1	OWENSBORO METROPOLITAN BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT
2	NOVEMBER 3, 2011
3	The Owensboro Metropolitan Board of Adjustment
4	met in regular session at 5:30 p.m. on Thursday,
5	November 3, 2011, at City Hall, Commission Chambers,
6	Owensboro, Kentucky, and the proceedings were as
7	follows:
8	MEMBERS PRESENT: C.A. Pantle, Chairman
9	Ward Pedley, Vice Chairman Ruth Ann Mason, Secretary
10	Gary Noffsinger, Director Madison Silvert, Attorney
11	Rev. Larry Hostetter Sean Dysinger
12	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
13	CHAIRMAN: Let me call the Owensboro
14	Metropolitan Board of Adjustment to order. We start
15	our program each evening with a prayer and the pledge
16	of allegiance. We invite you all to join with us.
17	Madison will have our prayer this evening.
18	(INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.)
19	CHAIRMAN: Again, I want to welcome you all to
20	the meeting this evening. If you have any comments or
21	any of the items, please come to one of the podiums.
22	State your name so we'll have record of it. With that
23	we'll go ahead and proceed.
24	The first item on the agenda is the minutes of
25	the October 6th meeting. We have no additions to add
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	in the office.
2	MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
3	CHAIRMAN: I'll entertain a motion to dispose
4	of the item.
5	MR. PEDLEY: Motion for approval.
6	MS. MASON: Second.
7	CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made and a
8	second. All in favor raise your right hand.
9	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
10	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.
11	Next item, please, sir.
12	
13	CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT
14	ITEM 2
15	5712 Highway 56, zoned A-R
	Consider a request for a Conditional Use Permit in
16	order to operate a child care facility for up to 100
	children.
17	Reference: Zoning Ordinance, Article 8, Section 8.2B3
	Applicant: Pleasant Grove Baptist Church
18	
19	MR. SILVERT: Would you state your name,
20	please?
21	MS. EVANS: Melissa Evans.
22	(MELISSA EVANS SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
23	ZONING HISTORY
24	The subject property is currently zoned A-R
25	Rural Agriculture. OMPC records indicate there have
	Ohio Valley Reporting

- 1 been no Zoning Map Amendments for the subject
- 2 property.
- 3 A conditional use permit was approved by the
- 4 OMBA in 2005 to operate a daycare for up to 90
- 5 children.
- 6 This application is to increase the number of
- 7 children being cared for from 90 to 100.
- 8 LAND USES IN SURROUNDING AREA
- 9 All surrounding properties are zoned A-R Rural
- 10 Agriculture.
- 11 ZONING ORDINANCE REQUIREMENTS
- 1. Parking Child day-care-centers 2 plus
- 13 1 per every 1- persons under care. Total required =
- 14 12 spaces, as shown on the site plan submitted.
- 15 MS. EVANS: We would like to enter the Staff
- 16 Report into the record as Exhibit A.
- 17 CHAIRMAN: Have we had any comments or
- 18 questions in the office?
- MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
- 20 CHAIRMAN: Is the applicant here and wish to
- 21 make any comments?
- MR. SILVERT: Would you state your name,
- 23 please.
- MR. BAYLOUS: Edward A. Baylous, II. I'm the
- 25 attorney for the applicant.

1	I think the report kind of sums it up. What
2	had happened was the initial permit was for 90
3	children ages infant to six. We've just asked to
4	modify that. They're working at or near the capacity
5	They don't plan on really expanding, but they have to
б	be in compliance on everything for the Division of
7	Licensing and Regulation in Frankfort that regulates
8	day cares. So we just want to make sure that the
9	permit here conforms to any operations that are going
10	to undertake there.
11	CHAIRMAN: Are there any questions or comments
12	from the board or the Staff of the applicant?
13	(NO RESPONSE)
14	CHAIRMAN: Anyone wishing to speak in
15	opposition?
16	(NO RESPONSE)
17	CHAIRMAN: Hearing none entertain a motion to
18	dispose of the item.
19	MR. PEDLEY: Mr. Chairman, make a motion for
20	approval based on the findings it's an expansion of
21	the existing use and it will not have an adverse
22	influence on the neighborhood.
23	MR. DYSINGER: Second.
24	CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made and a
25	second. Any other questions or comments from the
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	board?
2	(NO RESPONSE)
3	CHAIRMAN: Staff have anything else?
4	MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
5	CHAIRMAN: Hearing none all in favor raise
6	your right hand.
7	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
8	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.
9	Next item, please.
10	ITEM 3
11	5050 Highway 144, zoned A-U
	Consider a request for a Conditional Use Permit in
12	order to construct an expansion to an existing
	mausoleum and a crematory building.
13	Reference: Zoning Ordinance, Article 8, Section 8.2J1
	Applicant: Owensboro Memorial Garden Corporation
14	
15	ZONING HISTORY
16	The subject property is currently zoned A-U
17	Urban Agriculture. OMPC records indicate there have
18	been no Zoning Map Amendments for the subject
19	property.
20	There have been three previous Conditional Use
21	Permits approved for the subject property; in 1981 and
22	1998 and most recently in July of 2011 to expand the
23	existing mausoleum.
24	The applicant is now asking to continue to
25	construct that expansion of the mausoleum, but also to
	Ohio Valley Reporting

- 1 construct a crematory with parking and paved driveway.
- 2 LAND USES IN SURROUNDING AREA
- 3 The properties to the north are zoned R-1A
- 4 Single Family Residential, A-U Urban Agriculture and
- 5 I-1 Light Industrial. The properties to the south are
- 6 zoned A-U Urban Agriculture. The properties to the
- 7 west are zoned 1-1 Light Industrial and A-U Urban
- 8 Agriculture. The properties to the east are zoned MPH
- 9 Manufactured Housing Park and A-U Urban Agriculture.
- 10 ZONING ORDINANCE REQUIREMENTS
- 11 1. Parking None required.
- 12 2. Landscaping None required
- 13 MS. EVANS: We would like to enter the Staff
- 14 Report into the record as Exhibit B.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: Do we have any comments or
- 16 questions?
- 17 MR. DYSINGER: Mr. Chairman, at this time, in
- 18 the interest of full disclosure, my wife, Jodi,
- 19 Dysinger, is employed by the applicant; however, it
- 20 would not effect my decision one way or the other. If
- 21 there are no objections, I'll sit on the matter.
- 22 CHAIRMAN: Any objections from anybody on the
- 23 Board?
- 24 (NO RESPONSE)
- 25 MR. DYSINGER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1	CHAIRMAN: Is the applicant here?
2	APPLICANT REP: Yes.
3	CHAIRMAN: Do you have anything you want to
4	present?
5	APPLICANT REP: No.
6	CHAIRMAN: Any questions from the board to the
7	applicant?
8	(NO RESPONSE)
9	CHAIRMAN: Any other comments from the Staff?
10	MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
11	CHAIRMAN: Hearing none I'll entertain a
12	motion to dispose of the item.
13	MS. MASON: Mr. Chairman, I move for approval
14	My findings of fact is that it's consistent with three
15	previously approved conditional use permit as it is an
16	expansion and it is compatible with the land use in
17	the area and there is no opposition.
18	MR. PEDLEY: Second.
19	CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made and a
20	second. Any other questions or comments from the
21	board?
22	(NO RESPONSE)
23	CHAIRMAN: Staff have anything else?
24	MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
25	CHAIRMAN: The applicant have anything else
	Ohio Valley Reporting
	(270) 683-7383

