT PROPERTY. BASED
- 7 ON ZONING ORDINANCE REQUIREMENTS, IF THE ZONING WERE
- 8 APPROVED, THE APPLICANT WOULD BE REQUIRED TO INSTALL A
- 9 SOLID SIX FOOT ELEMENT WITH ONE TREE EVERY 40 LINEAR
- 10 FEET ALONG THE EAST PROPERTY LINE WHERE ADJOINING R-1A
- 11 ZONING. THE OTHER THREE SIDES OF THE PROPERTY ARE
- 12 STREETS FRONTAGES. VEHICULAR USE AREA SCREENING WOULD
- 13 BE REOUIRED BETWEEN ANY PAVED AREA AND THE ROAD
- 14 RIGHT-OF-WAY.
- 15 THE CITY OF WHITESVILLE FIRE STATION IS
- 16 LOCATED TO THE NORTH AND A CITY PARK AND SENIOR
- 17 CITIZEN CENTER ARE LOCATED IN THE VICINITY. HOWEVER,
- 18 THERE ARE NO EXISTING INDUSTRIAL ZONES OR USES IN THE
- 19 IMMEDIATE VICINITY. THE FIRE STATION AND PARK CAN BE
- 20 PERMITTED IN ANY ZONE UNDER THE EXEMPTION THAT PUBLIC
- 21 USES ARE AFFORDED IN STATE STATUTES.
- 22 SPECIFIC LAND USE CRITERIA
- 23 THE APPLICANT'S PROPOSAL IS NOT IN COMPLIANCE
- 24 WITH THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN. THE SUBJECT PROPERTY IS
- 25 LOCATED IN AN URBAN RESIDENTIAL PLAN AREA WITHIN THE

1		$\sim E$	WHITESVILLE	ים כדים דדונים	T TOITM	TATDITOTIDITAT	TTCTC	7 D E
1	( ' I I Y	( ) H	WHIIF.SVII.I.F.	WHF.RF.	1 . I ( <del>-</del> H I	INDUSTRIAL	11.5 H.5	ARH.

- 2 APPROPRIATE IN VERY-LIMITED LOCATIONS. THE PROPOSED
- 3 USE OF THE PROPERTY AS A MINI-STORAGE BUILDING IS
- 4 CONSISTENT WITH USES PERMITTED IN AN I-1 LIGHT
- 5 INDUSTRIAL ZONE; HOWEVER, THE PROPOSAL IS NOT A
- 6 LOGICAL EXPANSION OF EXISTING I-1 LIGHT INDUSTRIAL
- 7 ZONING. THE PROPERTIES ON ALL FOUR SIDES IS ZONED
- 8 R-1A SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL AND THE NEAREST
- 9 INDUSTRIAL ZONING IS LOCATED MORE THAN ONE-HALF MILE
- 10 AWAY. THE EXISTING ZONING FOR THE SUBJECT PROPERTY IS
- 11 APPROPRIATE CONSIDERING ALL ADJOINING PROPERTIES ARE
- 20 ZONED R-1A SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL AND ALL HAVE
- 13 EXISTING RESIDENCES. THERE HAVE NOT BEEN MAJOR
- 14 CHANGES OF AN ECONOMIC, PHYSICAL OR SOCIAL NATURE IN
- 15 THE AREA THAT WERE NOT ANTICIPATED IN THE
- 16 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN THAT WAS LAST UPDATED IN 2007.
- 17 PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
- 18 THE PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDS DENIAL SUBJECT
- 19 TO THE FINDINGS OF FACT THAT FOLLOW:
- 20 FINDINGS OF FACT:
- 21 1. STAFF RECOMMENDS DENIAL BECAUSE THE
- 22 PROPOSAL IS NOT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE COMMUNITY'S
- 23 ADOPTED COMPREHENSIVE PLAN;
- 24 2. THE SUBJECT PROPERTY IS LOCATED WITHIN AN
- 25 URBAN RESIDENTIAL PLAN AREA WHERE LIGHT INDUSTRIAL

- 1 USES ARE APPROPRIATE IN VERY-LIMITED LOCATIONS;
- 2 3. THE PROPOSAL IS NOT A LOGICAL EXPANSION OF
- 3 I-1 LIGHT INDUSTRIAL ZONING SINCE THE NEAREST I-1 ZONE
- 4 IS MORE THAN ONE-HALF MILE AWAY;
- 5 4. THE EXISTING ZONING FOR THE SUBJECT
- 6 PROPERTY IS APPROPRIATE CONSIDERING ALL ADJOINING
- 7 PROPERTIES ARE ZONED R-1A SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL
- 8 AND ALL HAVE EXISTING RESIDENCES; AND,
- 9 5. THERE HAVE NOT BEEN MAJOR CHANGES OF AN
- 10 ECONOMIC, PHYSICAL OR SOCIAL NATURE IN THE AREA THAT
- 11 WERE NOT ANTICIPATED IN THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN THAT
- 12 WAS LAST UPDATED IN 2007.
- 13 MR. HOWARD: WE WOULD LIKE TO ENTER THE STAFF
- 14 REPORT INTO THE RECORD AS EXHIBIT A.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: IS THERE ANYBODY HERE REPRESENTING
- 16 THE APPLICANT?
- 17 MR. TAUL: YES, THERE IS. JOHNNY TAUL.
- 18 CHAIRMAN: WOULD YOU STEP TO THE MICROPHONE,
- 19 PLEASE.
- 20 MR. SILVERT: COULD YOU STATE YOUR NAME,
- 21 PLEASE?
- MR. TAUL: MY NAME IS JOHNNY TAUL.
- 23 (JOHNNY TAUL SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
- 24 MR. TAUL: THE PROPOSAL I HAVE MADE FOR THIS
- 25 LOT IS TO BUILD MINI-STORAGE BUILDINGS. I OWN

