1	OWENSBORO METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION							
2	MARCH 10, 2011							
3	The Owensboro Metropolitan Planning Commission							
4	met in regular session at 5:30 p.m. on Thursday, March							
5	10, 2011, at City Hall, Commission Chambers,							
6	Owensboro, Kentucky, and the proceedings were as							
7	follows:							
8	MEMBERS PRESENT: Ward Pedley, Vice Chairman							
9	Gary Noffsinger, Director Madison Silvert, Attorney							
10	Rev. Larry Hostetter Irvin Rogers							
11	Wally Taylor John Kazlauskas							
12	Martin Hayden Rita Moorman							
13	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *							
14	CHAIRMAN: Call the Owensboro Metropolitan							
15	Planning Commission March 10th meeting to order. We							
16	will begin our meeting with a prayer and the pledge of							
17	allegiance to the flag. Ms. Moorman will lead us.							
18	Stand, please.							
19	(INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.)							
20	CHAIRMAN: I would like to welcome everyone.							
21	Anyone wishing to speak on any item may do so. We							
22	will ask that you come to one of the podiums, state							
23	your name and please speak into the microphone so the							
24	court reporter can hear. We have people at home that							
25	likes to watch this. So everyone please speak into							
	Ohio Valley Reporting							

1	the microphone.							
2	The first item on the agenda is to consider							
3	the minutes of the February 10, 2011 meeting. Are							
4	there any additions or corrections?							
5	(NO RESPONSE)							
6	CHAIRMAN: Chair is ready for a motion.							
7	MR. KAZLAUSKAS: So move.							
8	MR. HAYDEN: Second.							
9	CHAIRMAN: We have a motion by Mr. John							
10	Kazlauskas and a second by Mr. Hayden. All in favor							
11	raise your right hand.							
12	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)							
13	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.							
14	Next item on the agenda.							
15								
	2-2-2-3							
16	PUBLIC HEARINGS							
16 17	PUBLIC HEARINGS ITEM 2							
17	ITEM 2							
17	ITEM 2 Consider revision to the Owensboro Metropolitan Public							
17 18	ITEM 2 Consider revision to the Owensboro Metropolitan Public Improvements Specifications, Chapter 5, Exhibit Nos.							
17 18	ITEM 2 Consider revision to the Owensboro Metropolitan Public Improvements Specifications, Chapter 5, Exhibit Nos. 5-3, 5-10, 5-12, 5-13a, 5-13b, 5-14, 5-15 and Chapter							
17 18 19	ITEM 2 Consider revision to the Owensboro Metropolitan Public Improvements Specifications, Chapter 5, Exhibit Nos. 5-3, 5-10, 5-12, 5-13a, 5-13b, 5-14, 5-15 and Chapter							
17 18 19	ITEM 2 Consider revision to the Owensboro Metropolitan Public Improvements Specifications, Chapter 5, Exhibit Nos. 5-3, 5-10, 5-12, 5-13a, 5-13b, 5-14, 5-15 and Chapter 9, Exhibit No. 9-1							
17 18 19 20 21	Consider revision to the Owensboro Metropolitan Public Improvements Specifications, Chapter 5, Exhibit Nos. 5-3, 5-10, 5-12, 5-13a, 5-13b, 5-14, 5-15 and Chapter 9, Exhibit No. 9-1 MR. SILVERT: Would you state your name,							
17 18 19 20 21 22	Consider revision to the Owensboro Metropolitan Public Improvements Specifications, Chapter 5, Exhibit Nos. 5-3, 5-10, 5-12, 5-13a, 5-13b, 5-14, 5-15 and Chapter 9, Exhibit No. 9-1 MR. SILVERT: Would you state your name, please?							
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Consider revision to the Owensboro Metropolitan Public Improvements Specifications, Chapter 5, Exhibit Nos. 5-3, 5-10, 5-12, 5-13a, 5-13b, 5-14, 5-15 and Chapter 9, Exhibit No. 9-1 MR. SILVERT: Would you state your name, please? MS. STONE: Becky Stone.							

1	were proposed by the city engineer and the engineer
2	from RWRA. Chapter 5 revisions on these detail sheets
3	were from RWRA and Chapter 9 from the city engineer.
4	The Public Improvement Specification Committee
5	has seen and reviewed these exhibits, made their
6	comments to the local engineers and they're ready for
7	your consideration.
8	Mr. Schepers is here to represent the city if
9	you have any questions on those exhibits, and Eric
10	Glenn from RWRA is also here if you have questions on
11	those exhibits.
12	CHAIRMAN: Anyone have any questions on this
13	item for Mr. Schepers?
14	(NO RESPONSE)
15	CHAIRMAN: Any board members have any
16	questions?
17	(NO RESPONSE)
18	CHAIRMAN: Chair is ready for a motion.
19	MR. ROGERS: I make a motion to approve the
20	revisions.
21	CHAIRMAN: We have a motion by Mr. Rogers.
22	MR. TAYLOR: Second.
23	CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Taylor. Comments or

Ohio Valley Reporting
(270) 683-7383

questions on the motion?

