1	OWENSBORO METROPOLITAN PLANNING COMMISSION	
2	OCTOBER 8, 2009	
3	The Owensboro Metropolitan Planning Commission	
4	met in regular session at 5:30 p.m. on Thursday,	
5	October 8, 2009, at City Hall, Commission Chambers,	
6	Owensboro, Kentucky, and the proceedings were as	
7	follows:	
8	MEMBERS PRESENT: Drew Kirkland, Chairman	
9	Judy Dixon, Vice Chairman David Appleby, Secretary Gary Noffsinger, Director	
10	Madison Silvert, Attorney Ward Pedley	
11	Wald Fedley Irvin Rogers Wally Taylor	
12	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
13		
14	CHAIRMAN: I would like to welcome everybody	
15	to the October 8, meeting of the Owensboro	
16	Metropolitan Planning Commission. Will you please	
17	rise for our invocation, please. Our invocation will	
18	be given by Ms. Judy Dixon.	
19	(INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.)	
20	CHAIRMAN: Our first order of business is to	
21	consider the minutes of the September 10, 2009	
22	meeting. Are there any questions, additions,	
23	corrections?	
24	(NO RESPONSE)	
25	CHAIRMAN: If not the chair is ready for a	

1	motion.	
2	MS. DIXON: Move to approve.	
3	CHAIRMAN: Motion for approval by Ms. Dixon.	
4	MR. TAYLOR: Second.	
5	CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Taylor. All in favor	
6	raise your right hand.	
7	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)	
8	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.	
9	Next item, Mr. Noffsinger.	
10	ITEM 2	
11	Presentation by Carolyn Franklin about the 2010 Census Complete County Committee.	
12		
13	MS. FRANKLIN: Thank you for letting me come	
14	and present about the 2010 census. It's the ten year	
15	census count. It is how \$425 billion is divided up	
16	annually based on the ten year census. That's over \$4	
17	trillion. So in nine years our 2010 censuses	
18	population comp figures will still be being used to	
19	divide up the amounts. So it's critical that we get	
20	the amounts correct for the communities to get your	
21	fair share of the funding.	
22	What a complete count committee is, is just	
23	that. It's the trusted voices, the leaders of the	
24	community, the people that know the people or how to	

get out to all aspects of the community seniors,

- 1 children, faith based. All the community leaders to
- 2 make sure that we get the word out, get the word out,
- 3 get the word out so that in March and April of 2010,
- 4 April 1st of 2010 is census day. The forms start
- 5 going to the homes in March.
- 6 You have a sample in your packets. It's a
- 7 sample, only a sample. Please don't return them.
- 8 This is not the real form, but it's pretty much what
- 9 it's going to look like. It's the honor system. It's
- 10 self-reporting. So when everyone gets their form next
- 11 year, just fill it out and send it in. That's all.
- 12 If someone does not want a visit to their
- 13 home, that's all they have to do is fill out the form.
- 14 If the form is not returned, a follow-up postcard
- 15 comes or another form. If that's not returned, that
- 16 activates an actual in-person visit which cost us \$90
- million for every one percent of the population that
- 18 we have to follow up with. So that's why we're doing
- 19 this ahead. We're partnership folks out partnering
- 20 across the state and across the country to get the
- 21 word out for everyone to return their forms so we get
- 22 our correct population counts.
- 23 Whoever you all know for the complete count
- 24 committees, that is exactly who we are looking to do
- 25 the outreach to. You all have the committee. You set

- 1 the agenda. You all know your communities better than
- 2 anybody. That's what this is all about. It's all
- 3 about when this happens next year everyone has been
- 4 somehow informed, touched, got the word out. You all
- 5 know how to do that. You're the planning folks.
- 6 Whatever I can provide, whatever feedback we
- 7 can do, that is the whole point. To get the funding
- 8 for your community. All the population figures are
- 9 delivered to the president at the end of 2010.
- 10 Starting April 1, 2011 those population counts go back
- 11 to the states. That is then for potential
- 12 redistricting. Then the population numbers are
- distributed like that. It's just critical. We have a
- 14 whole program for census in the schools because the
- children are the undercounted population. So we have
- special outreach for that as well.
- Do any of you all have any questions?
- 18 (NO RESPONSE)
- 19 CHAIRMAN: Very good. Thank you very much.
- MS. FRANKLIN: I have something else. You all
- 21 have a packet. In the information in your packet,
- 22 it's got various information. Any information in
- there you can use all or in part for drop-in articles,
- 24 newsletters, quotes, anything like that. You all have
- 25 a partnership agreement on one side, on the left side

1	of your packet. On the back of it is a variety of	
2	ways you can partner, folks you know can partner with	
3	the census. My name is on one side of it. You can	
4	fill out the other side. Feel free to copy and	
5	distribute. This is how we get the word out. Whether	
6	it be a link to your website, a link to your	
7	organization or people you know website. Drop-in	
8	article. There's a variety of ways. So please look	
9	at your partnership agreement and we will be following	
10	up to pick up partnership agreements. Thank you.	
11	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	
12	Mr. Noffsinger, our next item, please.	
13		
13 14	CELLULAR TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITIES PER KRS 100.987	
	CELLULAR TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITIES PER KRS 100.987	
14	ITEM 3 3560 Hayden Road (Postponed September 10, 2009)	
14 15	ITEM 3 3560 Hayden Road (Postponed September 10, 2009) Consider approval of a wireless communications tower. Applicant: Daviess County School District Finance	
14 15 16	ITEM 3 3560 Hayden Road (Postponed September 10, 2009) Consider approval of a wireless communications tower.	
14 15 16 17	ITEM 3 3560 Hayden Road (Postponed September 10, 2009) Consider approval of a wireless communications tower. Applicant: Daviess County School District Finance	
14 15 16 17 18	ITEM 3 3560 Hayden Road (Postponed September 10, 2009) Consider approval of a wireless communications tower. Applicant: Daviess County School District Finance Corp.; AT&T Wireless	
14 15 16 17 18	ITEM 3 3560 Hayden Road (Postponed September 10, 2009) Consider approval of a wireless communications tower. Applicant: Daviess County School District Finance Corp.; AT&T Wireless MR. SILVERT: State your name, please.	
14 15 16 17 18 19	ITEM 3 3560 Hayden Road (Postponed September 10, 2009) Consider approval of a wireless communications tower. Applicant: Daviess County School District Finance Corp.; AT&T Wireless MR. SILVERT: State your name, please. MR. HOWARD: Brian Howard.	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ITEM 3 3560 Hayden Road (Postponed September 10, 2009) Consider approval of a wireless communications tower. Applicant: Daviess County School District Finance Corp.; AT&T Wireless MR. SILVERT: State your name, please. MR. HOWARD: Brian Howard. (BRIAN HOWARD SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)	