1 BUILDINGS SIMILAR TO THIS IN HARDINSBURG. I KNOW THAT

- THERE COULD BE OPPOSITION FROM ADJOINING NEIGHBORS.
- 3 AS A BUSINESS OWNER IN HARDINSBURG, I OWN
- 4 APARTMENT BUILDINGS AND MINI-STORAGE BUILDING. I CAN
- 5 TELL YOU FOR A FACT THAT MINI-STORAGE BUILDINGS ARE
- 6 NOT A DISRUPT TO NEIGHBORS.
- 7 THE ONES I HAVE IN HARDINSBURG ARE COMPLETELY
- 8 FULL. I NEVER SEE ANY TRAFFIC IN AND OUT OF THESE
- 9 BUILDINGS. PEOPLE USE THEM FOR THEIR PERSONAL USE.
- 10 THEY PUT ITEMS IN THERE. AS FAR AS IN AND OUT TRAFFIC
- 11 GOING TO THESE BUILDINGS IS VERY SLIGHTLY. THEY MAY
- 12 GO IN THERE A COUPLE OF TIMES A YEAR.
- 13 I THINK IT'S A NEED FOR THE CITY OF
- 14 WHITESVILLE. THERE'S ONLY ONE MINI-STORAGE BUILDING
- 15 COMPLEX IN WHITESVILLE AND IT'S IN AN AREA THAT IS
- 16 ACTUALLY DIFFICULT TO GET TO.
- 17 THE NEIGHBORHOOD THAT I LIVE IN HARDINSBURG,
- 18 ACTUALLY I LIVE CLOSE TO APARTMENT BUILDINGS. IF I
- 19 WAS AN ADJOINING NEIGHBOR TO THIS LOT, I WOULD MUCH
- 20 RATHER SEE A MINI-STORAGE BUILDING PLACE THERE INSTEAD
- 21 OF APARTMENT BUILDINGS YOU DO HAVE TRAFFIC IN AND OUT.
- 22 PEOPLE VISITING, DOGS, KIDS RUNNING AROUND.
- 23 THIS LOT ACROSS THE STREET, BRIAN NOTED, IS
- 24 THE FIRE DEPARTMENT FOR THE CITY OF WHITESVILLE. IN
- 25 THE SAME BLOCK YOU HAVE A FOUR-PLEX APARTMENT

1 BUILDING. YOU HAVE THE CITY PARK, AND THEN YOU HAVE A

- 2 BUSINESS ALL IN THE SAME BLOCK WHERE THIS LOT IS. ON
- 3 THE BACKSIDE OF THE LOT THERE IS A VACANT LOT THAT IS
- 4 AGRICULTURAL.
- 5 I THINK WHAT I WANT TO DO WITH THIS LOT,
- 6 THERE'S NO CHANGE TO WHAT'S ALREADY BEEN DONE ON THE
- 7 STREET. THE TYPE OF BUILDING THAT YOU SEE THERE IS
- 8 VERY SIMILAR TO THE FIRE DEPARTMENT. THE FIRE
- 9 DEPARTMENT OBVIOUSLY WHEN IT'S GOING OUT FOR ITS
- 10 ROUNDS HAS TRUCKS RUNNING IN AND OUT OF IT AND THEY
- 11 HAVE LIGHTS AND SIRENS GOING OFF.
- AS YOU CAN SEE MY PROPERTIES THERE, I TAKE
- VERY GOOD CARE OF MY REAL ESTATE. IN HARDINSBURG I
- 14 HAVE A VERY GOOD REPUTATION FOR TAKING CARE OF
- 15 PROPERTIES THAT I DO OWN.
- 16 SO I WOULD LIKE FOR YOU TO CONSIDER MY
- 17 REQUEST. IT'S A NEED FOR THE CITY OF WHITESVILLE.
- 18 LIKE I SAID, THE STORAGE BUILDING I HAVE NOW IS
- 19 COMPLETELY FULL. FOR ADJOINING NEIGHBORS IT GIVES
- 20 THEM AN OPPORTUNITY THAT IF THEY HAVE LOTS THAT ARE
- 21 SMALL AND THEY'RE NEEDING SOMEWHERE TO STORE THEIR
- 22 STUFF INSTEAD OF HAVING STUFF OUT ON THEIR PROPERTY
- 23 THAT BECOMES A NUISANCE TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD, THEY CAN
- 24 ACTUALLY STORE THEM INSIDE AN AREA WHERE IT'S OUT OF
- 25 THE WEATHER CONDITIONS AND OUT OF THE SIGHT FROM THE