(NO RESPONSE)

25

1	CHAIRMAN: All in favor raise your right hand								
2	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)								
3	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.								
4									
5	ZONING CHANGES								
6	ITEM 3								
7	3250 Alvey Park Drive East, 1.05 acres								
	Consider zoning change: From I-1 Light Industrial to								
8	B-5 Business/Industrial								
	Applicant: Gregory Bates								
9									
10	MR. SILVERT: Would you state your name,								
11	please?								
12	MR. HOWARD: Brian Howard.								
13	(BRIAN HOWARD SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)								
14	MR. HOWARD: I will note that any rezoning								
15	heard tonight will become final 21 days after the								
16	meeting unless an appeal is filed. If an appeal is								
17	filed, those will be forwarded to the appropriate								
18	legislative body. The appeal forms are located on the								
19	back table, in our office and on our web site.								
20	PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS								
21	The Planning Staff recommends approval subject								
22	to the findings of fact that follow:								
23	FINDINGS OF FACT:								
24	1. The subject property is located within a								
25	Business/Industrial Plan Area, where general business								
	Ohio Valley Reporting								

1	and light industrial uses are appropriate in general
2	locations;
3	2. The subject property lies within an
4	existing area of mixed industrial and commercial land
5	uses;
6	3. The Comprehensive Plan provides for the
7	continuance of mixed use areas; and,
8	4. The proposed land use for the subject
9	property is in compliance with the criteria for a
10	Business/Industrial Plan Area and a B-5
11	Business/Industrial zoning classification
12	MR. HOWARD: We would like to enter the Staff
13	Report into the record as Exhibit A.
14	CHAIRMAN: Anyone here representing the
15	applicant?
16	(NO RESPONSE)
17	CHAIRMAN: Is there anyone here that would
18	like to speak in opposition of this item?
19	(NO RESPONSE)
20	CHAIRMAN: Any board members have any comments
21	or questions?
22	(NO RESPONSE)
23	CHAIRMAN: Chair is ready for a motion.
24	MR. HAYDEN: I make a motion to approve with
25	the Staff Recommendations and Findings of Fact 1

Ohio Valley Reporting

1	through 4.							
2	CHAIRMAN: We have a motion by Mr. Hayden.							
3	MR. KAZLAUSKAS: Second.							
4	CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. John Kazlauskas. Any							
5	comments or questions on the motion?							
6	(NO RESPONSE)							
7	CHAIRMAN: All in favor raise your right hand.							
8	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)							
9	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.							
10	Next item.							
11	ITEM 4							
12	1328 Griffith Avenue, 3.58 acres							
	Consider zoning change: From P-1 Professional/Service							
13	and R-1A Single-Family Residential to P-1							
	Professional/Service							
14	Applicant: First Presbyterian Church							
15	PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS							
16	The Planning Staff recommends approval subject							
17	to the condition and findings of fact that follow:							
18	CONDITION:							
19	Access to Griffith Avenue shall be limited to							
20	the existing access point only.							
21	FINDINGS OF FACT:							
22	1. Staff recommends approval because the							
23	proposal is in compliance with the community's adopted							
24	Comprehensive Plan;							
25	2. The subject property is located in a							
	Ohio Valley Reporting							
	(270) 683-7383							

- 1 Professional/Service Plan Area, where
- 2 professional/service uses are appropriate in general
- 3 locations;
- 4 3. The use as a church is consistent with the
- 5 Comprehensive Plan requirements for nonresidential
- 6 development; and,
- 7 4. The subject property has been used as a
- 8 church since 1953 with no indication of change.
- 9 MR. HOWARD: We would like to enter the Staff
- 10 Report into the record as Exhibit B.
- 11 CHAIRMAN: Anyone here representing the
- 12 applicant?
- MR. BARBER: Bill Barber and Larry Yates are
- 14 here on behalf of First Presbyterian Church.
- MR. SILVERT: You're duly sworn as attorneys.
- 16 CHAIRMAN: Do you have anything you would like
- 17 to tell the board?
- 18 MR. BARBER: No, sir. If there's any
- 19 questions we'll be happy to answer them, but I believe
- the application is self-explanatory.
- 21 CHAIRMAN: We'll see if we have any
- 22 oppositions. If we have any questions, we'll bring
- you back up.
- 24 Anyone here like to speak in opposition of
- 25 this item?

1	(NO RESPONSE)							
2	CHAIRMAN: Any board members have any							
3	questions?							
4	(NO RESPONSE)							
5	CHAIRMAN: Chair is ready for a motion.							
6	MR. ROGERS: Motion for approval based on							
7	Planning Staff Recommendation with the Condition that							
8	access to Griffith Avenue shall be limited to the							
9	existing access point only and the Findings of Fact 1							
10	through 4.							
11	CHAIRMAN: We have a motion by Mr. Rogers.							
12	MR. HAYDEN: Second.							
13	CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Hayden. Comments or							
14	questions on the motion?							
15	(NO RESPONSE)							
16	CHAIRMAN: All in favor raise your right hand							
17	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)							
18	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.							
19	ITEM 5							
20	350, 354 Washington Avenue, 0.32 acres							
	Consider zoning change: From R-1B Single-Family							
21	Residential to B-4 General Business							
	Applicant: Harl Foreman							
22								
23	PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS							
24	The Planning Staff recommends approval subject							
25	to the findings of fact that follow:							
	Ohio Valley Reporting							

23

24

25

1	FINDINGS OF FACT:
2	1. Staff recommends approval because the
3	proposal is in compliance with the community's adopted
4	Comprehensive Plan;
5	2. The subject property is located in a
6	Business Plan Area, where general business uses are
7	appropriate in limited locations;
8	3. The use as a parking lot and related
9	accessory uses for a restaurant is consistent with the
10	Comprehensive Plan requirements for nonresidential
11	development;
12	4. The proposal is a logical expansion of
13	existing B-4 General Business zoning to the north,
14	east and south and,
15	5. At 0.32 acres, the expansion of B-4 zoning
16	should not overburden the capacity of roadways or
17	other necessary urban services that are available in
18	the affected area.
19	MR. HOWARD: We would like to enter the Staff
20	Report into the record as Exhibit C.
21	CHAIRMAN: Anyone here representing the
22	applicant?