1	you want to present?
2	APPLICANT REP: No, sir.
3	CHAIRMAN: Hearing none all in favor of the
4	motion raise your right hand.
5	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
6	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.
7	Next item, please.
8	
9	VARIANCES
10	ITEM 4
11	202 East Byers Avenue, zoned P-1
	Consider a request for a Variance in order to
12	eliminate the required 3 foot wide landscaping buffer
	and 3 foot high continuous element along the south
13	property line.
	Reference: Zoning Ordinance, Article 17, Section
14	17.3121(a), 17.3122, 17.3124
	Reference: Red Rentals, LLC; Alan Braden
15	
16	MS. EVANS: The subject property was rezoned
17	from R-1B Single Family Residential to P-1
18	Professional Service at the September 2011 meeting of
19	the Owensboro Metropolitan Planning Commission.
20	At the OMPC meeting the Staff recommended
21	denial of the Zoning Map Amendment Application for a
22	variety of reasons. One of the reasons was because of
23	the site plan submitted as an exhibit with the
24	application, it was apparent that the minimum zoning
25	ordinance requirements for parking and drive aisles
	Ohio Valley Reporting

4			-	
1	could	not	n_	mot

- 2 The OMPC chose to approve the rezoning knowing
- 3 the restrictions of the lot.
- 4 Typically, the landscaping and buffer between
- 5 vehicular use areas and residential properties have
- 6 been required consistently in the community, but
- 7 because of the approval to change the zoning to P-1,
- 8 Staff would recommend that the landscaping buffer and
- 9 continuous 3-foot high element be waived in order to
- 10 maintain the maximum possible width of the driving
- 11 aisle. The buffer serves as protection to the
- 12 adjoining property to visually buffer the vehicular
- use area from traffic and glare. However, there is an
- 14 existing fence on the residential side of the property
- 15 that serves as a buffer between that vehicular use
- area for this property and the residential property.
- 17 Therefore, if there are objections from the adjoining
- 18 residential property owner, the buffer and continuous
- 19 3-foot high element should not be eliminated.
- 20 FINDINGS OF FACT
- 21 1. It will not adversely affect the public
- health, safety or welfare;
- 23 2. It will not alter the essential character
- of the general vicinity;
- 25 3. It will not cause a hazard or a nuisance Ohio Valley Reporting

1	tο	the	public;	and
		CIIC	Publici	arru

- 2 4. And it will not allow an unreasonable
- 3 circumvention of the requirements of the zoning
- 4 regulations.
- 5 Staff would recommend approval.
- 6 We would like to enter the Staff Report into
- 7 the record as Exhibit C.
- 8 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 9 Has there been any comments or questions in
- 10 the office?
- 11 MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
- 12 CHAIRMAN: Is anyone wishing to speak in
- 13 opposition of this item?
- (NO RESPONSE)
- 15 CHAIRMAN: The applicant here and do you have
- anything you'd like to add at time please, sir?
- MR. SILVERT: Would you state your name,
- 18 please?
- 19 MR. BRADEN: Alan Braden.
- 20 (ALAN BRADEN SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
- MR. BRADEN: Mr. Chairman, I think I need not
- 22 to say much. I do appreciate the recommendation. I
- have talked to my neighbor on the south side, Bob
- 24 Dillow, who is in Florida, but I spoke with him over
- 25 the weekend. He is in favor of this variance as well.

II you have any questions of me, I'll be grad
to answer them.
CHAIRMAN: Any board members have any
questions of the applicant?
(NO RESPONSE)
CHAIRMAN: Staff have any other comments?
MR. NOFFSINGER: No, sir.
CHAIRMAN: Entertain a motion to dispose of
the item?
MR. DYSINGER: Mr. Chairman, given the
specific characteristics of this property and given
the finding that granting the variance will actually
enhance safety, I move that we find for the applicant
and grant the variance.
CHAIRMAN: Is there a second?
MS. MASON: Second.
CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made and a
second. Any other comments or questions from the
board?
(NO RESPONSE)
CHAIRMAN: Staff have anything else to add?
MR. NOFFSINGER: No sir.
CHAIRMAN: Hearing none all in favor raise
your right hand.
(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
Ohio Valley Reporting

00012

_				
1		CHAIRMAN:	Motion	carries.
_	_ \	THATKIMAN •	MUCTUI	COTT TED :

- 2 Next item, please, sir.
- 3 ITEM 5
- 4 2306 Windhaven Drive, zoned I-1 (Postponed from the October 6, 2011 meeting)
- 5 Consider a request for a Variance in order to waive the required six foot high solid wall or fence around
- 6 an outdoor storage lot.

 Reference: Zoning Ordinance, Article 8,
- 7 Section 8.5.3(j), Article 17, Section 17.3114
 - Applicant: Mid-South Equipment, LLC, Chad Watts, Jim
- 8 Watts
- 9 MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, at the last
- 10 meeting this board instructed the Staff and the
- 11 applicant to sit down and meet to see if some type of
- compromise and agreement can be reached. I can tell
- you that the applicant was unwilling to compromise.
- 14 Could not offer any compromise. Said that they wanted
- to have this item voted on by this board.
- The applicant is here and represented by
- 17 counsel. I think it's appropriate to hear what he has
- 18 to say.
- 19 MR. PEDLEY: Mr. Chairman, I have disqualified
- 20 myself from this item previously.
- 21 CHAIRMAN: Be noted.
- The applicant, you can have your presentation
- 23 at this time, please. Come forward.
- MR. SILVERT: Could you state your name,
- 25 please.