- 1 CITY RESIDENTS.
- 2 CHAIRMAN: MR. TAUL, WHY DON'T YOU TAKE A SEAT
- 3 AND WE'LL SEE IF THERE ARE ANY QUESTIONS OF YOU AND
- 4 WE'LL BRING YOU BACK TO ANSWER THE QUESTIONS. THANK
- 5 YOU VERY MUCH.
- 6 MR. TAUL: OKAY.
- 7 CHAIRMAN: IS THERE ANYBODY THAT HAS ANY
- 8 QUESTIONS OF THE APPLICANT?
- 9 YES, MA'AM. GO TO THE MICROPHONE THERE.
- 10 MR. SILVERT: COULD YOU STATE YOUR NAME
- 11 PLEASE?
- MR. CRABTREE: RACHEL CRABTREE.
- 13 (RACHEL CRABTREE SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
- 14 MS. CRABTREE: WHAT MAKES YOU THINK THAT THE
- 15 PROPERTY VALUE OF MY HOUSE WON'T GO DOWN?
- 16 CHAIRMAN: IF YOU'VE GOT SEVERAL QUESTIONS,
- 17 LET ME GET YOU TO ASK YOUR QUESTIONS AND THEN I'LL
- 18 BRING MR. TAUL BACK TO THE PODIUM.
- 19 MS. CRABTREE: WHAT MAKES HIM THINK THAT JUST
- 20 PEOPLE STORING STUFF IN HIS BUILDINGS WILL MAKE THE
- 21 NEIGHBORHOOD LOOK NICER? HAS HE THOUGHT ABOUT THE
- 22 PEOPLE MIGHT NOT BE ABLE TO AFFORD PUTTING THEIR STUFF
- THAT'S SITTING OUT IN THE YARD INSIDE A STORAGE
- 24 BUILDING? WHAT ABOUT RODENTS? I DON'T REALLY WANT
- 25 RODENTS COMING INTO MY HOUSE. DON'T WANT ANYTHING

1 LIKE THAT THERE. I MEAN THAT BLOCKS MY VIEW. THAT'S

- 2 BLOCKS MY VIEW OF WHERE IT IS. IT'S NOT -- THAT ZONE
- 3 IS ZONED FOR RESIDENTIAL USE. IT WOULD JUST LOOK KIND
- 4 OF OUT OF PLACE IN THE CITY OF WHITESVILLE. THAT
- 5 LOOKS NICE AND EVERYTHING, BUT IT JUST WOULDN'T LOOK
- 6 RIGHT SMACK DAB IN THE MIDDLE OF A RESIDENTIAL
- 7 NEIGHBORHOOD LIKE THAT.
- 8 CHAIRMAN: DO YOU HAVE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?
- 9 MS. CRABTREE: NO, NOT RIGHT NOW.
- 10 CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU.
- 11 YES, SIR.
- MR. SILVERT: WOULD YOU STATE YOUR NAME,
- 13 PLEASE?
- MR. BURCH: STEVEN BURCH.
- 15 (STEVEN BURCH SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
- 16 MR. BURCH: I LIVE AT 10314, THE PROPERTY THAT
- 17 BOARDERS IT. I'VE GOT A PETITION THAT'S GOT 57
- 18 PEOPLE'S SIGNATURES ON IT OPPOSING IT. I OPPOSE THE
- 19 REZONING AND BUILDING OF THE STORAGE UNIT. THE
- 20 REASONS ARE THE STRUCTURE WILL BE 30 OR 40 FEET OF MY
- 21 HOUSE. IT ALSO WILL BRING ROACHES, MICE, BED BUGS,
- 22 RECLUSE SPIDERS INTO THE NEIGHBORHOOD THAT IS
- 23 INCONSIDERATE AND UNHEALTHY. THERE WILL BE PEOPLE IN
- 24 AND OUT ALL HOURS OF THE DAY AND NIGHT. PEOPLE THAT
- 25 YOU DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT AND WITH BABIES