Elementary School property. The lease site area that

- they're proposing is a 100 by 100 foot lease area.
- 2 They've submitted a minor subdivision plat to
- 3 the office, it's a related item on the agenda, for the
- 4 creation of that lease area. They state in their
- 5 application material that there are no other suitable
- 6 locations in the vicinity, no other collocations that
- 7 they could use within the vicinity and that the new
- 8 tower will provide better cell phone service to the
- 9 community.
- 10 The application materials are complete. They
- 11 meet all guidelines and requirements in regards to
- 12 residential structure, setbacks.
- Height at 199 feet is below the 200 foot
- 14 maximum allowed by ordinance.
- Their screening plan calls for a 6 foot tall
- 16 chain-link fence and a double row of staggered pine
- 17 trees. No signs proposed on the site.
- They are providing opportunities for
- 19 co-location and they are not requesting any waivers.
- 20 With that we have the findings that:
- 21 1. The application is complete with all
- 22 materials in accordance with the Owensboro
- 23 Metropolitan Zoning Ordinance;
- 24 2. The site is in compliance with all design
- 25 criteria of the Owensboro Metropolitan Zoning

- 1 Ordinance; and,
- 2 3. The permanent tower will improve cellular
- 3 telephone service for users within the community; and,
- 4. By providing the opportunity for three
- 5 additional service providers on this tower, we are
- 6 promoting the goal of the Comprehensive Plan to
- 7 encourage collocation in order to minimize the number
- 8 of telecommunications tower.
- 9 We would like to enter the Staff Report into
- 10 the record as Exhibit A.
- 11 CHAIRMAN: Are there any questions?
- (NO RESPONSE)
- 13 CHAIRMAN: If there are no further questions,
- 14 the chair is ready for a motion.
- MR. ROGERS: Motion for approval based on
- Planning Staff Recommendations and with the Findings 1
- 17 through 4.
- 18 CHAIRMAN: We've got a motion for approval by
- 19 Mr. Rogers.
- MR. PEDLEY: Second.
- 21 CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Pedley. All in favor
- 22 raise your right hand.
- 23 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 24 CHAIRMAN: The motion carries unanimously.
- Next item please, Mr. Noffsinger.

- 1 Related Item:
- 2 ITEM 3A
- 3 3560 Hayden Road

Consider approval of a minor subdivision plat.

4 Applicant: Daviess County School District Finance Corp.

- 6 MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, this plat has
- 7 been reviewed by the Planning Staff and Engineering
- 8 Staff. It's found to be in order. The plat comes to
- 9 you as an exception to the subdivision regulations in
- 10 that the lot does not have frontage on public
- 11 right-of-way. It is an undersized lot from the zone
- 12 that it's in. However, it is for a cell tower. There
- is a notation on the plat that states that it's for
- that purpose and not for building, residential
- 15 building activity. So with that we would recommend
- 16 that you grant approval.
- 17 CHAIRMAN: Is anybody here representing the
- 18 applicant?
- 19 MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, sir. I'm Terry Sullivan
- 20 with SBA Network Services.
- 21 MR. SILVERT: Let me swear you in, Mr.
- 22 Sullivan.
- 23 (TERRY SULLIVAN SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
- 24 CHAIRMAN: Mr. Sullivan, why don't we wait and
- 25 see if there are any questions. If there are any

1	technical questions, we'll call them up.	
2	Are there any questions of the applicant?	
3	(NO RESPONSE)	
4	CHAIRMAN: If not the chair is ready for a	
5	motion.	
6	MR. APPLEBY: Move for approval.	
7	CHAIRMAN: Motion for approval by Mr. Appleby.	
8	MS. DIXON: Second.	
9	CHAIRMAN: Second by Ms. Dixon. All in favor	
10	raise your right hand.	
11	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)	
12	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.	
13	Next item, please.	
14	ITEM 4	
15	343 Sutton Lane Consider approval of a wireless telecommunications tower. Applicant: William R. Mathis; AT&T	
16		
17	Applicant: William K. Machis/ Alai	
18	MR. HOWARD: This application comes before you	
19	tonight as a temporary cellular on wheels tower. It's	
20	115 feet tall.	
21	This site has previously had a temporary tower	
22	approved. They are in the process of working on a	
23	permanent location. The temporary tower came as a	
24	result of the water tower that was removed on Fourth	

Street. So they're asking for another extension of

- 1 six months while the final lease is worked out.
- 2 Basically this site will have the two "boat
- 3 trailer" type of things on the site. One will house
- 4 the tower and the other will have the support
- 5 equipment. The tower will not require illumination.
- 6 It meets setback requirements, the residential
- 7 structure requirement. It's below the maximum height
- 8 requirement.
- 9 They do ask for a couple of waivers. One is
- on the evergreen requirement for screening around the
- 11 site. In their application materials, they state that
- there is existing trees in the area, and due to the
- 13 temporary nature of the site they would request that
- 14 those be waived. I guess, that's the only waiver.
- 15 I'm sorry, I thought there was a second. Those
- 16 waivers have previously been granted from the Planning
- 17 Commission.
- 18 We present this with findings that:
- 1. The application is complete with all
- 20 materials in accordance with the Owensboro
- 21 Metropolitan Zoning Ordinance;
- 22 2. Compliance with all design criteria of the
- Owensboro Metropolitan Zoning Ordinance; and,
- 3. Temporary in nature, the proposed tower
- 25 will provide much needed cellular phone service while