Ohio Valley Reporting (270) 683-7383

CHAIRMAN: Anyone here like to speak in

(NO RESPONSE)

opposition on this item?

Т	(NO RESPONSE)							
2	CHAIRMAN: Board members have any comments or							
3	questions?							
4	(NO RESPONSE)							
5	CHAIRMAN: Chair is ready for a motion.							
6	MR. KAZLAUSKAS: I'll make a motion based on							
7	Planning Staff Recommendation and the Findings of Fact							
8	1 through 5.							
9	CHAIRMAN: We have a motion by Mr. John							
10	Kazlauskas.							
11	MS. MOORMAN: Second.							
12	CHAIRMAN: Second by Ms. Moorman. Comments or							
13	questions on the motion?							
14	(NO RESPONSE)							
15	CHAIRMAN: All in favor raise your right hand.							
16	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)							
17	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.							
18	Next item.							
19	ITEM 6							
20	821, 901, 907, 915 West 4th Street, 1.415 acres							
	Consider zoning change: From R-4DT Inner-City							
21	Residential to P-1 Professional/Service							
	Applicant: Fourth Street Baptist Church							
22								
23	PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATION							
24	The Planning Staff recommends approval subject							
25	to the condition and findings of fact that follow:							
	Ohio Valley Reporting							

1 CONDITION:

- 2 Eliminate the drive closest to the church
- 3 building on West Fourth Street.
- 4 FINDINGS OF FACT:
- 5 1. Staff recommends approval because the
- 6 proposal is in compliance with the community's adopted
- 7 Comprehensive Plan;
- 8 2. The subject property is located in a
- 9 Business/Industrial Plan Area, where
- 10 professional/service uses are appropriate in limited
- 11 locations;
- 12 3. The use as a church is consistent with the
- 13 Comprehensive Plan requirements for nonresidential
- 14 development; and,
- 15 4. The proposal is a logical expansion of
- 16 existing B-4 General Business zoning to the east and
- 17 P-1 Professional/Service zoning to the north; and,
- 18 5. At 1.415 acres, the expansion of P-1
- 29 zoning should not overburden the capacity of roadways
- or other necessary urban services that are available
- in the affected area.
- MR. HOWARD: We would like to enter the Staff
- 23 Report into the record as Exhibit D.
- 24 CHAIRMAN: Anyone here representing the
- 25 applicant?

1	APPLICANT REP: Yes.
2	MR. SILVERT: Would you state your name,
3	please.
4	MR. POSEY: Frank Posey, chairman of the
5	trustee board.
6	(FRANK POSEY SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
7	MR. POSEY: I don't have anything to say, but
8	any remarks I'll answer.
9	CHAIRMAN: Thank you, sir. We'll see if we
10	have any opposition.
11	Anyone like to speak on opposition on the
12	item?
13	(NO RESPONSE)
14	CHAIRMAN: Board members have any comments or
15	questions?
16	MR. KAZLAUSKAS: Maybe Mr. Posey could tell us
17	that the church is aware that that property does lay
18	within the new Downtown Overlay District. Certainly
19	they're fully aware of that?
20	MR. POSEY: Yes, we are aware of that.
21	CHAIRMAN: Should we address that, Mr.

22 Noffsinger?

MR. NOFFSINGER: Yes, sir. They are located

24 in that overlay district and any changes would require

25 the review of the Downtown Design Administrator,

Ohio Valley Reporting

1	Nathan	Nunley,	and	а	Certificate	of	Appropriateness

- 2 through the Planning office, as well as any building
- 3 or electrical permits or site plans.
- 4 CHAIRMAN: So there is a Certificate of
- 5 Approval?
- 6 MR. NOFFSINGER: Well, any changes to the site
- 7 they would need to get a Certificate of
- 8 Appropriateness, but they're not, we're not to that
- 9 point yet. They haven't submitted any plans. They're
- just going through the rezoning progress and this
- 11 rezoning is consistent with the Downtown Overlay
- 12 District.
- 13 CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you.
- Any board members have any other questions?
- 15 (NO RESPONSE)
- 16 CHAIRMAN: Chair is ready for a motion.
- 17 FATHER HOSTETTER: Mr. Chairman, move to
- 18 approve based on the Staff Recommendations with the
- 19 Condition to eliminate the drive closest to the church
- 20 building on West Fourth Street and the Findings of
- Fact 1 through 5.
- 22 CHAIRMAN: We have a motion by Father Larry.
- MR. TAYLOR: Second.
- 24 CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Taylor. All in favor
- 25 raise your right hand.