1 NEXT-DOOR AND CHILDREN OF MY OWN, IT'S A SCARY

- 2 THOUGHT.
- 3 HOUSEHOLD CHEMICALS AND INDUSTRIAL CHEMICALS
- 4 THAT PEOPLE DON'T WANT AT THEIR RESIDENCE THAT CAN BE
- 5 STORED IN THE BUILDINGS, WHICH IS UNHEALTHY FOR KIDS
- 6 AND ALSO ADULTS. I COULD NAME 1,000 FROM ROUND UP TO
- 7 AMMONIA NITRATE, DIESEL FUEL THAT BLEW UP THE OKLAHOMA
- 8 CITY BUILDING. METH LABS AND DRUGS CAN BE A PROBLEM
- 9 TOO. ALSO, THEFT AND BREAK INS.
- 10 I'M A FAMILY MAN TRYING TO RAISE A HEALTHY
- 11 FAMILY IN A SAFE NEIGHBORHOOD. IF THERE WAS A WRONG
- 12 LOCATION, THIS IS ONE. THERE'S PLACES FOR THESE TYPES
- OF BUILDINGS, BUT THIS IS NOT ONE OF THEM.
- 14 CHAIRMAN: YOU WERE SAYING ABOUT RODENTS AND
- 15 THE MICE. IS THERE ANY PROOF OR ANY FINDINGS OF FACT
- 16 TO LEND TO THAT STATEMENT?
- 17 MR. BURCH: I DON'T KNOW WHAT PEOPLE IS GOING
- 18 TO BE BRINGING IN. YOU DON'T KNOW. I DON'T KNOW.
- 19 ANYBODY COULD -- A LOT OF RESIDENTS HAVE BEDBUGS AND
- 20 MICE. YOU KNOW, BEDBUGS, YOU HEAR IT IN THE NEWS
- 21 THEY'RE ON THE RISE. I WOULDN'T WANT IT 30, 40 FOOT
- OF MY HOUSE PEOPLE BRINGING -- YOU KNOW WHAT I'M
- 23 SAYING? WOULD YOU?
- 24 CHAIRMAN: I'M ASKING YOU. I'M ASKING YOU:
- 25 IS THERE SOMETHING OF THESE PLACES HISTORIC FOR HAVING

1 THESE ITEMS? YOU KNOW, ROUND UP AND SOME OF THESE

- THINGS YOU MENTIONED I'VE GOT IN MY GARAGE.
- 3 MR. BURCH: I MEAN IT'S COMING FROM PEOPLE'S
- 4 RESIDENTS. I'M SURE THAT THEY'RE THERE IN SOME
- 5 PEOPLES. I DON'T WANT TO TAKE A CHANCE OF PUTTING
- 6 THEM RIGHT NEXT-DOOR TO ME. IT'S UNNECESSARY. IT'S A
- 7 RISK I DON'T WANT TO TAKE WITH MY DAUGHTERS. I DON'T
- 8 WANT IT THERE. IT'S UNNECESSARY FOR THE THREAT.
- 9 CHAIRMAN: I THOUGHT MAYBE YOU HAD SOME
- 10 FACTUAL INFORMATION ABOUT RODENTS OR SOMETHING THAT
- 11 THESE PLACES ATTRACT OR SOME FINDING.
- MR. BURCH: WELL, THEY'RE BRINGING THIS STUFF
- 13 FROM OUTSIDE IN. IT'S NOT THERE NOW. THERE'S A
- 14 THREAT THAT THEY COULD BRING IT IN, SHIP IT IN.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: LET ME GET MR. TAUL UP HERE TO
- ANSWER THESE QUESTIONS THAT WE HAVE, IF WE COULD.
- 17 LET ME RECAP. THE QUESTION THAT THE LADY HAD
- 18 WAS ABOUT THE REDUCTION IN PROPERTY VALUE, THE PEST,
- 19 RODENTS AND THINGS THAT THIS BUILDING COULD ATTRACT.
- 20 THIS GENTLEMAN HERE BROUGHT UP ABOUT THE CHEMICALS AND
- 21 OUTSIDE THINGS THAT COULD BE STORED IN THESE
- 22 BUILDINGS.
- DID I PROPERLY SUMMARIZE THE QUESTIONS?
- MR. BURCH: YES.
- 25 MR. TAUL: AS FAR AS PROPERTY VALUE, JUST FROM

- 1 PAST EXPERIENCE, I WOULD THINK THAT EVERYBODY'S
- 2 PROPERTY VALUE WILL INCREASE IN VALUE. IF YOU TAKE A
- 3 RESIDENTIAL LOT AND THEN YOU START ZONING LIGHT
- 4 INDUSTRIAL, YOUR PROPERTY VALUES ARE GOING TO GO UP IN
- 5 VALUE. SHOULD.
- 6 I BELIEVE THERE'S EVEN AN ADJOINING NEIGHBOR
- 7 THAT HAS PURCHASED A LOT IN THAT AREA JUST FOR THE
- 8 FACT OF FUTURE ON SITE OF WHAT PROPERTY VALUES ON
- 9 HIGHWAY 54 IS GOING TO BE.
- 10 I WILL TELL YOU THAT IN MY LEASE CONTRACTS
- 11 THAT IT STATES THAT NO DANGEROUS CHEMICALS, TOXIC
- 12 CHEMICALS, ANY OF THAT STUFF CAN BE BROUGHT ON SITE.
- 13 CAN YOU MONITOR THAT 100 PERCENT? NO, YOU CANNOT.
- 14 I WILL TELL YOU THE BUILDING I BUILT IN
- 15 HARDINSBURG, IT'S RESIDENTIAL ALL AROUND IT. I OWN
- 16 SOME OF THE HOUSES AROUND THERE. I WILL SAY WHEN I
- 17 PUT THOSE UP THAT I GOT COMPLIMENTS FROM THE
- 18 NEIGHBORS. THE NEIGHBORS ACTUALLY RENT UNITS FROM ME.
- 19 NEVER SEEN ANY MICE, RODENTS, ANYTHING OF THAT NATURE.
- 20 THESE BUILDINGS ARE PRE-ENGINEERED BUILDINGS FROM
- 21 GENERAL STEEL. THEY ARE BUILT TO WITHSTAND 90 MILE AN
- HOUR WINDS. THEY'RE VERY, VERY NICE BUILDINGS.
- AS FAR AS TRYING TO KEEP SOMEBODY FROM
- 24 BRINGING SOMETHING IN THAT HAS RODENTS IN IT, MY
- 25 THOUGHT ON THAT IS IF IT'S ZONED RESIDENTIAL AND