Ohio Valley Reporting

1	MR. HOLTREY: Travis Holtrey, attorney.
2	MR. SILVERT: You are already sworn.
3	MR. HOLTREY: It's correct that when we were
4	last here on October 6th we agreed to carry this item
5	a month to allow my client first to meet and to
6	discuss themselves on whether or not there was a
7	viable solution to the problem. Then after we were to
8	meet we were supposed to meet with the Staff.
9	I can tell you that between October 6th and
10	October 14th, my client and various people who are
11	employed by my client, met on three different
12	occasions to discuss the viability of a solution or a
13	compromise.
14	We considered things that I didn't expect us
15	to even consider. I would like to present those to
16	the board.
17	One was that during the process of the client
18	discussing, Mid-South discussing this, on two
19	different occasions our manager, Steve, witnessed a
20	client or saw a client, a potential customer, who
21	actually was considering the difference between a
22	rental of two different pieces of equipment. That
23	customer went to the lot. Was given permission to
24	start the equipment up. Operate it. To move the
25	blade up and down and actually wanted to move a little
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1 bit	: of	the	gravel	lot.	Scooped a	a little.	Dumped	it
-------	------	-----	--------	------	-----------	-----------	--------	----

- 2 Moved it around. Drove it around the lot a little.
- 3 Parked it. Did the other one. And then made a
- 4 decision based upon that, what I would call test
- 5 drive. Even though I don't test equipment personally.
- 6 It was a testing of the equipment.
- 7 This just brought to our mind that this is yet
- 8 another reason why with the special situation we have
- 9 with bladed equipment, with tracked equipment, that if
- 10 a customer comes to our lot and wants to drive the
- 11 equipment around or to test the blades or to move
- those types of things, that we would not be able to
- 13 accommodate that if we have an asphalt lot.
- I share that as one of the things that we've
- 15 considered.
- 16 We also considered whether or not it would be
- 17 advantageous for us to pave with asphalt certain areas
- 18 of the lot and leave certain areas as gravel and then
- 19 whatever areas would not be exposed to make the
- 20 fencing covered in those areas.
- 21 Again, after looking at the viability of that
- 22 and whether or not that was a practical solution, we
- 23 concluded it wasn't.
- 24 So I do want this board to know that we did
- 25 not just walk into the meeting with Planning

1	cavallerly with an attitude of, well, we're not here
2	to compromise. We had thought through this. We
3	considered it.
4	It is accurate I do appreciate Gary and the
5	Staff meeting with us. We met with them on October
6	17th at 11:00. It was a short meeting because I
7	communicated what my client had communicated to me.
8	That is if looking at the viable solution,
9	looking at the business sense of this, we could not
10	make it seem economically feasible and viable for us
11	to reach something halfway. So we communicated that.
12	You know, we didn't want to waste their time. The
13	Staff's time is important. We communicated it to Gary
14	and the others. It was a short meeting. It was.
15	In short, what I would like to do tonight, I
16	would like to ask for just a few more minutes of the
17	board's time.
18	We are fortunate that our application package,
19	when somebody wants to apply for a zoning variance we
20	understand the importance of the Staff making
21	recommendations to this board. I think they do a good
22	job, a thorough job. This is only my second time
23	here, but I hear they do a good job.
24	However, what this board has to make their
25	decision on is the criteria that businesses have, in

Ohio Valley Reporting

1	the application package that we get, which is
2	generated by an ordinance which you guys are familiar
3	with. I just heard it recited in the one before our
4	matter of business. It is an affective criteria and
5	it's one that my client agrees with and I think
6	probably many people have stood here before and said,
7	okay, let's look at the criteria.
8	Last time I felt like we got away from looking
9	at the criteria. Me having the opportunity with the
10	board to take a couple of minutes to say, the rule,
11	the rule that we have to follow is this four step
12	process that's given to anybody that applies.
13	That is before a variance can be awarded by
14	this board they have to find that granting it and then
15	there's a four part test. I just want us to think
16	common sense about this and go through this test
17	because that's where this board has to make the
18	decision.
19	The recommendations of the Staff being what
20	they are, this is the test:
21	Will this adversely affect the public health,
22	safety or welfare? There has not been, as I would say
23	if I'm in front of a judge or a jury, one shred of
24	evidence, there has not been anything to this board,
25	presented to this board that this variance would

Ohio Valley Reporting
(270) 683-7383

1	adversely affect the public health. That this
2	variance would affect the safety of the public. Or
3	that this variance would affect the welfare of the
4	public.
5	To the contrary we've operated as is for more
6	than a year without any, any indication of any such
7	adverse affect.
8	To the contrary we have presented the
9	affidavits of all surrounding property owners who are
10	in support of our variance. If there was a threat in
11	the least bit to the public health, safety or welfare
12	I can assure you that the surrounding property owners
13	who would be in the best situation to look at what we
14	are requesting this variance would be here sharing
15	with this board why this is going to adversely affect
16	the health, safety or welfare.
17	That would lead us to the second part of the
18	criteria. That this board has to make this decision
19	on.
20	Is it going to alter the essential character
21	of the general vicinity? In the general vicinity
22	there are two other equipment operators. We did

Is it going to alter the essential character
of the general vicinity? In the general vicinity
there are two other equipment operators. We did
discuss this the last time. Equipment sales operators
and rentals. We discussed this the last time. We
acknowledge that they're grandfathered in. However,

Ohio Valley Reporting

1	thev're	within	this	vicinity.	Ιf	vou	look	at	the

- 2 general character of their location, it's no
- different. If anything, ours is cleaner and better
- 4 kept.
- 5 Across the street, Kight Lumber, is not an
- 6 equipment sales and lease. It's Kight Lumber. I
- 7 think we all know their general purpose in business in
- 8 this community.
- 9 What we are asking for, again, there has been
- 10 no evidence presented to this board that it's going to
- 11 alter the essential character of this I-1 industrial
- 12 area. There's been no evidence of that.
- 13 That leads this board to the third part of the
- 14 criteria.
- Will this variance cause a hazard or a
- nuisance to the public? Again, not one fraction, not
- 17 one bit of evidence to this board that what Mid-South
- 18 Equipment is asking for is going to cause a hazard or
- 19 a nuisance to the public.
- 20 Photographs have been furnished to the board.
- 21 We have given the best description we can of our
- general business purpose to this board.
- 23 This is now the second time that this board
- 24 has heard this matter and yet no one has come through
- 25 these doors to show this board how this could cause a