25

1	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
2	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
3	ITEM 7
4	2404, 2412 East Parrish Avenue, 66.708 acres
	(Postponed at February 10, 2011 meeting)
5	Consider zoning change: From R-1C Single-Family
	Residential, R-3MF Multi-Family Residential and B-4
6	General Business with conditions to B-4 General
	Business
7	Applicant: Heartland Crossing, LLC, Phil Riney
8	MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, since the last
9	meeting of the Planning Commission there has been some
10	talk and discussions throughout the community, as well
11	as amongst the local and state transportation
12	officials relating to this development.
13	There was a meeting of the Heartland
14	Neighborhood Association that occurred back early
15	March, about March 1st, 2nd, somewhere in there, which
16	I did attend. That meeting is taped and could be of
17	record. That meeting consisted of the representatives
18	of the developer, his engineering firm, as well as I
19	spoke at that meeting, and a gentleman from Menard's,
20	Mr. Tom O'Neal.
21	During that meeting the neighbors were given a
22	brief discussion, if you will, in terms of what
23	Menard's was planning to do and where there were some
24	neighborhood concerns. This gentleman attempted to

Ohio Valley Reporting (270) 683-7383

address those concerns.

1	I bring that up because there has been that
2	meeting with the developer regarding Menard's.
3	We are not here tonight to determine whether
4	Menard's can or cannot be located on this site.
5	Tonight we are here to consider the zoning of this
6	property to B-4 General Business.
7	Now, the majority of this property, except for
8	two little slivers of property near the intersection
9	of Byers and Highway 54 is currently zoned B-4 General
10	Business, but those two slivers are zoned
11	Single-Family Residential and Multi-Family
12	Residential.
13	The rezoning tonight would consist of those
14	two parcels plus removing conditions that were placed
15	on the B-4 rezoning in 2007 by this commission. The
16	conditions that were placed on the rezoning regarding
17	transportation cannot be complied with by the
18	developer because the state was unable to approve an
19	access permit and the developer was asked to by the
20	state to go back to the design and take a look to see
21	what improvements could be made.
22	There's been a lot of talk in terms of, and
23	correspondence between developer, the neighborhood
24	association, the state transportation department, the
25	city engineer's office, as well as the Planning
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	office. I can certainly say that I've been pleased to
2	be involved in much of that conversation and can tell
3	you that in my mind this project is moving forward in
4	a very favorable view and I think ultimately the
5	community is going to end up winning. The developer
6	will win, as well as the neighborhood association, and
7	the businesses around the Heartland.
8	However, at this point in time know this:
9	Tonight we consider the rezoning of this property only
10	to B-4 General Business and removal of those previous
11	conditions.
12	Again, we have no site plan for Menard's. Any
13	discussion about Menard's locating or any other
14	business locating on this property is really not
15	germane to what you are charged to do here tonight.
16	That will be for another night. There will be when
17	Menard's files their plans or any other business files
18	their plans on this property, you'll have an
19	opportunity to review and certainly receive public
20	input.
21	State law does not enable us to rezone
22	property based upon use. So you can only do that in
23	an urban county government. Therefore, if you
24	consider the rezoning of the property based upon a
25	specific use, it would be contrary to what we're
	Ohio Valley Reporting

- 1 enable to do by the statutes. At this time I think it
- 2 would be appropriate to ask Mr. Kevin McClearn to come
- 3 to the podium and speak as to what has transpired
- 4 since the last meeting regarding transportation and
- 5 where we might go from here.
- 6 CHAIRMAN: Mr. McClearn, would you like to
- 7 step up and share the information with us that you
- 8 have.
- 9 MR. SILVERT: State your name, please.
- 10 MR. McCLEARN: Kevin McClearn.
- 11 (KEVIN McCLEARN SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
- 12 MR. McCLEARN: At the last meeting last month
- 13 I got up here and spoke about the possibility of
- 14 having a separate set of professional eyes look at the
- 15 situation.
- MR. NOFFSINGER: Speak a little closer to the
- 17 mike. Thank you.
- 18 MR. McCLEARN: At the meeting last month I was
- 19 up here and stated that I recommended that perhaps we
- fund the study to go in and look a little bit closer
- 21 at this situation that we've got in regards to access
- 22 Kentucky 54 and all the entities involved.
- 23 I stated that it would be upon the approval of
- 24 my bosses in Frankfort. Since that time that approval
- 25 has been granted and we have moved forward with that