1 SOMEONE BUILDS A HOUSE NEXT TO YOU AND THEY'RE NOT A

- 2 TIDY PERSON, THEY'RE NOT A TIDY FAMILY, THEY BUILD A
- 3 GARAGE, THAT'S NO DIFFERENCE THAN MINI-STORAGE
- 4 BUILDINGS.
- 5 YOU COULD HAVE A HIGH RISE APARTMENT BUILDING
- 6 THERE, TWO-STORY APARTMENT BUILDING. IF THE LOT IS
- 7 REZONED FOR, I DON'T KNOW IF IT'S R-2 IN OWENSBORO.
- 8 THAT'S WHAT IT WOULD BE IN HARDINSBURG. IF THE LOT IS
- 9 REZONED FOR A MULTI-PLEX APARTMENT BUILDING, THEN
- 10 YOU'VE GOT MULTIPLE FAMILIES THAT LIVE THERE ALL THE
- 11 TIME.
- 12 CHAIRMAN: DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING ON YOUR SIDE,
- 13 AS FAR AS FINDING OF FACT? YOU MADE A STATEMENT THAT
- 14 THE PROPERTY VALUES ARE GOING TO GO UP. WOULD NOT GO
- 15 DOWN. COULD POSSIBLY GO UP. DO YOU HAVE ANY FINDINGS
- OF FACT THAT WOULD SUBSTANTIATE THAT STATEMENT?
- 17 MR. TAUL: I DO NOT HAVE ANY FINDINGS OF THAT.
- 18 I'M GOING BY JUST PAST EXPERIENCE, MY PERSONAL
- 19 EXPERIENCE. THAT IF A LOT IS ZONED RESIDENTIAL AND IT
- 20 BECOMES EITHER COMMERCIAL, INDUSTRIAL OR BUSINESS, THE
- 21 VALUE OF THE PROPERTY, JUST FROM MY PAST EXPERIENCE,
- 22 IS WORTH MORE.
- 23 CHAIRMAN: YOU SEE WHERE I WAS GOING WITH THAT
- 24 QUESTION?
- MR. TAUL: YES, I DO. I UNDERSTAND.

1 CHAIRMAN: YOUR PROPERTY IN YOUR OTHER AREA,

- 2 MAYBE YOU BOUGHT A LOT AND YOU PAID X NUMBER OF
- 3 DOLLARS FOR IT, AND THEN AFTER YOU IMPROVED THE LOT
- 4 THAT YOUR VALUE OF THAT LOT INCREASED AND THE PROPERTY
- 5 OF THE NEIGHBORS ALSO INCREASED BY PVA OR SOME
- 6 SUBSTANTIATED STATEMENT.
- 7 MR. TAUL: RIGHT. EXACTLY.
- 8 ANOTHER REASON THAT I PUT ON THERE, YOU KNOW,
- 9 YOU'RE TAKING A LOT THAT YOU'RE DRAWING TAXES OFF OF
- 10 \$7,500, THAT YOU'LL BE DRAWING TAXES OFF OF FOR
- 11 \$100,000 WHEN THIS IS ALL DONE. SO IT'S A BENEFIT TO
- 12 THE CITY OF WHITESVILLE. THAT'S TAX REVENUE THAT CAN
- 13 BE SPENT FOR ROAD UPGRADES, TO YOUR CITY PARK, AND FOR
- 14 THE COUNTY OF DAVIESS COUNTY. THE CITY PARK IS ON THE
- 15 SAME BLOCK. I WOULD THINK THAT YOU HAVE PEOPLE, YOU
- 16 MIGHT HAVE RESIDENTS THAT WOULD LIKE TO RIDE THEIR
- 17 BICYCLES ON TO CITY PARK. THEY MIGHT HAVE LITTLE
- 18 SMALL CARS AND CAN'T GET EVEN GET THEIR BICYCLES TO
- 19 THE CITY PARK. THEY COULD RENT A STORAGE UNIT. DRIVE
- 20 THEIR CAR THERE AND GET THEIR BICYCLE OUT AND ENJOY
- 21 THE DAY AT THE CITY PARK.
- 22 CHAIRMAN: DOES ANYBODY ELSE HAVE ANY OTHER
- 23 QUESTIONS?
- YES, MA'AM.
- 25 MR. SILVERT: COULD YOU STATE YOUR NAME,