1	hazard or a nuisance to this community.
2	Finally, the fourth part of the criteria that
3	this board must consider is, is will the variance
4	allow an unreasonable circumvention of the
5	requirements of the ordinance. An unreasonable
6	circumvention.
7	Last time when we were here I discussed with
8	you all the options and how pavement would get torn
9	away and things of that nature and the weight of the
10	equipment and that because of all of those factors
11	this is a unique situation. That therefore it is not
12	an unreasonable circumvention from the variance.
13	That's why we have this process and procedure that we
14	can follow. In this situation, no one has presented
15	to the board why what is being asked for is
16	unreasonable, an unreasonable circumvention of what
17	the ordinance requires.
18	Now, I may not understand a whole lot about
19	how this board makes decisions, but I have sat on
20	boards and I have been in the justice system for 16
21	years and I can tell you that if there is a criteria
22	set before the decision maker and that criteria is
23	clearly met and there's no opposition in the form of
24	evidence or good substantial facts to consider, then
25	either we are going to say as a board that we don't
	Ohio Valley Reporting

Т	Tollow that criteria or we say when that criteria is
2	met that is the time for a variance.
3	Obviously you can see I'm pretty passionate
4	about this. Because in my mind when we have satisfied
5	every requirement of this criteria and we've looked at
6	it from the standpoint of when the board considers
7	this they have to look at whether or not it's a
8	special circumstance. They have to look at whether or
9	not it's going to deprive the applicant of a
10	reasonable use of this. This is a business and
11	they're trying to conduct business in the city. When
12	you look at even how you're supposed to weigh those,
13	there's no reason not to grant this.
14	I sincerely appreciate your time. I know it's
15	a decision. There's others that may be looking at
16	this and thinking, you know, what's going to happen
17	there?
18	I trust this four point criteria. I trust
19	that the next person that comes in here and asks for a
20	variance, if their circumstances aren't such that this
21	criteria would allow it, that this board would do the
22	right thing and weigh it and not allow it. But in
23	this situation we have followed every step of the
24	application. Everything has been provided and all
25	four points of this criteria have been met.

Ohio Valley Reporting
(270) 683-7383

1	So the applicant would respectfully ask this
2	board to grant the zoning variance.
3	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
4	Does any board member have any questions of
5	the applicant at this time?
6	MR. DYSINGER: Mr. Chairman, I do.
7	The applicant brings up the issue of
8	surrounding businesses that do not have to comply with
9	the ordinance, and we all recognize that those are
10	grandfathered in.
11	Now, one of the problems with implementing a
12	comprehensive zoning plan or plan such that we have,
13	is that sooner or later people have to start
14	complying, but you don't want to make it a hardship on
15	people who are already operating.
16	It seems to me that the applicant's counsel
17	may be kind of glossing over this idea of
18	grandfathered in.
19	If we don't hold people up to the same, if we
20	don't hold new businesses up to the standard of the
21	zoning ordinance simply because of the way people have
22	already been doing it, then you do not have a zoning
23	ordinance. There's no point at which to impose it. I
24	would ask counsel to respond to that.
25	CHAIRMAN: You wish to answer, please, sir?
	Ohio Valley Reporting
	(270) 683-7383

1	MR. HOLTREY: In all due respect, I'm not sure
2	I understand the question. I'll say what I think you
3	asked and then if I'm incorrect you can go from there.
4	I specifically mentioned that there are two
5	other equipment dealers in the general vicinity. Just
6	so we're clear, that would be Erb at the end of the
7	bypass and that would be CMC, which is basically a
8	neighbor.
9	My reference to them was is that they are in
10	the general vicinity. When you look at the criteria,
11	whether what we're asking is going to be dangerous or
12	it's going to be a hazard or it's going to be a
13	nuisance, then those places have not created such a
14	hazard or nuisance by continuing as they do because of
15	being grandfathered in. So therefore I was only using
16	that as a measuring stick as to whether or not we by
17	variance would be adversely affecting the public
18	safety or whether or not it was going to affect the
19	general character of the community. When within this
20	general area we have two that are already that way,
21	how are we affecting the general character? That was
22	the purpose of the reference.
23	I think we talked last time about maybe the
24	slippery slope of thinking, well, at what point are
25	people going to continue to point to the two that are
	Ohio Valley Reporting

- 1 getting away or the three that are getting away with
- 2 this is a justification for why is there a purpose of
- 3 an ordinance. If that is what you are asking. I
- 4 don't know. I don't have any other things to add.
- 5 MR. DYSINGER: That's all for now.
- 6 CHAIRMAN: Any other comments or questions at
- 7 the present time?
- 8 (NO RESPONSE)
- 9 CHAIRMAN: Gary.
- 10 MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, at this time
- 11 Becky Stone would have a presentation she would like
- to make. She'll be using the screen so you want to
- 13 pull the screens up and follow along.
- 14 MS. STONE: The Staff has reviewed the minutes
- of the public hearing at last month's meeting. As
- 16 requested by the OMBA, the director and zoning
- 17 administrator met with the applicants. As you already
- 18 know, the applicants had indicated at that meeting
- 19 that there were no options for them to achieve
- 20 compliance with the zoning ordinance in terms of
- 21 screening the outdoor storage area and/or paving a
- display area so that the equipment could be visible to
- the public.
- MR. SILVERT: Becky, before you move on, I
- 25 need to swear you in.