1	request.
2	Moving forward to the point of securing HMB
3	Engineering Consulting firm out of Frankfort, Kentucky
4	has been tasked with that duty. We're very
5	preliminary in that study. They do not have a notice
6	to proceed yet, but conversation has been held between
7	them and myself and my office about the parameters of
8	such study. What are our goals and what are we after
9	what are we looking for. Some of the things that I've
10	stated to them was phrases like "think outside the
11	box" and "everything is on the table." When I say
12	those phrases, we're looking not only at the area that
13	we're talking about, but we're looking at adjacent
14	areas. We're considering what could happen and we're
15	not afraid to throw things out that make no sense
16	because without brainstorming you can't get to new
17	ideas.
18	I'm encouraged with the qualifications of the
19	firm that we've gotten. I'm encouraged with some of
20	the ideas early on that have been thrown out. At this
21	point I can't really comment on the specifics on those
22	because some that we may be looking at early may prove
23	through analysis to not be frugal enough or
24	appropriate enough for whatever reason to move
25	forward. So that's where we are in the study.
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	I also talked about a public meeting to maybe
2	answer some questions, as we look at the best
3	transportation decisions for the area. That meeting
4	has not been scheduled to date. I've talked to a
5	representative from the neighborhood community, a
6	representative. We talked about me showing up at
7	their next meeting, which I believe is March 24th in
8	the evening. It's around that time. I will be
9	showing up to that to talk about it. I've also given
10	them my e-mail address to be dispersed and those ideas
11	that they would have or comments that they would like
12	to make are coming to me. As I get those, I'll review
13	them and forward them on to the consultant.
14	Beyond that public meeting, yes, once we get
15	towards the end of the study we will have and make the
16	opportunity available to anyone to come out and we'll
17	put on a brief presentation about the results of our
18	study.
19	Next question would be, what's the time frame?
20	As I hopefully stated in last month's meeting, we want
21	to expedite this and we also want to make the best
22	decisions and that's what we have asked the consultant
23	to do. I feel encouraged that they'll devote
24	appropriate manpower to move this along. I'm hopeful
25	to start formulating a document within the next 30
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	days or so. That is about where we're at right now on									
2	that study. Any questions?									
3	CHAIRMAN: Any board members have any									
4	questions of Mr. McClearn?									
5	MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, I've worked									
6	with Mr. McClearn for a number of years. I can tell									
7	you that in my working relationship with him and his									
8	office has been very positive.									
9	Last month when Mr. McClearn stood up and									
10	talked with much of the same group and to this									
11	commission, I realized he was very sincere in terms of									
12	we have some problems out on Highway 54. We recognize									
13	we have problems today. We recognize that although									
14	this development will likely be good for this									
15	community, it also adds traffic to that area.									
16	During the last month in talking with									
17	neighbors and talking with the developer and the state									
18	and traffic engineers, I realize an even bigger									
19	problem and perhaps we might have realized. Certainly									
20	I realized last month, and I looked at several									
21	options. There was one option presented last month									
22	that was a version of an s-curve that might bring									
23	Byers Avenue into the developer's property and tie in									
24	with Highway 54 at the on-ramp/off-ramp to the bypass.									
25	I think that's not an option that's certainly on the									
	Ohio Valley Reporting									

1	table. I think the developer's options of a
2	roundabout is an option that's on the table. I also
3	think there are other options out there that the state
4	is going to look closely at. I think it's going to
5	involve some more options. Well, I know it's going to
6	involve some more options that I believe will be
7	beneficial to the community.
8	What I do know is, as I stated last month,
9	regardless of the action that the Planning Commission
10	takes, the state can do what they deem is necessary or
11	Highway 54 to protect the safety and carrying capacity
12	of their highway. Their primary goal is Highway 60,
13	the bypass, Highway 54. Then we go down to Byers
14	Avenue and the internal streets. Recognizing the
15	transportation and that work and respect in that area
16	I think the meeting last month brought us all to the
17	table to realize that we do have some issues out there
18	that perhaps an s-curve or perhaps the developer's
19	plan is not going to fully address.
20	At this time I would like to amend the Staff's
21	recommendation because I think well, I don't think
22	I believe it would be in the best interest of this
23	community. Of the Heartland neighborhood. I think it
24	will also be in the best interest of the developer to
25	not attach these numerous conditions that we have on

Ohio Valley Reporting
(270) 683-7383

1	the	zoning	change.	What	that	does	is	pinpoint	certair

- 2 transportation improvements that may or may not
- 3 happen. That just about every one in the room will
- 4 hope won't happen. We face probably coming back to
- 5 this same hearing and talking about zoning again.
- 6 So what I would like to do is amend the
- 7 Staff's Recommendations to read as follows:
- 8 PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
- 9 The Planning Staff recommends approval subject
- 10 to the conditions and findings of fact that follow:
- 11 CONDITIONS:
- 1. Submittal and approval of a preliminary
- 13 subdivision plat and final development plan which
- shall include a Traffic Impact Study and
- transportation network that has been approved by the
- 16 Kentucky Transportation Cabinet; and
- 17 2. With the submission of a preliminary
- subdivision plat the record owners of adjoining
- 19 properties at that time will be notified by the
- 20 developer using the notification process required for
- 21 a zoning map amendment.
- 22 FINDINGS OF FACT:
- 1. Staff recommends approval because the
- 24 proposal is in compliance with the community adopted
- comprehensive plan;

1	2. The subject property is partially located
2	in a business plan area where general business uses
3	are appropriate in limited locations, and partially
4	located in an urban residential plan area where
5	general business uses are appropriate in very limited
6	locations;
7	3. The majority of the subject property is
8	currently zoned B-4 General Business and the proposed
9	expansion in the northwest corner of the subject
10	property is a logical expansion;
11	4. With an approved preliminary plat and
12	final development plan including a KYTC Improved
13	Traffic Impact Study and transportation network, the
14	development should not overburden the capacity of
15	roadways and other necessary urban services that are
16	available in the affected area while allowing
17	flexibility for future changes to Kentucky 54 corrido:
18	if deemed necessary by the KYTC and the City of
19	Owensboro.
20	CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Noffsinger.
21	Thank you, Mr. McClearn. We'll see if we have
22	any questions for you.
23	MR. KAZLAUSKAS: Mr. Chairman, I do have one
24	question of Mr. McClearn.
25	In your statement you said the consultants
	Ohio Valley Reporting