1 plans for a permanent tower are completed and

- 2 approved.
- 3 We would like to enter the Staff Report into
- 4 the record as Exhibit B.
- 5 CHAIRMAN: Is there anybody here representing
- 6 the applicant?
- 7 MS. JACOBS: My name is Kathy Jacobs with
- 8 Retail Brokerage Services. I work for AT&T.
- 9 CHAIRMAN: Let me see if there are any
- 10 questions.
- 11 Are there any questions of the applicant?
- 12 (NO RESPONSE)
- 13 CHAIRMAN: Anybody from the commission have
- 14 any questions?
- 15 (NO RESPONSE)
- 16 CHAIRMAN: If not the chair is ready for a
- motion.
- MR. APPLEBY: Motion for approval.
- 19 CHAIRMAN: Motion for approval by Mr. Appleby.
- MR. TAYLOR: Second.
- 21 CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Taylor. All in favor
- 22 raise your right hand.
- 23 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 24 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
- Next item, please.

- 1 ITEM 5
- 2 121 Woods Road

Consider approval of a wireless telecommunications

- 3 tower.
 - Applicant: Herman R. & Martha L. Miller;
- 4 Powertel/Memphis, Inc. d/b/a T-Mobile Kentucky
- 5 MR. HOWARD: This tower comes before you as a
- 6 199 foot tall lattice type cellular structure. It is
- 7 located on U.S. Highway 60 West closer to Henderson
- 8 County boundary.
- 9 The lease area that they were proposing is an
- 10 80 by 80 foot lease area. They have submitted a minor
- 11 subdivision plat that should create that lease area of
- tract. Their application is complete with all
- 13 materials submitted to the office.
- 14 They are requesting a couple of waivers with
- 15 the application. They meet all the requirements as
- 16 far as illumination, staffing and signs; however, due
- 17 to the lease area size, the lattice type tower
- 18 structure setback is one-half the height of the tower.
- 19 With only an 80 by 80 lease area that cannot be
- 20 accomplished within the 80 by 80 lease area. However,
- 21 within the boundary of the parent tract the setback
- 22 could be accomplished. So with that we would feel
- that the waiver request is reasonable. Otherwise, it
- 24 meets all the requirements as far as screening and
- 25 other applicable signs, location. They are providing

- 1 co-location opportunity on the tower as well.
- 2 With that we enter the Staff Report into the
- 3 record with the following findings:
- 4 1. The application is complete with all
- 5 materials in accordance with the Owensboro
- 6 Metropolitan Zoning Ordinance;
- 7 2. The site is in compliance with all design
- 8 criteria of the Owensboro Metropolitan Zoning
- 9 Ordinance; and,
- 10 3. The permanent tower will improve cellular
- telephone service for users within the community; and,
- 12 4. By providing the opportunity for three
- service providers on this tower, we are promoting the
- 14 goal of the Comprehensive Plan to encourage
- 15 co-location in order to minimize the number of
- 16 telecommunication towers.
- We would like to enter the Staff Report into
- 18 the record as Exhibit C.
- 19 CHAIRMAN: Is anybody here representing the
- 20 applicant?
- 21 APPLICANT REP: Yes.
- 22 CHAIRMAN: Let's see if there are any
- 23 questions.
- There are any questions?
- 25 (NO RESPONSE)

1 CHAIRMAN: If there are no questions the chair

- 2 is ready for a motion.
- 3 MR. APPLEBY: Motion for approval.
- 4 CHAIRMAN: Motion for approval by Mr. Appleby.
- 5 MR. ROGERS: Second.
- 6 CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Rogers. All in favor
- 7 raise your right hand.
- 8 (ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)
- 9 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.
- Next item, please.
- 11 Related Item:
- 12 ITEM 5A
- 13 121 Woods Road

Consider approval of a minor subdivision plat.

- 14 Applicant: Herman R. & Martha L. Miller
- MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, Planning Staff
- and Engineering Staff have reviewed this plat. It's
- found to be in order. It comes to you as an exception
- 18 to the subdivision regulations in that it does not
- 19 have frontage on the public right-of-way and it is
- 20 undersized to meet the minimum lot size of the zone
- 21 that it's located; however, there is a notation on
- 22 this plat that states it is to be used for cell tower
- 23 purposes only, and they have provided an access
- 24 easement from public right-of-way to this lot. So
- with that we would recommend it be approved.

1	CHAIRMAN: Is anybody here representing the	
2	applicant?	
3	APPLICANT REP: Yes.	
4	CHAIRMAN: So noted.	
5	Are there any questions?	
6	(NO RESPONSE)	
7	CHAIRMAN: If not the chair is ready for a	
8	motion.	
9	MS. DIXON: Move to approve.	
10	CHAIRMAN: Motion for approval by Ms. Dixon.	
11	MR. TAYLOR: Second.	
12	CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Taylor. All in favor	
13	raise your right hand.	
14	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)	
15	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.	
16	Next item, please.	
17		
18	ZONING CHANGES	
19	ITEM 6	
20	2901 Veach Road, 0.193 acres	
21	Consider zoning change: From B-4 General Business to R-1B Single-Family Residential	
22	Applicant: William & Joan Kolok; Kolok Wood & Stone, LLC	
23	PROPOSED ZONE & LAND USE PLAN	
24	The applicant is seeking an R-1B Single-Family	
25	Residential zone. The subject property is located in	

a Business Plan Area, where urban low-density

- 2 residential uses are appropriate in very-limited
- 3 locations.
- 4 SPECIFIC LAND USE CRITERIA
- 5 (A) Building and lot patterns Building and
- 6 lot patterns should conform to the criteria for "Urban
- 7 Residential Development" (D6)
- 8 (B) Existing, expanded or new sanitary sewer -
- 9 Urban Low-Density Residential uses should occur only
- 10 where sanitary sewer systems exist or may be expanded
- or where new systems may be properly established.
- 12 (C) Only logical expansions In Business plan
- 13 areas, completely new locations of Urban Low-Density
- 14 Residential use should not be established. However,
- existing areas of this use may be expanded onto
- 16 contiguous land.
- 17 PLANNING STAFF REVIEW
- 18 GENERAL LAND USE CRITERIA
- 19 Environment
- 20 * It appears that the subject property is not
- 21 located in a wetlands area per the US Department of
- 22 Agriculture Soil Conservation Service, map dated March
- 23 6, 1990.
- 24 * The subject property is located in a special
- 25 flood hazard area and floodway per FIRM Map