- 1 PLEASE?
- 2 MS. MATTINGLY: JANICE MATTINGLY.
- 3 (JANICE MATTINGLY SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
- 4 MS. MATTINGLY: I DON'T REALLY HAVE ANY
- 5 QUESTIONS. I'VE JUST GOT A FEW THINGS TO SAY.
- 6 I DO THINK IT WILL BRING THE VALUE OF YOUR
- 7 HOME DOWN. I WOULDN'T GO BUY A HOME RIGHT NEXT TO IT.
- 8 I MEAN THEY LOOK NICE, YOU KNOW, AND I THINK
- 9 WHITESVILLE MIGHT NEED THEM, BUT NOT THERE. NOT RIGHT
- 10 THERE ON 54 WHERE ALL THE HOMEOWNERS ARE THERE. IT'S
- JUST NOT A GOOD PLACE. I DON'T THINK YOU HAVE ANY
- 12 IDEA WHAT PEOPLE IS GOING TO PUT IN THERE.
- 13 LIKE HE SAID, WE HAVE GRANDKIDS. WE HAVE
- 14 KIDS. IN THE CITY PARK, THERE IS PARKING AREA FOR OUR
- 15 CARS AND VEHICLES AND ALL OF THAT. THAT'S FOR
- 16 WHITESVILLE'S ENJOYMENT. NOT TO DO WITH THAT.
- 17 I JUST DON'T THINK WHERE THEY'RE GOING TO PUT
- 18 IT AT IS A GOOD IDEA. IF YOU COULD PICK SOMEWHERE
- 19 ELSE MAYBE, BUT NOT RIGHT ON 54 AROUND HOMES.
- 20 CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU.
- 21 YES, SIR.
- 22 MR. SILVERT: STATE YOUR NAME AGAIN FOR THE
- 23 RECORD, PLEASE.
- MR. BURCH: STEVEN BURCH.
- 25 WHENEVER I WENT AND PRESENTED THESE PEOPLE

1 WITH THESE PETITIONS, THE MAJORITY OF THEM IS LIKE,

- OH, NO, THAT'S WHAT THEY'RE PUTTING THERE.
- 3 THEN YOU'LL PROBABLY HAVE A PROBLEM WITH
- 4 LOITERING WITH PEOPLE IN THERE PARKING AND WHO KNOWS
- 5 WHAT. DRINKING BEER OR WHATEVER. IF THEY HAVE STUFF
- 6 THAT THEY DON'T WANT, THEY'LL LEAVE IT OUTSIDE THERE
- 7 OR THROW IT OVER THE FENCE. I DON'T KNOW.
- 8 CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU.
- 9 DOES ANYBODY FROM THE COMMISSION HAVE ANY
- 10 OUESTIONS?
- 11 (NO RESPONSE)
- 12 CHAIRMAN: ANYBODY ELSE FROM THE AUDIENCE?
- 13 YES, SIR.
- 14 MR. TAUL: ONE MORE POINT I WOULD LIKE TO
- 15 MAKE. I'M FAMILIAR ENOUGH WITH THE CITY OF
- 16 WHITESVILLE TO KNOW THAT IT'S A SMALL ENOUGH COMMUNITY
- 17 THAT IN THE PAST AND IN THE FUTURE I DON'T SEE HOW YOU
- 18 CAN DIFFERENTIATE BETWEEN THE COMMERCIAL, INDUSTRIAL
- AND BUSINESS SIDE OF WHITESVILLE AND THE RESIDENTIAL
- 20 SIDE. HIGHWAY 54 IS THE MAIN HIGHWAY THROUGH THE CITY
- 21 OF WHITESVILLE. IF YOU CAN'T LET YOUR CITY GROW ON
- 22 HIGHWAY 54, WHERE DO YOU LET IT GROW AT? LIKE I SAY,
- THIS AREA ALREADY HAS A BUSINESS ON THE SAME BLOCK.
- 24 IT ALREADY HAS A FIRE DEPARTMENT. ALREADY HAS A
- 25 MULTI-PLEX APARTMENT BUILDING. I KNOW THE COMMENT WAS

1 MADE THAT IT LOOKS LIKE THERE IS A NEED FOR STORAGE

- 2 BUILDINGS THERE. SO IF YOU DON'T ALLOW IT ON THIS
- 3 LOT, WHERE DO YOU ALLOW IT TO BE? THE DOLLAR GENERAL
- 4 STORE WAS JUST MOVED. IT'S RIGHT IN THE MIDDLE --
- 5 THERE'S A SUBDIVISION RIGHT BESIDE IT. THERE'S HOUSES
- 6 ON BOTH SIDES OF IT. THERE'S TRAFFIC THAT GOES IN AND
- 7 OUT OF THERE FROM WHENEVER IT OPENS IN THE MORNING AT
- 8 7 IN THE MORNING UNTIL 10 AT NIGHT. I CAN TELL YOU
- 9 THERE IS NO TRAFFIC WITH STORAGE BUILDINGS.
- 10 AS FAR AS LOITERING, PEOPLE HANGING OUT THERE,
- 11 YOU KNOW, OF ALL THE PLACES FOR THEM TO HANG OUT IN
- 12 THE CITY OF WHITESVILLE, I DON'T KNOW WHY IN THE WORLD
- 13 THEY WOULD DECIDE TO HANG OUT AROUND THE MINI-STORAGE
- 14 BUILDING.
- 15 I HAVE NEVER -- I'VE GOT THREE BUILDINGS LIKE
- 16 THAT IN HARDINSBURG. I HAVE NEVER HAD TO PICK UP ONE
- 17 PIECE OF TRASH FROM ANYBODY THAT HAS RENTED ANY UNIT
- 18 FROM ME. THE DOORS ARE CLOSED ALL THE TIME. I DON'T
- 19 EVER SEE ANYBODY IN THE UNITS, BUT THEY'RE RENTED.
- 20 THERE'S A REASON THAT PEOPLE HAVE THEIR STUFF IN
- 21 THERE. THAT'S THEIR OWN REASON. THEY PROBABLY DON'T
- 22 WANT TO PUT THEIR CHRISTMAS LIGHTS UP IN THE ATTIC.
- 23 SO THEY PUT THEIR CHRISTMAS ITEMS IN THERE. THEY GO
- 24 IN THERE ONCE A YEAR TO GET THEM OUT, PUT THEM UP FOR
- 25 A MONTH, AND THEN GO PUT THEM BACK.