- 1 were going to be thinking outside of the box. I don't
- 2 want to hold your feet to the fire, but are we talking
- 3 about Highway 54 for feet, inches, miles? Because as
- 4 we all well know in this room, you know, a lot of
- 5 traffic comes in and out of 54 and it's going to
- 6 continue to do so. So when you make a statement
- 7 thinking outside of the box, are you thinking miles
- 8 instead of just yards?
- 9 MR. McCLEARN: No. I'm thinking feet.
- 10 MR. KAZLAUSKAS: You're thinking feet.
- MR. McCLEARN: We're in the 54 area of the
- 12 Ragu and East Byers area. A little bit south, a
- 13 little bit north, east, west. Just that general area.
- 14 What can be proposed that may make a difference that
- perhaps hasn't been brought to the table for
- 16 consideration.
- 17 Large scale, it takes dollars, you know. When
- 18 you get a very large scale we're not able to go large
- scale at this point. So I'm talking in the general
- 20 area.
- 21 MR. KAZLAUSKAS: So the consultants are going
- to be talking about the general area in feet?
- MR. McCLEARN: Yes.
- MR. KAZLAUSKAS: Thank you, sir.
- 25 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, sir.

1	I'm going to go to the developers now and see
2	if anyone has any comments or questions or anything
3	you can share with us at this time.
4	Anyone from the development group or
5	representative would like to speak?
6	MR. SILVERT: Could you state your name,
7	please?
8	MR. MEYER: My name is J.D. Meyer. I'm the
9	counsel for Heartland Crossings, LLC.
10	MR. SILVERT: You're sworn.
11	MR. MEYER: First, we'd like to thank Mr.
12	McClearn and the state. I appreciate his comments
13	here tonight.
14	I'd also like to thank the commission for
15	their time and dedication, especially to the Staff,
16	Mr. Noffsinger, and the others who participated in
17	numerous meetings over the course of the last 30 days
18	to really give this a lot of thought.
19	At this point in time we don't have much more
20	to add. I do have a packet and information that I
21	supplied to the court reporter. Those are simply
22	exhibits and evidence that really supplement the
23	information presented in the last meeting. So we'd
24	ask those be introduced into the record.
25	From the developer's standpoint, again, we
	Ohio Valley Reporting

- 1 appreciate all the public comments. As Mr. Noffsinger
- 2 pointed out, we're here tonight to consider zoning and
- 3 zoning alone of about a 1.5 acre tract that's
- 4 contiguous to a 64.5 acre tract owned by Heartland
- 5 Crossings that's already been rezoned to B-4 General
- 6 Business.
- 7 My clients I can tell you are long time
- 8 residents of the City of Owensboro and they want
- 9 nothing more than for this project to succeed and for
- it to be done in a manner that's in the best of the
- 11 general public as a whole. They're committed to
- 12 working very hard and to continue to work very hard as
- we go through this process. I don't have anything
- more to add and we would be happy to answer any
- 15 questions that may come up. Thank you.
- 16 CHAIRMAN: Any board members have any
- 17 questions of Mr. Meyer?
- 18 MR. ROGERS: Mr. Meyer, so I take it that you
- 19 all are in agreement with Mr. Noffsinger's new
- 20 conditions?
- MR. MEYERS: Yes.
- 22 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Meyer.
- I think at this time I'll go to the opposing
- 24 side. I'd like to say what Mr. Noffsinger has already
- 25 stated. We're here for the zoning change. Not for

- 1 Menard's going to locate or their development plan.
- 2 You will have an opportunity at a later time on the
- development plan to be here at meetings. Also you
- 4 have an opportunity when the state comes back with
- 5 their recommendations to be at the meeting and the
- 6 developers will notify you of any meetings.
- 7 So at this time if you have comments or
- 8 questions we will hear them. We would like for you to
- 9 think about at a later date, but we certainly will
- 10 hear any questions or comments that you have. Anyone
- like to speak at this time we welcome your comments.
- MR. SILVERT: Would you state your name,
- 13 please?
- MR. HODSKINS: Ed Hodskins.
- MR. SILVERT: You're duly sworn.
- MR. HODSKINS: I just want to make sure I
- 17 understand that Item 7-A on the agenda is going to be
- 18 effectively tabled; is that correct? It will not be
- 19 considered tonight?
- MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Hodskins, when that item
- 21 comes up, I will have three options or I will have
- three recommendations.
- Number one would be a postponement. Number
- two will be a withdraw by the applicant, or number
- 25 three I recommend it for denial, because it will not

1	be in	order,	if	this	rezoning	is	approved	with	these
2	condit	cions.							