- 1 21059CO276D.
- * It appears that the subject property is
- 3 outside the Owensboro Wellhead Protection area per the
- 4 GRADD map dated March 1999.
- * The developer is responsible for obtaining
- 6 permits from the Division of Water, The Army Corp of
- 7 Engineers, FEMA or other state and federal agencies as
- 8 may be applicable.
- 9 Urban Services
- 10 All urban services, including sanitary sewers,
- 11 are available to the site.
- 12 Development Patterns
- The subject property is surrounded by B-4
- 14 General Business zoning classification on all sides.
- The B-4 zoned property to the north is an existing
- 16 single-family residence. All other surrounding land
- uses are consistent with B-4 zoning classifications.
- 18 The applicant states in their findings that
- 19 the property to the south is a single-family residence
- 20 being used as an insurance office. An insurance
- 21 office is permitted within B-4 zoning classification
- 22 and although the structure may have been a
- 23 single-family residence at one point, it has been
- 24 converted to a commercial structure. The subject
- 25 property also has a history of commercial use. It

1 appears as though the property has been used as a

- 2 gifts and ceramics shop since around 2001.
- 3 The applicant proposes to use the subject
- 4 property as an art studio and single-family residence
- 5 and to construct an addition on the existing
- 6 structure. The use of the property as an art studio
- 7 with an accessory dwelling is permitted in a B-4
- 8 General Business zoning provided that required parking
- 9 can be met on site. The applicant was unable to
- develop a plan with sufficient parking due to a tree
- on the property that the applicant did not want to
- 12 remove.
- 13 The applicant is seeking a residential zone in
- order to eliminate on-site parking that would be
- 15 required in the B-4 zone. An art studio could also be
- 16 conditionally permitted in an R-1B zone allowing
- 17 employees and customers, but under the conditional use
- 18 permit, parking requirements must be met. An art
- 19 studio can be accomplished as a home occupation within
- 20 a residential zone provided that specific requirements
- 21 of the zoning ordinance are followed. The following
- 22 criteria apply:
- 23 1. The use is clearly incidental and
- 24 secondary to use for dwelling purposes;
- 25 2. The use is conducted entirely within a

- dwelling and not in an accessory building;
- 2 3. The use is carried on only by residents of
- 3 the dwelling, with no non-resident employees or
- 4 agents;
- 5 4. No commodities are sold except as are
- 6 produced on the premises;
- 7 5. The use does not require external
- 8 alteration of the dwelling; and,
- 9 6. The use does not adversely affect the use
- 10 permitted in the immediate neighborhood by excessive
- 11 traffic generation or noise.
- 12 If used as a home occupation, the applicant
- should be aware of each criterion and understand that
- 14 customers are not permitted, retail sales is not
- permitted, and no additional employees other than
- 16 residents of the dwelling are permitted.
- 17 The rezoning of the subject property to a
- 18 residential zone will require a ten foot landscape
- 19 easement, six-foot element and one tree every 40
- 20 linear feet where adjoining commercial zoning to the
- 21 north, east and south. The applicant has submitted a
- variance in conjunction with the rezoning to eliminate
- 23 all buffers and screening requirements as stated. If
- developed under the commercial zoning classification,
- 25 the proposed use of the property would not require any

- 1 screening from the adjoining properties.
- 2 In the vicinity of the subject property, Veach
- 3 Road is classified as a major collector roadway with a
- 4 250' access spacing standard. The site currently
- 5 shares a drive with the property to the south.
- 6 The subject property is located within the
- 7 floodway. The applicant proposes to construct an
- 8 addition to the existing structure on the subject
- 9 property. Since the addition will be within the
- 10 floodway, a conditional use permit is required along
- 11 with supporting documentation from appropriate
- 12 regulating agencies for construction in a floodway.
- 13 The applicant has submitted an application for
- 14 conditional use permit.
- 15 SPECIFIC LAND USE CRITERIA
- The applicant's proposal is not in compliance
- 17 with the Comprehensive Plan. The surrounding property
- 18 is zoned entirely B-4 General Business which is
- 19 appropriate within a Business Plan Area. With the
- 20 exception of the property to the north, which is still
- 21 maintained as a single-family residence, land uses in
- 22 the vicinity are consistent with B-4 zoning
- 23 classification. The subject property has a history of
- use for non-residential purposes. The use of the
- 25 property as proposed by the applicant can be

1 accomplished within the current zoning classification

- 2 if adequate parking is provided on-site. The subject
- 3 property does not adjoin any single-family residential
- 4 zoning and is therefore not a logical expansion.
- 5 PLANNING STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
- 6 Staff recommends denial because the proposal
- 7 is not in compliance with the community's adopted
- 8 Comprehensive Plan. This recommendation is made
- 9 subject to the findings of fact that follow:
- 10 FINDINGS OF FACT:
- 1. The subject property is located in a
- 12 Business Plan Area, where urban low-density
- residential uses are appropriate in very-limited
- 14 locations;
- 15 2. The current zoning of the property and all
- 16 surrounding properties is B-4 General Business which
- is consistent with the Business Plan Area in which the
- 18 property is located;
- 3. With the exception of the property to the
- 20 north, land uses in the vicinity are consistent with
- 21 B-4 zoning;
- 22 4. The subject property has a history of
- 23 non-residential use as a gifts and ceramics shop;
- 24 5. The proposed use of the property can be
- 25 accomplished under the current zoning classification;