1 I WILL TELL YOU JUST BY PRICING. I KNOW THE

- 2 COMMENT WAS MADE AS FAR AS BEING ABLE TO AFFORD IT.
- 3 SURE ENOUGH, THERE PROBABLY IS PEOPLE THAT MIGHT WANT
- 4 TO RENT ONE AND COULDN'T AFFORD IT, BUT MY PRICES ARE
- 5 VERY REASONABLE. I RENT THOSE LARGE UNITS. I RENT
- 6 THEN FOR \$45 A MONTH. THAT'S A 10 BY 15. I DON'T
- 7 THINK THAT YOU'LL EVER FIND A PRICE ANY CHEAPER THAN
- 8 THAT. THAT'S ALL I HAVE. THANK YOU.
- 9 CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU.
- 10 ANY MORE QUESTIONS FROM THE COMMISSION OR
- 11 AUDIENCE?
- 12 (NO RESPONSE)
- 13 CHAIRMAN: IF NOT THE CHAIR IS READY FOR A
- 14 MOTION.
- 15 MR. PEDLEY: MR. CHAIRMAN, I MAKE A MOTION FOR
- 16 DENIAL BASED ON FINDINGS OF FACT WE'VE HEARD STRONG
- 17 OPPOSITION FROM THE NEIGHBORS. IT IS IN A PRIMARY
- 18 RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD. IT WILL ALTER THE ESSENTIAL
- 19 CHARACTER OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD. IT COULD CREATE A
- 20 NUISANCE FOR THE ZONING PROPERTY OWNERS.
- 21 STAFF FINDINGS: THE PROPOSAL IS NOT IN
- 22 COMPLIANCE WITH THE COMMUNITY'S ADOPTED COMPREHENSIVE
- 23 PLAN. THE SUBJECT PROPERTY IS LOCATED IN AN URBAN
- 24 RESIDENTIAL AREA WHERE LIGHT INDUSTRIAL USES ARE
- 25 APPROPRIATE FOR LIMITED LOCATIONS. IF THE PROPOSAL IS

1 NOT A LOGICAL EXPANSION TO I-1 LIGHT INDUSTRIAL ZONING

- 2 SINCE THE NEAREST I-1 ZONE IS MORE THAN ONE-HALF MILE
- 3 AWAY. THE EXISTING ZONING FOR THE SUBJECT PROPERTY IS
- 4 APPROPRIATE CONSIDERING ALL ADJOINING PROPERTIES ARE
- 5 ZONED R-1A SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL AND ALL HAVE
- 6 EXISTING RESIDENCES. THERE HAVE NOT BEEN MAJOR
- 7 CHANGES OF AN ECONOMIC, PHYSICAL OR SOCIAL NATURE IN
- 8 THE AREA THAT WERE NOT ANTICIPATED IN THE
- 9 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN THAT WAS LAST UPDATED IN 2007.
- 10 CHAIRMAN: WE HAVE A MOTION BY MR. PEDLEY FOR
- 11 DENIAL.
- MR. KAZLAUSKAS: SECOND.
- 13 CHAIRMAN: WE HAVE A SECOND BY MR. KAZLAUSKAS.
- 14 ALL IN FAVOR RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND.
- 15 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 16 CHAIRMAN: MOTION CARRIES UNANIMOUSLY.
- 17 NEXT ITEM, PLEASE.
- 18 ITEM 3
- 19 7026 HIGHWAY 815, 0.65 ACRES
  CONSIDER ZONING CHANGE: FROM R-1A SINGLE-FAMILY
- 20 RESIDENTIAL TO A-U URBAN AGRICULTURE
  - APPLICANT: CECIL D. MARTIN, JR.; NICOLE R. MARTIN;
- 21 PATRICK R. & SUSAN R. O'BRYAN
- MR. SILVERT: COULD YOU STATE YOUR NAME,
- 23 PLEASE?
- MS. EVANS: MELISSA EVANS.
- 25 (MELISSA EVANS SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)