1	(BECKY STONE SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
2	MS. STONE: Several concerns were expressed in
3	the public hearing last month regarding the operation
4	of the business. We have tried to consider those and
5	we've attempted to resolve those with solutions that
6	would consider both the restrictions of the business
7	in terms of the equipment weight and display and still
8	meet the requirements of the Owensboro Metropolitan
9	Zoning Ordinance.
10	Additionally, there are concerns created and
11	potential hazards that must be addressed if paving
12	and/or screening requirements are waived. We'll
13	attempt to address the owners' concerns as well as the
14	responsibility of the Staff and the Board in an
15	organized fashion; hopefully resulting in a solution
16	that can be implemented and maintain the integrity of
17	the ordinance requirements while allowing the business
18	to maintain their contractual agreements with their
19	distributors.
20	1. The weight of the equipment causes damage
21	to an asphalt or concrete surface. That certainly is
22	a valid concern. We would recommend a pavement
23	section that is typical for streets in the community
24	in order to withstand the weight of the equipment for
25	whatever display area on which heavier equipment will
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	be stored. That would mean installation of 6 inches
2	of concrete over the existing gravel base or 6 inches
3	of dense grade aggregate and a 3 inch asphalt binder
4	coat as required for street construction in the Public
5	Improvements Specifications.
6	The concrete cost could be installed for an
7	estimated cost of \$2.56 per square foot, which would
8	include material and labor. The asphalt could be
9	installed for an estimated \$2.25 per square foot,
10	which includes material and labor. Both estimates
11	were obtained from active concrete and asphalt
12	installers.
13	If the heavier equipment were stored on the
14	graveled screened area, the display area could
15	possibly reduce the specifications of the pavement for
16	the lighter equipment display.
17	On our exhibit there you can see the area. I
18	mean that's a random area toward the front of the site
19	that could be paved or any portion of that, depending
20	on what area they would need for display of their
21	equipment to meet their contract.
22	On observations of the site it didn't appear
23	to us on $10/21/11$, $11/1/11$ and $10/31/11$ that there was
24	a large amount of heavy equipment stored forward on
25	the lot toward Windhaven. So that would be the area
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	we would recommend some pavement for display.
2	There's Photo A shows that and photo B that
3	you'll see on your screen which were taken 10/21/11.
4	That's the front of the fence where the access is.
5	You can see on that photo as well there is a
6	small portion of pavement in that gravel area that is
7	used to store some equipment on there. I think that
8	those may be a type of equipment that's used on an
9	indoor industrial floor so maybe that's why they're
10	being stored on pavement.
11	Photos C was taken on 11/1/2011. Again, you
12	can see the front of that storage lot, you know,
13	appears not to have a lot of heavy equipment on it.
14	Then photo D is another example that we took
15	on 10/31/2011.
16	The next concern was:
17	2. The applicants had stated in the public
18	hearing last month that the majority of the equipment
19	had tracks that would tear up concrete or the asphalt.
20	That equipment certainly should be stored in a
21	the graveled area.
22	On the days that we observing the site on
23	October 17, October 26, October 31, November 1st, 2nd
24	and 3rd, there was one piece of equipment that we
25	identified, a bulldozer, that had steel tracks. The
	Ohio Valley Reporting

2	with the exception there was a trench compactor which
3	had steel wheels, but was on a trailer with rubber
4	tires.
5	With the pavement sections as described above
6	the display area should accommodate the weight of the
7	equipment offered for lease. However, the bulldozer
8	could tear up the pavement certainly and should be
9	stored within the outdoor storage area on gravel.
10	3. The applicants entered in evidence that
11	they have entered into an agreement with their
12	distributor that the equipment be visible to the
13	public.
14	Again, we think this can be accomplished with
15	an area dedicated to equipment display which is either
16	paved, asphalt or concrete, and landscaped with a 3
17	foot high continuous element and one tree per 40
18	linear feet, which is the ordinance requirement for
19	paved area from public right-of-way.
20	The size of the area to be paved would be
21	dependent on what is required to be displayed and
22	visible to the public. The thickness of the pavement
23	if constructed to public improvement specifications
24	should accommodate the weight of the equipment or the
25	lighter equipment could be displayed on the pavement
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1 remainder of the equipment has rubber tires or tracks,

1	with the heavier equipment and the bulldozer stored in
2	the outdoor storage area. The additional landscaping
3	that would be required there would be approximately
4	125 linear feet.
5	4. Screening of the outdoor storage area.
6	With the displayed area, the remainder of the outdoor
7	storage area should be screened in accordance with the
8	ordinance requirements.
9	They have some unique situations in their
10	business, but other businesses do too. The zoning
11	ordinance needs to be consistent across properties in
12	the community.
13	After multiple visits to the site, there are
14	circumstances that would support a variance to waive a
15	portion of the required screening on the southeast
16	property line.
17	This was not identified by the applicant, but
18	after we were out there a number of times it's obvious
19	that there is a significant grade change from the
20	adjoining property along Parrish and also from Parrish
21	to the property. There's also a heavy tree line
22	between the two properties.
23	The topography and the trees effectively
24	screen the outdoor storage yard at this location from
25	Parrish Avenue and it's not visible to the public at

Ohio Valley Reporting

1	this location.			
2	We'll show photo E which was taken 11/1/2011			
3	shows that you cannot see that for screening in this			
4	area, outdoor storage in this area. There's the tree			
5	line and as you can tell the elevation changes as			
6	well.			
7	For this reason, the Staff would recommend the			
8	variance to waive outdoor screening be granted in this			
9	location only as the ordinance requirements are met			
10	via the trees and the elevation change. The area			
11	recommended for waiver is shown on this exhibit. It			
12	also shows the recommendation that we have in this			
13	record.			
14	This will decrease the required screening of			
15	approximately 400 feet. You can see on your exhibit			
16	it's the area marked with the red X's along that			
17	property boundary.			
18	So it's a significant portion of the back of			
19	the property that would be screened by natural			
20	elements rather than having to put up the screening,			
21	fabric or slats.			
22	By creating a display area and reducing the			
23	area of the outdoor storage toward the Windhaven			
24				
	frontage, additional linear feet of 6 foot high			
25	frontage, additional linear feet of 6 foot high screening could also be eliminated. That would be			

1	where you see the red line on the exhibit, that we're				
2	identifying as the outdoor storage. That's just an				
3	example of where that could be. Then up the sides				
4	perpendicular to that up toward Windhaven would not be				
5	required to be screened on those sides.				
6	The other boundaries of the outdoor storage				
7	area should be screened as they're visible from the				
8	public right-of-way of Windhaven and Hill Valley				
9	Circle and also from adjoining properties.				
10	Photo F shows visibility from adjoining				
11	property.				
12	Number 5 wasn't brought up in the public				
13	hearing last month, but there is a hazard or nuisance				
14	created with gravel being dragged onto the				
15	right-of-way.				
16	On the dates the site was visited, there was				
17	gravel that had been dragged onto the public				
18	right-of-way of Windhaven created by the vehicles				
19	leaving and entering the site which is currently all				
20	graveled.				
21	Photo G, it's kind of hard to see on that.				
22	That's the entrance area, but there was gravel out				
23	into the pavement of Windhaven.				
24	Despite what area is determined to be a				
25	display area and paved or the Board's recommendation				
	Ohio Valley Reporting				