1 6. The proposed rezoning does not satisfy the

- 2 logical zoning expansion criteria of the Comprehensive
- 3 Plan; and,
- 4 7. The current zoning is appropriate for the
- 5 proposed use and accessory dwelling and the proposed
- 6 zoning is less appropriate.
- 7 MR. HOWARD: We would like to enter the Staff
- 8 Report into the record as Exhibit D.
- 9 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- 10 Is there anybody representing the applicant?
- MR. KOLOK: Yes. My name is Bill Kolok.
- 12 (BILL KOLOK SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
- 13 MR. KOLOK: I am an artist. I have lived in
- Owensboro for 30 years. I teach at Kentucky Wesleyan.
- I'm shortly going to retire and I'm looking for a
- space to continue my profession as an artist.
- 17 The space that I chose, this building has had
- 18 two businesses in it before. Neither of them were
- 19 successful in that area. The space is small. It is
- on a floodway so it would be difficult for another
- 21 business to take it. So I'm not sure -- so I don't
- think it would be an adequate space for a new
- 23 business.
- 24 My daughter is recently back from the Peace
- 25 Corp in Africa and is going to school in Owensboro and

- 1 plans on living there.
- 2 Although both structures are, on either side
- of the yard, are businesses, they both look like
- 4 houses. I have spent a lot of time and money and
- 5 effort making the front of my building look like a
- 6 house again. Grass and bushes, etcetera, etcetera.
- 7 I don't sell here in Owensboro. I sell out of
- 8 galleries in Nashville, Chattanooga and Cincinnati. I
- 9 need a place to work and be able to store my work
- 10 while I'm waiting for exhibition space on other
- 11 places.
- 12 I don't plan on selling retail out of
- Owensboro to be quite frank. Owensboro doesn't have a
- 14 very good retail market for the kind of art that I do.
- 15 If anyone has any questions, I will gladly
- 16 answer them now.
- 17 CHAIRMAN: It seems like to me, based on the
- 18 case, that it would be, if you would maintain to be
- for classification and put in the parking, you've got
- 20 a piece of property that's valuable not only to you
- 21 but to you in the future when you ultimately decide to
- 22 retire/retire.
- MR. KOLOK: The problem is the parking is the
- 24 problem. I'm a one person artist. I don't plan on
- 25 opening up a retail store, but I am required to have

1 five parking places, which if I build that building in

- the back, which I have approval from the Division of
- 3 Water and Corp of Engineers, there isn't enough room
- 4 to build those five places without me digging up my
- front yard, which both my neighbors still have and
- 6 putting parking in the front, which I think would make
- 7 it a non-attractive place. If I could find a way to
- 8 eliminate the parking problem, I would be happy to
- 9 stay with B-4. This was the only alternative that we
- 10 could think of at the time.
- 11 CHAIRMAN: Mr. Noffsinger, aren't there
- 12 ramifications with him doing or not doing as far as --
- MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, I think
- 14 Mr. Mischel and Mr. Howard worked with the applicant.
- 15 It was my understanding that the parking could be
- 16 gained on site in the rear. I did not work with the
- 17 applicant and I think we would need different
- 18 information.
- 19 CHAIRMAN: Would you sit down, please.
- 20 Mr. Mischel, which one of you wants to come to
- 21 the podium first?
- MR. SILVERT: State your name, please.
- MR. MISCHEL: Jim Mischel.
- 24 (JIM MISCHEL SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
- MR. MISCHEL: Yes, we have worked with the

1 applicant in the past on the parking situation. We

- worked with Mr. Weaver, David Weaver, with Bryant
- 3 Engineering on that. He's worked on the parking.
- I think where one of the problems is there's a
- 5 tree in the backyard. The applicant would like to
- 6 save that tree instead of removing it. You would have
- 7 to ask Mr. Weaver, but I think if that tree was
- 8 removed, he's been working on a layout where the
- 9 parking could be met. You're allowed to have ten
- 10 percent parallel parking. I think he was going to
- 11 allow one space for parallel parking. You might ask
- 12 Mr. Weaver. We had a lot of discussions. We tried a
- lot of different angles and he worked on it. We did
- not receive a final layout for a B-4 zone. We've had
- discussions and at the last minute they decided to go
- 16 for the residential zoning.
- 17 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Mischel.
- 18 Mr. Weaver, would you step to the podium,
- 19 please.
- MR. SILVERT: State your name, please.
- MR. WEAVER: David Weaver.
- 22 (DAVID WEAVER SWORN BY ATTORNEY.)
- MR. WEAVER: We did prepare a conceptional
- layout for Mr. Kolok. He is correct in stating that
- 25 to meet the required parking that was discussed with

1 Mr. Mischel, we would have to put one space in the

- front. There's really not room for parallel parking
- 3 along the side because of the shared drive.
- 4 I've got a conceptional parking layout that
- 5 shows how it could work with parking. Mr. Kolok also
- is right, he would have to eliminate his large maple
- 7 tree in the back.
- If you guys would like to see it, you're
- 9 welcome to.
- 10 CHAIRMAN: Why don't you bring it forward.
- 11 (MR. WEAVER COMPLIES WITH REQUEST.)
- MR. WEAVER: If you look, you can see where
- 13 there's a Number 1. That indicates the parking spot
- 14 that has to be created in the front of the property.
- The remaining four required spaces could be put in the
- 16 back with the elimination of the oak tree. Of course,
- the reality is that that parking is real congested and
- 18 really doesn't meet all the required dimensions.
- 19 MR. KOLOK: Mr. Chairman, can I speak?
- 20 CHAIRMAN: Yes, sir.
- 21 MR. KOLOK: I'm sort of stuck between a rock
- 22 and a hard place. That maple tree, which is old and
- 23 beautiful, as an artist I find it difficult to take
- 24 that tree down. If I could eliminate some of the
- 25 parking places, which would not be a problem because