- 1 PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
- 2 THE PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDS APPROVAL SUBJECT
- 3 TO THE CONDITION AND FINDINGS OF FACT THAT FOLLOW:
- 4 CONDITION:
- 5 SUBMISSION AND APPROVAL OF A MINOR SUBDIVISION
- 6 PLAT CONSOLIDATING 7022 AND 7026 HIGHWAY 815.
- 7 FINDINGS OF FACT:
- 8 1. STAFF RECOMMENDS APPROVAL BECAUSE THE
- 9 PROPOSAL IS IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE COMMUNITY'S ADOPTED
- 10 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.
- 11 2. THE SUBJECT PROPERTY IS LOCATED IN A RURAL
- 12 COMMUNITY PLAN AREA, WHERE RURAL FARM RESIDENTIAL USES
- 13 ARE APPROPRIATE IN GENERAL LOCATIONS.
- 3. THE SUBJECT PROPERTY IS AN EXISTING LOT
- 15 WITH FRONTAGE ON HIGHWAY 815; AND,
- 16 4. THE SUBJECT PROPERTY WILL BE CONSOLIDATED
- 17 TO CREATE AN 8.245 ACRE TRACT THAT HAS AN EXISTING
- 18 RESIDENCE AND SEPTIC SYSTEM.
- 19 MS. EVANS: WE WOULD LIKE TO ENTER THE STAFF
- 20 REPORT INTO THE RECORD AS EXHIBIT B.
- 21 CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU.
- 22 IS THERE ANYBODY HERE REPRESENTING THE
- APPLICANT?
- (NO RESPONSE)
- 25 CHAIRMAN: DOES ANYBODY IN THE AUDIENCE HAVE A

- 1 QUESTION?
- 2 (NO RESPONSE)
- 3 CHAIRMAN: DOES ANYBODY ON THE COMMISSION HAVE
- 4 A QUESTION?
- 5 (NO RESPONSE)
- 6 CHAIRMAN: IF NOT THE CHAIR IS READY FOR A
- 7 MOTION.
- 8 MR. APPLEBY: MOTION FOR APPROVAL.
- 9 CHAIRMAN: MOTION FOR APPROVAL BY MR. APPLEBY.
- MR. APPLEBY: BASED ON STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
- 11 WITH THE SINGLE CONDITION AND FINDINGS OF FACT 1
- 12 THROUGH 4.
- 13 CHAIRMAN: MR. APPLEBY HAS A MOTION FOR
- 14 APPROVAL.
- MR. ROGERS: SECOND.
- 16 CHAIRMAN: MR. ROGERS HAS A SECOND. ALL IN
- 17 FAVOR RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND.
- 18 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 19 CHAIRMAN: MOTION CARRIES UNANIMOUSLY.
- MR. NOFFSINGER, YOU HAVE ANYTHING FURTHER?
- MR. NOFFSINGER: NO, SIR.
- 22 CHAIRMAN: CHAIR IS READY FOR A MOTION FOR
- ADJOURNMENT.
- MR. APPLEBY: MOVE TO ADJOURN.
- 25 CHAIRMAN: MR. APPLEBY HAS A MOTION FOR

1	ADJOURNMENT.
2	MR. TAYLOR: SECOND.
3	CHAIRMAN: SECOND BY MR. TAYLOR. ALL IN FAVOR
4	RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND.
5	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
6	CHAIRMAN: MOTION CARRIES UNANIMOUSLY.
7	WE ARE ADJOURNED.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	STATE OF KENTUCKY )
2	)SS: REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE COUNTY OF DAVIESS )
3	I, LYNNETTE KOLLER FUCHS, NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND
4	FOR THE STATE OF KENTUCKY AT LARGE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY
5	THAT THE FOREGOING OWENSBORO METROPOLITAN PLANNING
6	COMMISSION MEETING WAS HELD AT THE TIME AND PLACE AS
7	STATED IN THE CAPTION TO THE FOREGOING PROCEEDINGS;
8	THAT EACH PERSON COMMENTING ON ISSUES UNDER DISCUSSION
9	WERE DULY SWORN BEFORE TESTIFYING; THAT THE BOARD
10	MEMBERS PRESENT WERE AS STATED IN THE CAPTION; THAT
11	SAID PROCEEDINGS WERE TAKEN BY ME IN STENOTYPE AND
12	ELECTRONICALLY RECORDED AND WAS THEREAFTER, BY ME,
13	ACCURATELY AND CORRECTLY TRANSCRIBED INTO THE
14	FOREGOING 24 TYPEWRITTEN PAGES; AND THAT NO SIGNATURE
15	WAS REQUESTED TO THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT.
16	WITNESS MY HAND AND NOTARY SEAL ON THIS THE
17	1ST DAY OF APRIL, 2012.
18	
19	LYNNETTE KOLLER FUCHS
20	NOTARY ID 433397 OHIO VALLEY REPORTING SERVICES
21	202 WEST THIRD STREET, SUITE 12 OWENSBORO, KENTUCKY 42303
22	OWENSBORO, RENIUCKI 42303
23	COMMISSION EXPIRES: DECEMBER 16, 2014
24	COUNTY OF RESIDENCE: DAVIESS COUNTY, KENTUCKY
25	