- 3 So there will not be a plan. I will not
- 4 recommend that the plan be approved here tonight.
- 5 MR. HODSKINS: The only other thing, I want to
- 6 affirmatively state on the record that I appreciate
- 7 that Mr. McClearn hand-delivered to my client tonight
- 8 a letter which is the first communication we've really
- 9 had over the last 30 days from the state. We take
- 10 that as a good sign to finally have some
- 11 communication. We look forward to working with them
- 12 from this point forward. Thank you.
- 13 CHAIRMAN: Anyone else like to speak?
- 14 (NO RESPONSE)
- 15 CHAIRMAN: Any questions or comments from the
- 16 commissioners?
- 17 (NO RESPONSE)
- 18 CHAIRMAN: I think at this time Chair is ready
- 19 for a motion.
- 20 MR. KAZLAUSKAS: I'll make a motion that we
- 21 move forward with the Planning Staff's recommendations
- 22 with the two new conditions. Could we have --
- 23 MR. NOFFSINGER: Excuse me. I can give you
- 24 what I have.
- MR. KAZLAUSKAS: I want to be sure about these

1	conditions.
2	The Planning Staff recommends approval subject
3	to the Conditions and Findings of Facts that follows:
4	CONDITIONS:
5	1. Submittal and approval of a preliminary
6	subdivision plat and final development plan which
7	shall include a Traffic Impact Study and
8	transportation network that has been approved by the
9	Kentucky Transportation Cabinet; and
10	2. With the submission of a preliminary
11	subdivision plat the record owners of adjoining
12	properties at that time will be notified by the
13	developer using the notification process required for
14	a zoning map amendment.
15	FINDINGS OF FACT:
16	1. Staff recommends approval because the
17	proposal is in compliance with the community adopted
18	comprehensive plan;
19	2. The subject property is partially located
20	in a business plan area where general business uses
21	are appropriate in limited locations, and partially
22	located in an urban residential plan area where
23	general business uses are appropriate in very limited
24	locations;

25 3. The majority of the subject property is

Ohio Valley Reporting

(270) 683-7383

1	currently zoned B-4 General Business and the proposed
2	expansion in the northwest corner of the subject
3	property is a logical expansion;
4	4. With an approved preliminary plat and
5	final development plan including a KYTC Improved
6	Traffic Impact Study and transportation network, the
7	development should not overburden the capacity of
8	roadways and other necessary urban services that are
9	available in the affected area while allowing
10	flexibility for future changes to the Kentucky 54
11	corridor if deemed necessary by the KYTC and the City
12	of Owensboro.
13	I'll make that motion from what I've heard
14	from the representative of the state highway and the
15	developers. There hasn't been a whole lot of people
16	here that has disapproved of what Mr. Noffsinger has
17	suggested so I'll make a motion that we move forward
18	with this.
19	CHAIRMAN: We have a motion by Mr. Kazlauskas
20	MR. HAYDEN: Second.
21	CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Hayden. Comments or
22	questions on the motion?
23	(NO RESPONSE)
24	CHAIRMAN: All in favor raise your right hand
25	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
	Ohio Valley Reporting
	(270) 683-7383

1	CHAIRMAN:	Motion	carries	unanimously.

- 2 Related Item:
- 3 ITEM 7A
- 4 Heartland Crossing, 66.708 acres (Postponed at February 10, 2011 meeting)
- 5 Consider approval of preliminary development plan
- 6 Applicant: Heartland Crossing, LLC
- 7 MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, the Planning
- 8 Staff and Engineering Staff have reviewed this plan.
- 9 We found the plan is not in order. The plan does not
- 10 carry with the approved transportation plan by the
- 11 Kentucky Transportation Cabinet and the developer is
- here tonight to describe the options that I would
- 13 recommend and that would be:
- 1. That the developer request a postponement;
- 15 2. The applicant withdraw the development
- 16 plan; or
- 17 3. We would recommend denial.
- 18 CHAIRMAN: Would the developer like to comment
- on withdrawing this at this time?
- 20 MR. MEYER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. At this
- 21 time we would like for that to be postponed.
- 22 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- Do we need to vote on that?
- MR. NOFFSINGER: Yes.
- 25 CHAIRMAN: We need a motion for postponement.

1	MR. KAZLAUSKAS: So moved.
2	CHAIRMAN: We have a motion by Mr. Kazlauskas.
3	MR. ROGERS: Second.
4	CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Rogers. Comments or
5	questions?
6	MR. NOFFSINGER: That would be postponed until
7	the April 14th meeting of the Planning Commission
8	meeting.
9	CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Noffsinger.
10	All in favor raise your right hand.
11	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
12	CHAIRMAN: The item is postponed.
13	
14	MAJOR SUBDIVISIONS
15	ITEM 8
16	Highland Pointe, 82.157 acres
	Consider approval of amended major subdivision
17	preliminary plat.
	Applicant: Highland Pointe Development, LLC
18	
19	MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, this plat has
20	been reviewed by the Planning Staff and Engineering
21	Staff. It's found to be in order and ready for your
22	consideration.
23	CHAIRMAN: Is anyone here representing the
24	applicant or is anyone here have any comments or
25	questions on this item?
	Ohio Valley Reporting