1 it's not a retail store so I'm not going to have

- 2 people coming and going. I've been a teacher for
- 3 almost 40 years now. I certainly don't want to teach
- 4 after I retire so I'm not going to bring students in.
- 5 I think one of the things that has happened is
- 6 a language problem. Artists call the space they work
- 7 a studio, but under the guideline a studio is an
- 8 assembly, like a music studio or a dance studio. My
- 9 idea is a space to work and a space to store my work
- 10 so that I can keep it clean, keep it fresh until I
- 11 bring it to a gallery, studio. If we could call it a
- 12 storage place, I would happy to do it that way.
- 13 CHAIRMAN: Let me ask.
- Mr. Mischel, would you return.
- 15 Are there restrictions that we could place on
- this deed and this property? Very obviously he has a
- 17 case where he's not going to do or we could put
- 18 restrictions where he actually couldn't do retail.
- You know, have restrictions where we, you know, where
- 20 we could reduce the parking spaces based on maybe
- 21 reducing the square footage of his working space. Is
- there any criteria or any area where we could?
- 23 MR. MISCHEL: Just by nature of him applying
- for a home occupation, that would limit retail
- 25 business. We just had some discussions. I don't know

- if this would help, but if he had -- he was talking
- 2 about having a residence there. If he had a residence
- 3 there, you could have that in a B-4 zone if he kept it
- 4 B-4. I don't know if this would help. You're to have
- 5 two parking spaces for a residence. If he limited his
- 6 studio to 400 square feet, that would be 1 to 200,
- 7 that would be two. Instead of five he could take it
- 8 down to four spaces.
- 9 CHAIRMAN: What would be the criteria?
- 10 Obviously I'm not an artist. Is the criteria for his
- 11 studio actually where he is doing the work as opposed
- 12 to where he stores his work? Like I assume he's going
- to be doing many pieces and projects, but he's only
- 14 going to be working on one or two at a time.
- MR. MISCHEL: Well, we originally agreed under
- 16 B-4, at one time it was 1 to 200 square feet for the
- 17 entire structure. We told him, I think, Mr. Weaver,
- 18 that if they could show us where the studio was, we
- 19 could do the 1 to 200 for that and the rest of it, if
- they set up storage, we would count that for storage.
- 21 I think that's what the parking is based on, right?
- MR. WEAVER: Yes, I believe that's correct.
- MR. MISCHEL: It would have been more.
- MR. WEAVER: Yes. Mr. Kirkland, your comment
- as opposed to an avenue where you wouldn't have, where

1 you could eliminate the ability to have retail sales.

- 2 That was kind of the whole premise for the rezoning.
- 3 To put it back into a residential zoning
- 4 classification. I believe Mr. Kolok stated his
- 5 daughter intends to live there after she comes back
- from the Peace Corp and that he's going to use the
- 7 rear addition for his studio space.
- 8 CHAIRMAN: Is my sort of assumption or my
- 9 question about the amount of square footage that's
- 10 actually used for studio work area, etcetera, can that
- 11 be defined as opposed to area that's used for storage,
- 12 accumulation or just storage?
- 13 MR. WEAVER: You would have to ask Mr. Kolok,
- 14 but I believe the intent is for the addition to be a
- 15 studio space, and then the remainder to function as
- living space for his daughter and for storage.
- I also think, Mr. Kolok could speak to this,
- 18 but it's my understanding that he does large rock
- 19 carvings and that's part of the intent of keeping the
- 20 oak tree because a lot of what he does will be
- 21 outside.
- MR. KOLOK: True.
- 23 CHAIRMAN: Mr. Noffsinger.
- MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, I think you're
- on the right track. I'd like to ask Mr. Mischel a

- 1 couple of questions.
- One, the five parking spaces that are shown
- 3 here, is that a minimum amount that they have to
- 4 provide or is that parking requirement calculated
- 5 based upon square footage used? In other words, do
- 6 they have to have at least five and maybe more or
- 7 could they have three or four depending on how much of
- 8 the building is used for the studio?
- 9 MR. MISCHEL: I believe the way we calculated
- 10 it, we were counting the studio. He would show us on
- 11 the drawing a studio square footage and we would do
- that 1 to 200. Then the rest of it supposedly is
- going to be storage and we calculated that on that.
- MR. NOFFSINGER: Some uses require a minimum
- of 5 spaces and 1 per 200. Is this that type of use?
- MR. MISCHEL: This is not a minimum.
- 17 MR. NOFFSINGER: So it depends on how you
- 18 allocate the use in that building as to how many
- 19 parking spaces are required?
- MR. WEAVER: That's correct.
- 21 MR. NOFFSINGER: If it is rezoned to
- residential, then the only, the residence can only be
- occupied by the folks doing the work. If your
- daughter is employed there, part of it, then certainly
- 25 she could live there, but if not it creates a zoning

1 issue. It might be in your best interest to keep the

- 2 zoning you have and to work with this parking
- 3 calculation to make sure you allocate your space so
- 4 that you meet the minimum say four spaces and only use
- for the art studio and the business use that area
- 6 where you can meet the parking and then the rest of it
- 7 remain residential.
- 8 Of course, as we're working with the downtown
- 9 plan, we're making provisions for this type of use
- 10 that the applicant is requesting. For those types of
- 11 uses to be located in the downtown area. This is
- 12 certainly outside of the downtown master plan, but I
- think you can still do what you want to do, but I
- think you can do it better under the zone you're in,
- but take a look at that parking allocation and
- 16 requirement based upon, and using a maximum square
- footage devoted to that studio as you can get parking.
- 18 MR. MISCHEL: And I think, Mr. Noffsinger, you
- 19 had a point there with the home occupation. The
- 20 people that live in that home occupation should be the
- one doing the work. So if he's not technically, if he
- does not live there, he should not be doing the work
- 23 there under home occupation. Should be by the
- 24 occupants of that structure.
- 25 MR. NOFFSINGER: But you could get a