2	minimum of 50 feet paved into the lot to avoid the
3	gravel being dragged out onto the public right-of-way.
4	6. Continued Display of Equipment on grass
5	areas. We have several photos.
6	Photos H and I, which was taken on $10/21/2011$
7	show the equipment forward toward Parrish on that.
8	Photo I shows equipment on the grassed area.
9	Photo J on $10/26/2011$ shows the display on the
10	grassed area.
11	Photo K, 10/31/2011, shows the continued
12	display of the equipment on the grassed area.
13	So clearly equipment is being displayed within
14	the grassed area along Parrish Avenue. It's difficult
15	to tell from the photographs, but there is quite a
16	large right-of-way along Parrish Avenue at this
17	location. So there's a possibility that that could
18	encroach onto the public right-of-way as well.
19	The director had stated at the previous public
20	hearing, the display area should be paved and the
21	display on the grassed area does constitute a
22	violation of the zoning ordinance.
23	Then additionally we have a list of equipment
24	that was at the site when we visited to show what
25	types of equipment are being displayed and offered for
	Ohio Valley Reporting

 $1\,$ $\,$ or action on this, we feel that there should be a

25

1	lease. As I said previously, we saw only one piece of
2	equipment with metal tracks on it.
3	We would recommend that the variance to waive
4	the screening requirement for a portion of the outdoor
5	storage yard boundary should be approved along the
6	south east boundary as shown on the exhibit plan.
7	Findings in support of this approval include:
8	1. The granting of the variance will not
9	adversely affect the public health, safety or welfare
10	because the natural topography and the tree line
11	screen the outdoor storage area from public view along
12	Parrish Avenue.
13	2. The granting of this variance will not
14	alter the essential character of the general vicinity
15	because the storage is as effectively screened by the
16	natural elements in this location as other screened
17	storage in the vicinity or community.
18	3. The granting of the variance will not
19	cause a hazard or a nuisance to the public because in
20	this location the outdoor storage cannot be seen from
21	the public right-of-way.
22	4. The granting of this variance will not be
23	an unreasonable circumvention of the zoning ordinance
24	at this particular location because the change in

Ohio Valley Reporting
(270) 683-7383

elevation provided by the natural topography and the

1	heavy tree line effectively provide screening				
2	comparable to or exceeding the zoning ordinance				
3	requirements and serve to meet the intent of the				
4	zoning ordinance requirements.				
5	We would recommend denial on the remaining				
6	outdoor storage area screening and we would add that				
7	we think if the outdoor storage lot extends to the				
8	fence an access point on Windhaven, a paved minimum				
9	area of 50 by 50 should be provided to prevent rock				
10	from entering the public right-of-way.				
11	Findings to support denial of the remaining				
12	outdoor storage area screening would include:				
13	1. Granting the variance would affect the				
14	public health, safety and welfare because visible				
15	outdoor storage is required to be screened for the				
16	aesthetics of the community. The applicant has the				
17	choice to pave an area that can be used for display of				
18	equipment with a three foot high element and one tree				
19	per 40 linear feet of boundary. A pavement section				
20	comparable to the Public Improvement Specifications				
21	for streets would accept the weight of heavier				
22	equipment if the applicant chooses to display heavy				
23	equipment outside of the screened storage area. In				
24	observations of the lot, it appears a portion of this				
25	area is currently paved to store a particular				
	Ohio Valley Reporting				

2	would not damage pavement are also stored in the
3	graveled area near the front of the lot.
4	2. Granting the variance will alter the
5	essential character of the general vicinity because
6	other businesses in the immediate vicinity and the
7	same zone, notably Kight Lumber, have screened their
8	outdoor storage along public road frontage.
9	Additionally, equipment can be seen from
10	neighboring owners. Despite the attitude of the
11	current owners at this time regarding the storage,
12	ownership may change and the visibility of equipment
13	storage may at some point become objectionable.
14	3. Granting this variance will cause a hazard
15	or nuisance to the public because outdoor storage is
16	unsightly. The community has adopted a screening
17	requirement for outdoor storage because of this. The
18	storage would be visible from the Windhaven
19	right-of-way and Hill Valley Circle right-of-way and
20	adjoining properties.
21	4. Granting this variance will allow an
22	unreasonable circumvention of the requirements of the
23	zoning ordinance because similar outdoor storage lots
24	on like zoned properties in the community with similar
25	uses have been required to provide the 6 foot high
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1 equipment item and smaller items of a weight that

4	7 ' 7			_
1	avija	TA72	αr	fence.

- 2 If you have any questions, we'll be happy to
- 3 try to answer them.
- 4 CHAIRMAN: Board member have any questions or
- 5 comments at this time?
- 6 (NO RESPONSE)
- 7 CHAIRMAN: Applicant, you've looked over this.
- 8 Do you have any comments you'd like to add at this
- 9 time?
- 10 MR. HOLTREY: With all due respect I mean this
- is quite a bit of information to digest and respond to
- in a matter of minutes. There are a lot of points
- here that I would like the opportunity to consider and
- 14 address with the board, but I would not be prepared to
- do so this evening.
- MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, with all due
- 17 respect, on October 17th the Staff in good faith
- agreed to meet with the applicant to talk over and
- 19 compromise a way to work this out.
- The applicant completely rejected our offer
- 21 and said to us, "It's all or nothing. We're prepared
- to move forward to the board with a vote up or down,
- 23 whatever it might be. Then we're prepared to go to
- 24 circuit court and all the way to the supreme court if
- 25 we have to."

1	It's the first time in my career that I've			
2	dealt with a situation like that.			
3	The Staff has offered to the applicant what we			
4	feel is reasonable and justified in this case. The			
5	site plan is very straightforward. It accommodates			
6	the applicant's request for leniency and it also			
7	respects the ordinance that this community has			
8	adopted.			
9	What the applicant has told the Staff, it's			
10	our way or no way. For them to say, well, we can't			
11	respond to that now, you know, I think they can. I			
12	think Staff is certainly ready to respond. We were			
13	coming in here last month with they need to do it all.			
14	They're saying, we don't want to do anything.			
15	We're trying to offer a compromise. I			
16	understand it may not be what the applicant wants, but			
17	we have other businesses in this community that have			
18	met the full letter of the ordinance.			
19	Sterett Crane was mentioned last month.			
20	Sterett Crane is a new business out on Boothfield Road			
21	in terms of some rental. They do rent equipment.			
22	They totally screened their equipment rental from the			
23	public view.			
24	I remember back years ago ABC Rental at J.R.			
25	Miller Boulevard and Parrish Avenue, which was			
	Ohio Valley Reporting			

- 1 mentioned last month. They came in. They didn't want
- 2 to have to screen their display area. It was a big
- 3 issue for them. It was a big issue for the community,
- 4 and ultimately they screened their entire display area
- 5 with the exception of a small area out at the corner
- 6 of J.R. Miller and Parrish Avenue.
- 7 Here they're asking for it all. I can
- 8 certainly understand their position, but I would ask
- 9 that the applicant be willing to compromise with the
- ordinance this community has adopted and what others
- in this community have done to help to enhance the
- 12 aesthetics of our community and move it forward in a
- 13 positive light.
- I think Staff has been very accommodating. I
- think you'll see from this proposal we agree that some
- of the screening should be eliminated, but not all of
- 17 it because it is visible from the public right-of-way.
- 18 Any display areas out front that aren't screened
- 19 should be paved.
- 20 The applicant has not offered an inventory
- list. We have done that on our own. It's what we
- 22 witnessed out there. We could only find one piece of
- 23 equipment that had steel tracks out of all the days we
- 24 visited there, and that was a bulldozer. One piece of
- 25 equipment. That's the reason they can't pave.