	APPLICANI REP. Yes.
2	CHAIRMAN: Board members have any questions?
3	(NO RESPONSE)
4	CHAIRMAN: The Chair is ready for a motion.
5	MR. HAYDEN: I make a motion for approval.
6	FATHER HOSTETTER: Second.
7	CHAIRMAN: A motion for approval by Mr. Hayden
8	and a second by Father Larry. Comments or questions
9	on the motion?
10	(NO RESPONSE)
11	CHAIRMAN: All in favor raise your right hand
12	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
13	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
14	
15	MINOR SUBDIVISIONS
16	ITEM 9
17	2501 Old Hartford Road, 1497 East 26th Street,
	10.377 acres
18	Consider approval of minor subdivision plat.
	Applicant: The Carmelite Sisters
19	
20	MR. HOWARD: This plat comes before you as an
21	exception. They are creating a tract that does not
22	have road frontage. The purpose for the tract is to
23	allow a construction of a tower for a radio station
24	that will be located there. It's typical with a cell
25	tower plat or something along those lines that the
	Ohio Valley Reporting

1	plat would	come	before	you	to	create	the	lot	with	no
2	frontage.	There	e is a	note	on	the pla	at th	nat	would	

- 3 limit use on that tract to the tower and related
- 4 equipment, it's not to be used for a buildable lot.
- 5 With that we would recommend you consider it for
- 6 approval.
- 7 CHAIRMAN: Is the applicant here?
- 8 APPLICANT REP: Yes.
- 9 CHAIRMAN: Do we have any comments or
- 10 questions?
- 11 (NO RESPONSE)
- 12 CHAIRMAN: Anyone have any comments or
- questions from the board members?
- 14 (NO RESPONSE)
- 15 CHAIRMAN: Chair is ready for a motion.
- MR. HAYDEN: Make a motion for approval.
- 17 MR. KAZLAUSKAS: Second.
- 18 CHAIRMAN: We have a motion for approval by
- 19 Mr. Hayden and a second by Mr. Kazlauskas. Any
- 20 comments or questions on the motion?
- 21 (NO RESPONSE)
- 22 CHAIRMAN: All in favor raise your right hand.
- 23 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 24 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimous.
- 25 ITEM 10

24

25

1	4000, 4018 South Hampton Road, 8.216 acres
	Consider approval of minor subdivision plat.
2	Applicant: John A. & Mary Alice Crowe
3	MR. HOWARD: This plat comes before you as an
4	exception to the three to one requirement, meaning
5	that the lot should be no deeper than three times its
6	width.
7	As you can see on the plat, the 4018 tract
8	does meet the minimum requirements of the three to
9	one; however, tract two exceeds that depth to width
10	ratio. However, both lots exceed the minimum road
11	frontage requirement within the current zone. They
12	both meet the minimum requirements for acreage for a
13	septic system and there is an existing home at the
14	very back of tract two. This is the only logical way
15	or this is a way that the property could be split one
16	time allowing for the potential for another home to be
17	constructed. There is a note on the plat that states
18	the property shall not be further subdivided not
19	meeting the requirements of the subdivision
20	regulations. It appears based on our record that the
21	lot was created prior to zoning going into affect so
22	there's not been a note of this type on the plat
23	previously.

So with that we would recommend that you consider for approval with the exception of the three Ohio Valley Reporting

- 1 to one in that it meets all other applicable zoning
- 2 ordinance and subdivision regulation requirements.
- 3 CHAIRMAN: Anyone here representing the
- 4 applicant?
- 5 APPLICANT REP: Yes.
- 6 CHAIRMAN: Have any comments or questions?
- 7 (NO RESPONSE)
- 8 CHAIRMAN: Board members have any comments or
- 9 questions?
- 10 (NO RESPONSE)
- 11 CHAIRMAN: Chair is ready for a motion.
- MR. ROGERS: Motion for approval.
- MS. MOORMAN: Second.
- 14 CHAIRMAN: We have a motion for approval by
- Mr. Rogers. Second by Ms. Moorman. All in favor
- 16 raise your right hand.
- 17 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 18 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
- 19 We need one final motion.
- MR. HAYDEN: Make a motion to adjourn.
- 21 CHAIRMAN: We have motion to adjourn by Mr.
- Hayden.
- MR. TAYLOR: Second.
- 24 CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Taylor. All in favor
- 25 raise your right hand.

1	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
2	CHAIRMAN: We are adjourned.
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Ohio Valley Reporting
(270) 683-7383

1	STATE OF RENTUCKY)
)SS: REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	COUNTY OF DAVIESS)
3	I, LYNNETTE KOLLER FUCHS, Notary Public in and
4	for the State of Kentucky at Large, do hereby certify
5	that the foregoing Owensboro Metropolitan Planning
6	Commission meeting was held at the time and place as
7	stated in the caption to the foregoing proceedings;
8	that each person commenting on issues under discussion
9	were duly sworn before testifying; that the Board
10	members present were as stated in the caption; that
11	said proceedings were taken by me in stenotype and
12	electronically recorded and was thereafter, by me,
13	accurately and correctly transcribed into the
14	foregoing 37 typewritten pages; and that no signature
15	was requested to the foregoing transcript.
16	WITNESS my hand and notary seal on this the
17	15th day of March, 2011.
18	
19	
20	LYNNETTE KOLLER FUCHS NOTARY ID 433397
21	OHIO VALLEY REPORTING SERVICES 202 WEST THIRD STREET, SUITE 12 OWENSBORO, KENTUCKY 42303
22 23	COMMISSION EXPIRES: DECEMBER 16, 2014
24	COUNTY OF RESIDENCE: DAVIESS COUNTY, KENTUCKY
25	
	Ohio Valley Reporting

Ohio Valley Reporting (270) 683-7383