1 conditional use permit to operate the studio, I guess,

- would be the next step. That you can get away from
- 3 that step if you keep the zoning, B-4 zoning in the
- 4 place that you have now.
- 5 MR. KOLOK: If I called the building storage,
- 6 which is about 960 square feet, and I want to build a
- 7 700 square foot space to make art, and I'm not going
- 8 to use it as retail, so I don't need parking,
- 9 according to this document, it says, individual
- 10 storage doesn't require any parking, if I call it
- 11 parking. If I call it individual storage. That's
- 12 what I was saying earlier. Part of this is me on
- 13 learning to speak the right language. I would be glad
- to do it that way and leave it at B-4. I want a
- 15 studio and I want a place to store it. My daughter
- 16 certainly can live at my house. We have plenty of
- 17 room and she's there now.
- 18 MR. MISCHEL: Just to clarify. That
- individual storage area those are like those little
- 20 mini warehouses. It's kind of a different situation
- 21 than what we're talking about here on individual
- 22 storage.
- 23 CHAIRMAN: Mr. Kolok, would you return?
- MR. KOLOK: Yes.
- 25 CHAIRMAN: How many parking spaces can we get

- 1 and save the tree?
- MR. KOLOK: Three easily. We can do three.
- 3 CHAIRMAN: Mr. Weaver, would return to the
- 4 stand, please.
- 5 Can you work, instead of working like you
- 6 usually do, from the building out, can you work from
- 7 the parking lot in?
- 8 MR. APPLEBY: Can we not get four on there?
- 9 MR. WEAVER: It may be possible to get four.
- MR. APPLEBY: And save the tree?
- MR. WEAVER: You struggle with the handicap
- spot, especially if you're making a handicap van
- 13 accessible spot.
- MR. APPLEBY: Could we not possibly move that
- 15 handicap spot to the other side and put your other --
- the handicap spot ideally is up closer to the
- 17 building. Could you not move it furtherest away?
- 18 MR. WEAVER: I think there's probably a way we
- 19 could get it to work.
- 20 MR. KOLOK: Because I share a driveway with my
- 21 neighbor, from what I understand, the parking lot has
- 22 to be all on my land, even though my neighbor can pull
- out into my land and I certainly can pull in his land.
- 24 If we could eliminate that problem, I think we could
- 25 get four.

1 CHAIRMAN: Mr. Mischel, it seems like you've

- 2 got the look in your eye.
- 3 MR. MISCHEL: Let me throw one more thing. If
- 4 they can get three spaces, if they could limit
- 5 typically the studio to 600 square feet, that would be
- 6 three spaces. The rest of it if they say is storage,
- 7 in the past we have taken storage mechanical rooms,
- 8 usually in the past we do it for office buildings and
- 9 we discount file storage and mechanical rooms.
- 10 MR. APPLEBY: He's storing his supplies and
- 11 what have you in there. We can work back from that?
- 12 Say the studio is occupying 600 feet of that addition
- and the rest of it is art supplies?
- MR. MISCHEL: The 600 feet, the studio is 1 to
- 200 so you have to put a limit of 600 square feet on
- 16 the studio, which isn't far off I think from what he
- 17 said, 700 feet.
- 18 MR. NOFFSINGER: I think you could also pick
- 19 up a parking spot in front of the studio there by the
- 20 sidewalk. Pick up a parallel spot.
- MR. WEAVER: It's kind of crowded with the
- 22 shared access drive. I understand what you're talking
- about, Gary.
- Let me make a suggestion. Instead of acting
- on this item and the next item as well, what if we

1 postpone it to allow time for Bryant Engineering and

- 2 Mr. Kolok to work with the Staff to see if something
- 3 can be resolved as far as the required parking?
- 4 MR. APPLEBY: Then he could probably avoid
- 5 another meeting, if we can meet the criteria under the
- 6 existing zoning. He can get his building permit?
- 7 MR. WEAVER: Yes.
- 8 CHAIRMAN: And Mr. Noffsinger could sign off
- 9 on it?
- 10 MR. NOFFSINGER: Yes. We would be glad to do
- 11 that. I think that's a wise decision. If we can't,
- 12 we come back next month. If we can find a way of
- doing it, then the applicant withdraws the application
- 14 and move forward.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: Mr. Kolok, would you step back to
- 16 the stand, please?
- MR. WEAVER: That would be a postponement for
- 18 both items.
- 19 MR. MISCHEL: You would still have the
- 20 floodplain issue.
- 21 MR. APPLEBY: That's going to be a conditional
- use, right?
- MR. MISCHEL: Yes.
- 24 CHAIRMAN: Did you understand what --
- 25 MR. KOLOK: If I built a structure 600 square

1 feet, we would only need three parking places. Is

- 2 that basically --
- 3 CHAIRMAN: I don't think he was talking about
- 4 limiting your building size. I think he was talking
- 5 about limiting your activity size.
- 6 MR. APPLEBY: Allocate toward studio.
- 7 MR. MISCHEL: Instead of a 700 and some square
- 8 feet for a studio, you'd use 600 square feet for
- 9 studio and then 100 something would go towards the
- 10 storage.
- 11 MR. WEAVER: I think what needs to happen is
- that you have to agree to a postponement on this item.
- MR. KOLOK: That's sounds good to me.
- 14 CHAIRMAN: Would you repeat that, please.
- MR. KOLOK: Yes. That sounds good to me.
- MR. CHAIRMAN: You agree with that? In other
- words, you are requesting a postponement?
- MR. KOLOK: That's exactly what I meant to
- 19 say, yes.
- 20 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
- MR. APPLEBY: Move to postpone.
- MS. DIXON: Second.
- 23 CHAIRMAN: Motion to postpone by Mr. Appleby.
- 24 Second by Ms. Dixon. All in favor raise your right
- hand.

1	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)			
2	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.			
3	Related Item:			
4	ITEM 6A			
5	2901 Veach Road, proposed R-1B Consider request for Variances in conjunction with an application for zoning change to eliminate the required 10' landscape easement, the 6' high			
6				
7	continuous element and one tree every 40' of linear boundary along the north, east and south property lines that adjoining B-4 General Business zoning. Reference: Zoning Ordinance, Article 17, Section 17.311 Applicant: William & Joan Kolok; Kolok Wood & Stone, LLC			
8				
9				
10				
11	MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, the applicant I			
12	believe also request postponement on Item 6A, which			
13	was a related item.			
14	MR. KOLOK: Yes, I would like to postpone that			
15	also.			
16	MS. DIXON: Move to postpone.			
17	MR. APPLEBY: Second.			
18	CHAIRMAN: All in favor raise your right hand.			
19	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)			
20	CHAIRMAN: Motion carries unanimously.			
21				
22	MAJOR SUBDIVISIONS			
23	ITEM 7			
24	Steeplechase, 37.759 acres Consider approval of amended major subdivision			
25	preliminary plat. Applicant: Thompson Homes, Inc.			