1	This other equipment is not really that heavy.
2	I mean you're talking about some air compressor.
3	You're talking about some lifts. They're not that
4	heavy. We maneuver these on regular parking lots in
5	this community all the time.
6	I submit to you that what the Staff has
7	proposed is very reasonable. And what the applicant
8	is asking you to do is to totally circumvent the
9	zoning ordinance because they feel that it's a
10	hardship for them to have to pay for the cost of
11	screening, pay for the cost of asphalting the property
12	or putting it in concrete, and because they're
13	distributor has said, you can't screen.
14	Now, we have no signed contract from their
15	distributor. We have no dated contract from their
16	distributor. We have their word, and I will take
17	their word. But I submit to you that just because
18	they entered into a separate business agreement
19	outside of this board and outside of what the zoning
20	regulations called for is not an issue that this board
21	should consider in terms of the variance request.
22	MR. HOLTREY: May I address those comments?
23	CHAIRMAN: Yes, sir, you may.
24	MR. HOLTREY: Real quick. If you'll look at
25	what we've been handed tonight, if you'll look at
	Ohio Valley Reporting
	(270) 683-7383

- 1 this. Just start on Page 1. The photos were taken on
- 2 10/21. We met on 10/17.
- 3 If you look at the chart that was put together
- 4 about the numbers that were taken of the equipment on
- 5 the lot, those were taken on 10/31, 11/1, 11/2.
- 6 So even if we wanted to discuss, even if they
- 7 were in a position to be prepared to discuss, they
- 8 didn't have any of this to share with us as an option.
- 9 At that meeting we went in and we said, we
- 10 have done our best to meet and discuss among ourselves
- 11 our options.
- 12 This is a package of information I would dare
- to say the majority of which has been put together
- 14 since the meeting with the Staff. So if this is what
- 15 the Staff is recommending the board to rule on, then
- we should have an opportunity to digest this and to
- 17 come back with comments on this all of which has been
- 18 prepared since we met with the Staff.
- 19 He's correct. We went into the Staff meeting
- and we said, based on our meetings, which we were
- 21 instructed to do. We were instructed to go meet with
- our own selves. We did that on three occasions before
- we met. We said based -- of course, we're going to
- look at this through our day-to-day operation, and we
- 25 did. We came back and we said, based on our

1	understanding of what we're doing out there on a
2	day-to-day basis we don't see a viable solution.
3	If this is what the Staff is recommending to
4	be a viable solution, it would only seem fair that
5	this board say, especially since it was developed
6	after $10/17$, that we would have the opportunity to
7	take this package of information along with perhaps a
8	copy of that, which we don't have in this packet of
9	information, and we sit down with the owners and we
10	say, okay, this is what the Staff is saying they
11	believe to be reasonable.
12	Now, I have to go back and report to the
13	board. If this is reasonable, then we say so. If
14	this is not reasonable and there's reasons why they
15	are specific, then I need to be able to tell the board
16	to do so. Until then, this board is not prepared to
17	vote on this. That would be the position of the
18	applicant.
19	CHAIRMAN: Did you, sir, did you by chance be
20	interested to call back and ask was there any other
21	changes to be made or could be made from the one time
22	you were here?
23	MR. HOLTREY: Excuse me?
24	CHAIRMAN: Did you call the office and ask
25	Staff, by chance was there anything that could be
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1 changed	or	work	together?
-----------	----	------	-----------

- 2 MR. HOLTREY: Our understanding from speaking
- 3 with Mr. Noffsinger was, okay, if that's it, we go to
- 4 the board. I said, okay. I had no idea that the
- 5 Staff was going to continue to do work on this. My
- 6 understanding was, okay, I'll come back to the board
- 7 and I'll report what happened here in this few minutes
- 8 and we're okay. Please do and that's how it end. It
- 9 was very short.
- 10 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 11 MS. STONE: I think it's obvious that the
- 12 Staff would continue working to try to achieve some
- 13 kind of result so that the board could make a decision
- 14 on this item.
- I don't think there's a lot to digest here.
- 16 We're not saying you have to pave X area for display.
- 17 We're saying that we agree that the outdoor storage
- 18 screening should be eliminated on the portion of the
- 19 lot that is screened by trees and elevation change.
- 20 The rest of the outdoor storage area that's going to
- 21 be remaining in gravel should be screened. If you
- 22 want to display items, then you pave an area for
- 23 display. That's in essence what it is. We're not
- 24 saying that this exhibit has to be executed exactly
- like this. You want a paved area, you have a paved

_						_		_	
1	area.	You	screen	the	rest	οt	the	outdoor	storage

- 2 area. The back portion of the outdoor storage lot
- 3 we're saying is viable for a variance.
- 4 CHAIRMAN: Any comments from the board?
- 5 MR. DYSINGER: I have a question that's
- 6 probably more of a procedural or a legal issue.
- 7 On the one hand, I hesitate to grant a portion
- 8 of the variance based on recommendations from the
- 9 Staff valid as they appear to be, and I have no doubt
- 10 are, when the applicant has not had a chance to review
- it, discuss it, so on and so forth.
- 12 If we deny this application outright, that
- does not prevent the applicant from coming back with
- 14 these recommendations and us granting it at a future
- 15 date, does it?
- 16 CHAIRMAN: Would the attorney answer that,
- 17 please?
- 18 MR. SILVERT: They do come back. They have to
- 19 file another variance and another fee. They would be
- in violation at that point. Part of the addressing of
- 21 this variance is to address that violation.
- I think the whole Staff and this Board is on
- 23 notice that it's the opinion of the zoning
- 24 administrator that this property is not in compliance
- with the zoning ordinance. That issue would be true.

1	FATHER HOSTETTER: Could I ask a follow-up
2	questions on that?
3	CHAIRMAN: Yes, sir.
4	FATHER HOSTETTER: Since one of the
5	recommendations is to grant a partial variance, that
6	partial variance hasn't actually been requested. Can
7	we act on something that's not been requested?
8	MS. STONE: It has been requested because
9	they've requested a variance along the whole outdoor
10	screening boundary. So this is less than what they've
11	asked for, but it has been requested.
12	CHAIRMAN: The Board has authority
13	MR. HOSTETTER: To modify.
14	CHAIRMAN: Yes, sir.
15	MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, Staff's
16	original recommendation we still stand behind. It is
17	for denial. We think it should be denial.
18	However, what we're trying to do is to
19	compromise and provide a situation that, you know, we
20	feel protects the community and the community's
21	interest as well as alleviate some of the requirements
22	on the applicant.
23	Even though it's a portion, you could be
24	granting a portion of the variance, as Ms. Stone said,
25	they've asked for it all. They don't want to do any
	Ohio Valley Reporting

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- of it. What this does is grants them some relief, but not total relief.
- 3 CHAIRMAN: Would you like to have about a 15
 4 minute recess, sit down and look at it, come back and
 5 then the board can look at their stuff and we can have
 6 a vote then?
- 7 MR. HOLTREY: The individuals that I would 8 want to sit down with and go over the plan, there's --9 I'll say this: There is information that's been 10 brought to my attention in this packet of information 11 that I think is helpful.
 - However, I think we know the representative of the client that I have here tonight does not have decision making or binding authority to tell me. The decision that this business is having to make is, do we look at this and look at the viability of what's been proposed and recommended by the Staff and say, you know what, the cost of doing it that way versus the cost of continuing with challenging and getting the whole, which is the best business decision. The two that employ me to continue that process, if the board granted the denial, the total denial, versus working a little longer to see if this is viable, I would not be able to make that decision in 15 minutes, sir, just to be quite candid.

Ohio Valley Reporting (270) 683-7383

1	MR. DYSINGER: Mr. Chairman, I'm of the
2	opinion that the applicant's counsel makes a valid
3	point about the amount of information he was given.
4	However, I will say had they met with Staff, as it was
5	our, I think the board's intention last time, I think
6	we would have reached this point sooner.
7	That said I am sensitive to the idea that they
8	should review this now that they've seen the kind of
9	compromise that might be achievable and balance that
10	against further actions.
11	With that I will make a motion to delay until
12	the next regularly scheduled meeting.
13	CHAIRMAN: There has been a motion. Is there
14	a second?
15	MS. MASON: I'll second it.
16	CHAIRMAN: A motion has been made and second.
17	Any other comments or questions from Staff or Board?
18	MR. NOFFSINGER: Yes, I do have a comment.
19	I would like to say that Staff worked hard on
20	this. The applicant's folks that he needs to talk to
21	weren't here at the first meeting in October. They're
22	not at this meeting. They did not attend the meeting
23	with the Staff. It's been very important to us and
24	we've worked hard on it.
25	What I don't want to do is get into a
	Ohio Valley Reporting
	(270) 683-7383

1	situation where we're asked between now and the next
2	meeting or at the next meeting to recommend the
3	compromise off the compromise. Because I think Staff
4	has worked hard on it and I think they've been very
5	fair.
6	Staff would like to have a vote on this
7	tonight, but I certainly understand and respect your
8	position. Will continue to work diligently and hard.
9	I would certainly hope we're not in a position what
10	we're compromising on the compromise because Staff
11	would not do that.
12	CHAIRMAN: We have a motion and a second.
13	Before we vote I want to congratulate and
14	thank the Staff for what they've tried to work up with
15	something that was feasible.
16	I hope the applicant would look at it and take
17	it in a favorable way. If some questions come up
18	between now and the next board meeting, make an effort
19	to meet with the Staff and try to work things out,
20	compromise and look at it. If you don't understand
21	everything, they'll be glad to help you out.
22	With that we have a motion and a second.

MR. HOLTREY: Mr. Chairman, can I ask a 23

24 question real quick?

25 CHAIRMAN: Sure.

> Ohio Valley Reporting (270) 683-7383

1	MR. HOLTREY: Is there a primary person that
2	if there's a question as to interpretation of the
3	proposal that we should direct that to, that's been
4	the primary person working this up, so that if it's
5	just a matter of explanation, who we should direct
6	that to to expedite any communication with the Staff?
7	MR. NOFFSINGER: Becky Stone.
8	MR. HOLTREY: Okay.
9	CHAIRMAN: Any other questions or comments?
10	(NO RESPONSE)
11	CHAIRMAN: With that all in favor of the vote
12	to postpone it until the next meeting raise your right
13	hand.
14	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT - WITH THE
15	DISQUALIFICATION OF WARD PEDLEY- RESPONDED AYE.)
16	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries.
17	Next motion is adjourn.
18	MR. DYSINGER: Move to adjourn.
19	MS. MASON: Second.
20	CHAIRMAN: All in favor raise your right hand.
21	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
22	CHAIRMAN: We are adjourned.
23	
24	
25	

Ohio Valley Reporting (270) 683-7383

Τ	STATE OF RENTUCKY)
)SS: REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	COUNTY OF DAVIESS)
3	I, LYNNETTE KOLLER FUCHS, Notary Public in and
4	for the State of Kentucky at Large, do hereby certify
5	that the foregoing Owensboro Metropolitan Board of
6	Adjustment meeting was held at the time and place as
7	stated in the caption to the foregoing proceedings;
8	that each person commenting on issues under discussion
9	were duly sworn before testifying; that the Board
10	members present were as stated in the caption; that
11	said proceedings were taken by me in stenotype and
12	electronically recorded and was thereafter, by me,
13	accurately and correctly transcribed into the
14	foregoing 47 typewritten pages; and that no signature
15	was requested to the foregoing transcript.
16	WITNESS my hand and notary seal on this the
17	15th day of November, 2011.
18	
19	LYNNETTE KOLLER FUCHS
20	NOTARY ID 433397 OHIO VALLEY REPORTING SERVICES
21	202 WEST THIRD STREET, SUITE 12 OWENSBORO, KENTUCKY 42303
22	ONEMBERICO, RENTOCKI 12303
23	COMMISSION EXPIRES: DECEMBER 16, 2014
24	COUNTY OF RESIDENCE: DAVIESS COUNTY, KY
25	
	Ohio Valley Reporting