- 2 MR. NOFFSINGER: Mr. Chairman, this plan has
- 3 been reviewed by the Planning Staff and Engineering
- 4 Staff. It's found to be in order. It's recommended
- for approval.
- 6 CHAIRMAN: Is anybody here representing the
- 7 applicant?
- 8 MR. PEDLEY: Mr. Chairman, I need to
- 9 disqualify myself on this item.
- 10 CHAIRMAN: Just a moment.
- 11 Mr. Silvert.
- MR. SILVERT: We would no longer have a
- 13 quorum.
- 14 CHAIRMAN: Could you state your issues,
- 15 Mr. Pedley?
- MR. PEDLEY: Yes. I'm an adjoining property
- owner so I think I need to disqualify myself.
- MR. APPLEBY: You don't stand to gain anything
- 19 financially from this action on this issue?
- MR. PEDLEY: Probably not.
- 21 MR. APPLEBY: Just being an adjoining property
- owner, I wouldn't see how he'd have a --
- 23 CHAIRMAN: Mr. Silvert.
- 24 MR. SILVERT: I would not think so. If you
- 25 could state that you don't have anything to gain

- whether this passes or doesn't pass.
- 2 MR. PEDLEY: I will hear it.
- 3 CHAIRMAN: So you have nothing to gain,
- 4 Mr. Pedley; is that correct?
- 5 MR. PEDLEY: No.
- 6 MR. SILVERT: Will you do any of the work
- 7 involved in the changes?
- 8 MR. PEDLEY: Probably not, but I've been
- 9 working with this issue for quite some time. It does
- join our property. It's an issue that I've been
- 11 working with for two months.
- 12 MR. SILVERT: These changes involve the
- 13 connector into Lake Forest.
- MR. PEDLEY: If you're okay with it --
- MR. NOFFSINGER: This is transferring some
- 16 common area property in the Steeplechase subdivision
- 17 and attaching it to the Lake Forest development. It
- 18 also removes that portion of a roadway reservation
- 19 strip for the outer boulevard that is on paper, been
- 20 realigned to Millers Mill Road. So they're also
- 21 removing that. That's it. I don't know of any
- 22 controversial issues at all there. It's just swapping
- of some property.
- MR. PEDLEY: I withdraw my disqualification.
- MR. SILVERT: Is the deed on the property

- 1 contingent upon the passing of this?
- 2 MR. NOFFSINGER: I don't know who stands to
- 3 gain in terms of the property acquisition. Brian
- 4 Howard has reviewed this plan.
- 5 CHAIRMAN: Mr. Howard, do you see any conflict
- for Mr. Pedley on this issue?
- 7 MR. HOWARD: Not that I'm aware of. Mr. Riney
- 8 might be able to answer this. I believe the last name
- 9 of Frakes are the property owners within the Lake
- 10 Forest subdivision and would acquire some of the
- 11 common area from Steeplechase subdivision. They've
- 12 prepared an amended final plat that would address
- 13 that. To my recollection Mr. Pedley does not own a
- lot that would gain any of the property from the
- 15 Steeplechase subdivision common area.
- 16 MR. PEDLEY: I withdraw my disqualification.
- 17 We do not own those lots any more. They have been
- 18 sold to individuals. It's joining their property. I
- 19 have no monetary gain on it.
- 20 (MR. SILVERT AND MR. PEDLEY CONVERSE.)
- 21 MR. PEDLEY: Under counsel's advice I
- 22 disqualify myself.
- 23 CHAIRMAN: If Mr. Pedley disqualifies himself,
- then we do not have a quorum so the issue must be
- 25 postponed.

1	Mr. Silvert, do we have to have a motion for		
2	postponement?		
3	MR. SILVERT: It's tabled.		
4	CHAIRMAN: The issue is tabled.		
5	The next item we have is the chair will accept		
6	a motion for adjournment.		
7	MS. DIXON: Move to adjourn.		
8	CHAIRMAN: Ms. Dixon has a motion for		
9	adjournment.		
10	MR. TAYLOR: Second.		
11	CHAIRMAN: Second by Mr. Taylor. All in favor		
12	raise your right hand.		
13	(ALL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT RESPONDED AYE.)		
14	CHAIRMAN: That motion carries unanimously.		
15	We are adjourned.		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	STATE OF KENTUCKY)			
2)SS: REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE COUNTY OF DAVIESS)			
3	I, LYNNETTE KOLLER FUCHS, Notary Public in and			
4	for the State of Kentucky at Large, do hereby certify			
5	that the foregoing Owensboro Metropolitan Planning			
6	Commission meeting was held at the time and place as			
7	stated in the caption to the foregoing proceedings;			
8	that each person commenting on issues under discussion			
9	were duly sworn before testifying; that the Board			
10	members present were as stated in the caption; that			
11	said proceedings were taken by me in stenotype and			
12	electronically recorded and was thereafter, by me,			
13	accurately and correctly transcribed into the			
14	foregoing 41 typewritten pages; and that no signature			
15	was requested to the for	regoing transcript.		
16	WITNESS my hand	and notary seal on this the		
17	9th day of November, 200	09.		
18				
19				
20	OH:	NNETTE KOLLER FUCHS IO VALLEY REPORTING SERVICES 2 WEST THIRD STREET, SUITE 12		
21		ENSBORO, KENTUCKY 42303		
22	COMMISSION EXPIDES. DE	SEMPER 10 2010		
23	COUNTY OF RECIDENCE: DE	,		
24	COUNTY OF RESIDENCE: DA	AVIESS COUNTY, KENTUCKY		
25				